

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2010-03-08	Mark Gallagher Ben Grenhouse David Miller Bob Waldon	Chief Mike Bomberry Chief Alfred Day Aaron Detlor, HDI Elder Brian Dolittle Hazel Hill, HDI Chief Ron Thomas	Meeting arranged by Willms Shier, counsel to TCi Renewables with Haudenosaunee Development Institute ("HDI"), to discuss various TCi and NEEC projects and proposed application process and fees. It was agreed to be held as a technical level information-sharing meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	After the meeting, the 2010-03-03 letter (above) was received from the Oneida Council of Chiefs stating that they would be taking the lead on behalf of all Haudenosaunee governments and communities. It was assumed that the letter referred to the Bornish project and a confirmation was requested on 2010-06-03.
2010-06-03	Ben Greenhouse	Secretary Howard Elijah, Oneida Council of Chiefs	Requesting confirmation that the 2010-03-03 letter was intended to apply only to Bornish , which Haudenosaunee governments Oneida takes the lead for, and also to clarify what impact Bornish may have on Haudenosaunee asserted treaty rights for economic activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information-sharing 	No reply received.
2010-06-04	Nicole Geneau, NEEC	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing NEEC Community Reference Binder that included map showing all projects in southwestern Ontario as well as draft Project Description Reports for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2010-06-04	Nicole Geneau, NEEC	Hazel Hill	Letter enclosing NEEC Community Reference Binder that included map showing all projects in southwestern Ontario as well as draft Project Description Reports for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2010-09-03	Nicole Geneau, NEEC	Hazel Hill	Letter enclosing NEEC Community Reference Binder that included map showing all projects in southwestern Ontario as well as draft Project Description Reports for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2010-09-03	Nicole Geneau, NEEC	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing NEEC Community Reference Binder that included map showing all projects in southwestern Ontario as well as draft Project Description Reports for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	No reply was received.
2010-09-14	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Telephone call to confirm courier address for the Confederacy Council to deliver Conestogo REA documents. Ms Hill definitively stated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any project in the 1701 Nanfan Treaty area is to be submitted to HDI for review A \$7,000 application fee is required There is a new application process and form 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	Hazel Hill to forward new HDI process document and application form.
2010-09-24	Hazel Hill, HDI	R. Waldon	Letter enclosing new HDI Development Protocol, accompanying map and HDI Application for Consideration and Engagement for Development. Ms. Hill explained that HDI has been legislated to represent the interests of the Haudenosaunee Confederacy Chiefs Council in development of lands over which Haudenosaunee have rights, including but not limited to the Haldimand Tract.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	Review application and take appropriate action to address the information and process it requires.
2010-10-01	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Telephone call to obtain further clarification on HDI fee and process and left a message on Ms. Hill's voicemail to explain the reason for my call.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	See 2010-11-02
2010-10-08	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail to follow-up voicemail from 2010-10-01 asking for clarification of what activities are supported by the HDI fee.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	See 2010-11-02
2010-10-18	R. Waldon	Leroy Hill	Telephone call to Haudenosaunee Resource Centre as a result of information received that there may be a change in the review process involving HDI.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply was received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2010-11-01	R. Waldon	Chief McNaughton	Telephone call to Haudenosaunee Confederacy Council office as a result of information received that there may be a change in the review process involving HDI.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process question 	No answer and no reply received.
2010-11-02	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Ms. Hill explained the HDI fee covers costs for their review and reporting on proponents projects including external review of studies by technical experts, a community meeting and reporting to the confederacy council. Ms. Hill also indicated Oneida Council of Chiefs may take the lead on the Bornish and Adelaide projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	Capacity funding arranged for four projects. No information or funding was requested for East Durham at this time.
2011-12-21	R. Waldon	H. Lickers, Mohawks of Akwesansne Department of Environment	E-mail to Mohawk of Akwesasne follow-up to 2011-12-20 letter to Chief Mitchel asking for confirmation that Akwesasne will defer to Six Nations on all NextEra Projects .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply was received.
2011-01-26	R. Waldon	H. Lickers, Mohawks of Akwesansne Department of Environment	E-mail enclosing a map of all NEEC projects in southwestern Ontario and asking for confirmation if Akwesasne wish to be consulted for any of them, or defer to Six Nations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Process 	No reply was received.
2011-03-15	R. Waldon	H. Lickers, Mohawk of Akwesansne Department of Environment	Telephone call to follow-up on requests for confirmation that Mohawk of Akwesasne either defer to Six Nations or do not require consultation for Summerhaven, Conestogo, and all other NextEra projects in Nanfan Treaty area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	H. Lickers responded by telephone that thie questions will be replied to as soon as possible and explaining that workloads are exceptionally high.
2011-03-17	R. Waldon	H. Lickers, Mohawk of Akwesansne Department of Environment	Telephone call and e-mail asking for clarification about which NextEra projects Akwesasne may want to be consulted on or if they defer to Six Nations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Process 	Given the distrance to the projects it was felt Six Nations leadership would take the lead.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-03-26	Chief Day	R. Waldon	E-mail to clarify that project notifications going forward should be sent to both Oneida Council of Chiefs and Haudeosaunee Development Institute	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Process 	Project correspondence addressed as requested by Chief Day.
2011-06-30	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail to advise that East Durham had been put on hold due to a grid connection issue.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	No reply received.
2011-07-05	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail to advise that I was advised today that East Durham is back on active status.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	No reply received.
2011-08-09	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail enclosing communique describing archaeological field work for all current NEEC projects and inviting attendance at a field meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	No reply received.
2011-10-13	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Letter and updates for NEEC's Community Reference Materials binder of industry and general information about wind energy and NEEC, together with a chart outlining the location, capacity, timing and status of all NEEC projects in southwestern Ontario .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	Delivered to Hazell Hill at the October 14, 2011 meeting.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-10-18	Hazel Hill	B. Greenhouse	Letter from HDI enclosing a speech made by (former) Minister of Aboriginal Affairs concerning the Haudenosaunee treaty rights and a letter from Six Nations Confederacy Council Secretary Hill to Minister Bentley. The HDI letter quoted from the 1701 Nanfan Treaty as follows: “-provided and it is hereby expected that wee are to have free hunting for us and the heirs and decendants from us the Five nations for ever and that free of all disturbances expecting to be protected therein by the Crown of England...” The HDI letter went on to confirm the view explained at the October 14th meeting that the Adelaide, Bornish, Conestogo and Summerhaven projects will encroach and interfere with those rights with the effect of removing some 90,000 acres from the Haudenosaunee hunting territory.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	
2011-11-25	Chief Montour, SNEC	Premier McGuinty and other officials	E-mail stating the Six Nations elected council is recognized legally as the governing body of Six Nations of the Grand River based on SCC Davey et. al v. Isaac et. al.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information note for context 	N/A
2012-03-08	Amy Shepherd	Hazel Hill	Letter from Amy Shepherd of IBI Group as facilitator of the Conestogo Community Liaison Committee inviting HDI's participation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received from HCCC, but a reply was received from Oneida Council of Chiefs, advising that <i>all notices should be sent to HDI.</i>
2012-03-26	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail enclosing Archaeological Communique describing work plans and status for all projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Communication 	No reply.
2012-06-13	T. Bird	Chief Mcnaughton	Letter regarding July 18, 2012 Public Meeting for East Durham and enclosing an updated PDR for revised study area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2012-08-13	T. Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter re. Notice of site plan and copy of site plan for East Durham.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2012-08-20	Aaron Detlor	Richard King, Norton Rose	Communication related to negotiations.	• Negotiations	
2012-09-10	Aaron Detlor	Richard King, Norton Rose	Communication related to negotiations.	• Negotiations	
2012-09-21	Al Wiley	Aaron Detlor	Communication related to negotiations.	• Negotiations	
2012-10-04	Hazel Hill	F. Allen Wylie, NextEra	Communication related to negotiations	• Negotiations	
2012-10-29	T. Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing notice of public meeting for East Durham and enclosing draft project REA Table 1 reports.	• Regulatory compliance	No reply was received.
2012-10-30	Hazel Hill	F. Allen Wylie, NextEra	Communication related to negotiations	• Negotiations	
2012-11-02	F. Allen Wylie	Hazel Hill, Leroy Hill	Communication related to negotiations	• Negotiations	
2012-12-02	T. Bird	Hazel Hill	Letter confirming that MOE had deemed the Adelaide application complete	• Process • Information sharing	



Appendix H.2
Historic Saugeen Métis

Appendixes

Contact Record and Issues List

Project: Bluewater, **East Durham**, Goshen and Jericho Wind Energy Centres

Community: **Historic Saugeen Métis**

Main Contact: ≤ 2011 Jason Indoe, President
≥ 2011 Archie Indoe, President
Patsy McArthur, Secretary
≤ 2011 Christopher Wentworth, Lands & Resources Consultation Coordinator
≥ 2011 Audrey Erin Holden, Lands & Resources Consultation Coordinator

NextEra Energy Canada ULC (“NEEC”) proposes to develop, construct and operate a number of wind energy projects in Ontario. This report details all communications with the Aboriginal community identified above, in support of the Ontario Regulation 359/09 Renewable Energy Approval requirement for consulting with Aboriginal peoples. Information is current to the date shown at the bottom of this report. Please contact NEEC for clarification or to receive any available updates.

The projects for which this Aboriginal community has expressed interest, or that have been confirmed by the Director of Renewable Energy Approvals “list of aboriginal communities” are identified above. Please refer to the Project Description Reports and supporting reports as set out in Table 1 of Ontario Regulation 359/09 for information about these projects, potential impacts and proposed mitigation. All available reports may be found at:

www.NextEraEnergyCanada.com

- Bluewater Wind Energy Centre
- East Durham Wind Energy Centre
- Goshen Wind Energy Centre
- Jericho Wind Energy Centre

In compliance with Ministry of Environment filing requirements, this report has been abridged as of the date below, to focus specifically on communications about, or that included East Durham.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2009-07-17	Archie Indoe Vice President HSM	N. Cowan	<p>Letter was mailed to N. Cowan expressing interest in NextEra Aaron-Eldersie wind project and asserting aboriginal rights. The letter described various protocol arrangements entered into with Bruce Power, NWMO (on behalf of OPG) and Hydro One.</p> <p>The Historic Saugeen Métis assert aboriginal rights in their traditional harvest territory and wish to be consulted in matters where their rights and way of life may, or may not be affected.</p> <p>The letter was copied to HSM legal counsel, Julie Abouchar.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	See 2009-08-19
2009-08-19	P. McArthur, Secretary, HSM	J. Kirton	P. McArthur called the NextEra office asking about a reply to a July e 14 e-mail asking to be added to mailing list and reply to the earlier communication that was apparently not received. It is possible the July 17 letter is what she referred to.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	Historic Saugeen Métis added to Kincardine mailing list.
2008-08-19	P. McArthur	J. Kirton	Follow-up e-mail in regard to letter sent to N. Cowan. Letter to N. Cowan not enclosed. Inquiry was about the Aaron-Eldersie Wind farm.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	See 2009-08-19
2009-08-19	J. Kirton, NextEra	P. McArthur	E-mail confirming the Historic Saugeen Métis have been added to the Kincardine project mailing list, as requested.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	N/A
2009-11-13	P. McArthur	J. Kirton	Telephone follow-up to previous letter and e-mail.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2009-12-14	T. Bird, NextEra	P. McArthur	Tom Bird spoke to Patsy McArthur on the phone and said NextEra would review the materials she sent and reply.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	See 2009-12-14
2009-12-14	Nicole Geneau, NextEra	P. McArthur	Nicole Geneau replied by e-mail as follows: "Hello Pat, My apologies that you haven't received a response previous to this. I have received your note and am working with Tom to get you an appropriate response and briefing on our projects. It's certainly not our intention to be anything less than open and transparent. I look forward to our next steps."	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	Set-up an initial meeting with HSM to discuss NextEra projects in the geographic area that may be of interest to HSM.
2010-04-22	P. McArthur	N. Geneau T. Bird	Patsy McArthur e-mailed NextEra following up the exchanges of December 14, 2009 and asking when a meeting will take place. She explained: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HSM is an independent Métis organization not represented by other Métis organizations. • HSM Métis members exercise s. 35 Aboriginal rights. • What NextEra projects are planed in areas that concern HSM? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	
2010-05-21	N. Geneau B. Greenhouse	Jason Indoe, President, HSM	Letter to Jason Indoe offering to sponsor a representative to attend upcoming CanWEA Seminar about opportunities in the wind energy supply and value chains.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	Christopher Wentworth attended the CanWEA conference and seminar as NextEra's guest.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-05-26	Jason Indoe	N. Geneau B. Greenhouse	Letter accepting invitation to CanWEA Seminar and stating that HSM has been aware of several NextEra projects in HSM traditional territory for some time and is anxious to receive information about them. The HSM wishes to explore the possibility of establishing a long-term positive relationship with NextEra.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	
2010-06-01	David Miller, McLeod Wood Associates	P. McArthur	David Miller e-mailed in reply to a telephone and conversation with P. McArthur. He explained the contact persons and roles at NextEra and Bedford Consulting and that HSM will be contacted about NextEra projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Regulatory compliance 	Copy of Conestogo draft PDR sent to HSM.
2010-06-04	N. Geneau	Jason Indoe	Letter and Community Reference Binder. Binder including the draft PDR's for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	Delivered on June 9, 2010 by R Waldon to Chris Wentworth HSM representative at CanWEA Conference in Toronto. Requested that Christopher advise me of any questions.
2010-06-09	P. McArthur	R. Waldon, Bedford Consulting & Associates	Exchange of e-mails to confirm delivery of Community Reference Binder.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-06-18/ 19	R. Waldon	P. McArthur	Exchange of e-mails to schedule a meeting date and agenda and to advise of upcoming Public Open houses for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho. Proposed Agenda: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introductions and overviews - NextEra, HSM • General overview - wind energy, wind farm development and operation • Overview of NextEra projects in Ontario • HSM harvest territories and key interests in wind energy projects • Ontario's REA process and how best to work together 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information sharing 	Explained that the Community reference Binder includes the web site for all NextEra and TCi projects to obtain available draft PDR's and REA studies and reports. Discussed dates for a meeting.
2010-06-21	N. Geneau	P. McArthur	E-mail explaining need to reschedule meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	Meeting rescheduled.
2010-06-23, 28-29	P. McArthur	R. Waldon	Exchange of e-mails to confirm July 21 as meeting date and to confirm agenda (see above).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	R. Waldon requested confirmation of which projects are to be discussed.
2010-07-12	N. Geneau	P. McArthur	E-mail about the need to reschedule July 21 and suggesting final week of July.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	Meeting rescheduled.
2010-07-13, 27	P. McArthur	N. Geneau	E-mails to reschedule meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	Meeting rescheduled.
2010-07-27	R. Waldon	P. McArthur	E-mail to confirm meeting August 11. Requested confirmation of attendees from HSM, agenda as previously proposed and which projects to be discussed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	See 2010-07-29

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-07-29	P. McArthur	R. Waldon	Confirmation of meeting and that Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham are of interest to HSM.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	See 2010-08-11
2010-08-09	R. Waldon	P. McArthur	E-mail to confirm meeting August 11, agenda, format and attendees.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	See 2010-08-11
2010-08-11	R. Waldon N. Geneau	Archie Indoe, Vice-President and Chair HSM Energy Committee; Patsy McArthur, Secretary-Treasurer; Goldie Mielhasen, Councillor; Tammy Schummelk etel, Coordinator	<p>An introductory meeting took place at which HSM provided a thorough overview of Historic Saugeen Métis Community and NEEC gave an overview of its projects, and the three active projects of interest to HSM: Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho.</p> <p>HSM is interested in project development that has the potential to impact its traditional Metis territory asserted to be the Sauble, Saugeen, Maitland, Bayfield and Ausable watersheds and the Bruce Peninsula. This comprises an area from Port Franks to Tobermory, and generally those watersheds areas within Bruce, Huron, Grey, and the northerly section of Lambton counties, and the Bruce Peninsula.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information exchange • Regulatory compliance (asserted territory) 	<p>Additional information on species of interest to HSM received October 4, 2010 and forwarded to Tom Bird for consideration in REA studies.</p> <p>HSM requested to enter into a funding agreement.</p>
2010-08-27	Christopher Wentworth, HSM Lands & Resources Consultation Coordinator	R. Waldon	<p>E-mail setting out a general list of issues that are of interest to HSM.</p> <p>The headings of issues were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Aboriginal peoples interests • Air Quality • Wildlife • Study area • Water quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	Only a partial was response possible and included in 2010-09-29 letter to A. Indoe. Some of the questions need to be clarified or possibly answered by HSM themselves (e.g. What are HSM's Aboriginal interests.)

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-08-31	R. Waldon	C. Wentworth	Response to e-mail of 2010-08-27	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	Meeting may be the best way to clarify and respond to issues and questions.
2010-09-13	C. Wentworth	R. Waldon	Inquiry about follow-up meeting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	See 2010-09-15
2010-09-15	R. Waldon	C. Wentworth	Response to e-mail of 2010-09-13 explaining the schedule for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho REA studies and that there is time and a desire to incorporate HSM's information into the studies as much as possible.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	Incorporating relevant HSM information about species of interest into environmental studies.
2010-09-27	R. Waldon	C. Wentworth	Inquiring if letter from N. Geneau to A. Indoe and meeting notes had been received and requested that Chris call to discuss the next steps.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process. 	See 2010-09-30
2010-09-29	N. Geneau	A. Indoe	Letter to follow-up from 2010-08-11 meeting and in response to 2010-08-27 e-mail from C. Wentworth, requesting any additional information to be considered by AECOM and also HSM's suggestions for the next steps in the process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information sharing 	Incorporated relevant HSM information about species of interest into environmental studies.
2010-09-30	C. Wentworth	R. Waldon	E-mail asking to provide possible dates to meet with HSM Council.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	Replied by phone and e-mail 2010-10-01 asking Chris to call.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-10-05	C. Wentworth	R. Waldon	<p>E-mail enclosing three documents that: provided additional information on species of interest; requested changes to meeting notes; commented on draft PDR for Bluewater and; set out a lengthy list of questions about Bluewater. Chris requested suggestions for possible meeting dates.</p> <p>Information included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HSM species of interest • Corrections to meeting notes • Comments on draft PDR • Set of questions for further discussion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information sharing 	R. Waldon replied 2010-10-05 acknowledging the questions and explaining the amount of information requested will need some time to review.
2010-10-28	R. Waldon	C. Wentworth	E-mail providing an update on current project scheduling.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information sharing 	N/A
2010-11-06	R. Waldon	C. Wentworth	E-mail inquiring about possible dates to meet in December for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho and enclosing a copy of NEECs Aboriginal Relations Canadian Project Framework. Seeking feedback on draft Aboriginal Relations Framework	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process 	No reply.
2010-11-07	R. Waldon	C. Wentworth	E-mail sending meeting notes revised with HSM's requested changes, but explaining the differences in the Design and Operations report vs. Consultation report.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	N/A
2011-02-04, 07 and 08	Audrey Erin Holden, HSM	R. Waldon	Restated list of questions for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho PDR's and also consolidated questions for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho and East Durham	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information-sharing 	Forwarded to NextEra to review and develop responses.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-02-14 and 15	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail exchange to set agenda for meeting of 2010-02-18 about Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham and to provide NEEC Aboriginal Relations Framework, including information on third party review capacity funding.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	Meeting held 2011-02-18
2011-02-18	NEEC: Nicole Geneau Neil Jones AECOM: Vince DesChampe s Bedford C &A: R. Waldon	A. Indoe P. McArthur T. Schuumelke tel G. Meilhausen S. Moulton	Half day meeting to review HSM lists of questions and comments on draft PDR, encompassing East Durham , Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho. See meeting notes on file.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	HSM to reframe some questions for clarity and NEEC to provide replies.
2011-02-22	Audrey Erin Holden, HSM	R. Waldon	E-mail enclosing a list of Métis environmental interests for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham and indicating the HSM lists of questions would follow after they have been revised.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	HSM list of Métis interests forwarded to AECOM and GENIVAR to be considered in REA studies.
2011-03-10	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	Telephone call to discuss the HSM lists of questions for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham and identify those which need further clarification from HSM.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Further work on questions to be done by both parties.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-03-14	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail to provide information on Spring 2011 archaeology for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham including a work description and invitation to take part in a field meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information-sharing 	See 2011-03-18
2011-03-18	Audrey Erin Holden	R. Waldon	Reply to invitation to attend field meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	R. Waldon replied 2011-03-19 that HSM was the 1st response and a meeting will be arranged upon receipt of other responses.
2011-03-31	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail to follow-up confirming that HSM was the only community to reply to the field meeting invitation. The contact information for Golder and ASI archaeologists (HSM projects of interest) and well as Audrey Holden was provided for direct coordination. It was also explained that Brandy George Cultural Research Inc. was providing independent monitoring through Oneida Council of Chiefs.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	Audrey Holden conformed she would contact the archaeologists to make arrangements to meet them.
2011-04-26	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail to explain that I would be in the area tomorrow and can drop in to the HSM office if there is anything to discuss about Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	2011-04-27 Audrey replied that responses to the HSM lists of questions was still expected, even if partial answers, but that she was going to clarify some of the questions which we had discussed.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-04-28	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail reply to 2011-04-27 explaining that NEEC had expected HSM to clarify a number of questions, which I listed in the e-mail. Also explained that MOE had not included HSM in the Director's List for Jericho.	• Communication	Awaiting HSM clarifications.
2011-04-11 to 12	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail exchange about Audrey contacting the archaeologists, but she has been busy. Audrey requested NEEC provide partial answers to the list of questions for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham because HSM did not have time to clarify those which were unclear.	• Communication	Followed-up with NEEC.
2011-06-30	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail to notify that East Durham project was on hold due to grid connection issues.	• Communication	Acknowledged by Audrey and that HSM looks forward to discussing the project once it moves forward again.
2011-07-05	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail to advise that I was notified today that East Durham is active again.	• Communication	Acknowledged by Audrey.
2011-07-25	Audrey Erin Holden	R. Waldon	Audrey advised that HSM leadership had decided not to provide a summary of HSM interests for use by the environmental consultants, until a relationship agreement has been negotiated with NEEC for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham .	• Process	R. Waldon replied requesting further information on the nature and scope of agreement and confirming that the information on HSM interests previously provided by HSM had been passed on to the consultants to inform the REA studies.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-07-26	Audrey Erin Holden	R. Waldon	Patsy McArthur had thought an outline and budget had previously been sent to NextEra for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	Audrey to provide a copy of outline and budget for relationship agreement. (Budget received 2012-02-17).
2011-07-29	Audrey Erin Holden	R. Waldon	Copy of draft MOU sent for review and comment concerning Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process 	2011-08-02 MOU forwarded to NEEC with recommendations for response. Reminders sent periodically.
2011-08-09	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-Mail enclosing communique describing Fall archaeological field work for all current NEEC Ontario projects and offering a field meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information-sharing 	No reply received.
2011-08-16	Audrey Erin Holden	R. Waldon	E-mail acknowledging the archaeology update on all projects and asking to meet NEEC's new project developers from their "restructuring" (i.e. Changes in project assignments) and discuss "NEEC's commitment to a broader engagement process and a long term-agreement with HSM as discussed in July".	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	See 2011-09-16

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-09-16	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail reply to clarify the restructuring was only workload balancing among project managers and the correct people would be available for any meetings. Also confirmed our July telephone discussion that NEEC is developing a program for all projects . Acknowledged HSM's interests in such an initiative, as indicated in their MOU, but that it was too soon to express specific expectations about specifics of the program. An offer to meet and discuss capacity to review the anticipated REA studies for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham was made and invited HSM's participation in this process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Process 	See 2011-09-19
2011-09-19	Audrey Erin Holden	R. Waldon	E-mail in reply stating that third party capacity is part of the HSM proposed MOU so that a response to the MOU is required for that discussion about Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham . Also suggesting communications be in e-mail to ensure no further misunderstandings.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process 	E-Mail reply the same day to acknowledge concern but encouraging continued open communications and again reiterating the importance of starting to discuss setting up capacity for review of NEEC's upcoming REA studies for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham .

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-11-03	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	Telephone call and a follow-up e-mail to confirm that President Indoe will be receiving a letter from T. Bird (see 2011-11-02) and apologizing for the delay in responding to HSM's proposed MOU as well as their lists of questions for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham . HSM was requested to advise if the updated Project Description Reports for three of those projects have answered some of those questions about what HSM felt were deficiencies in the original PDR's. It was also offered again to meet and discuss capacity needs to help HSM review the REA reports for these projects. This is addressed in section 3 of HSM's proposed MOU.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	During the call Audrey advised that a meeting would have to take place first (i.e. Before capacity assistance to review the REA reports) to discuss the proposed HSM MOU. I acknowledged this in the e-mail reply to her.
2011-11-15	Archie Indoe, President, HSM	T. Bird N. Geneau	Letter expressing frustration that NEEC seems to expect HSM to review reports such as the <i>Project Description Reports</i> for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho without a capacity budget and that HSM will not do so without a budget. The letter expressed HSM's belief that capacity budget is a requirement under the proposed MOE draft Aboriginal Consultation Guide.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process 	Letter reply drafted for from NEEC proposing an informal December 9, 2011 meeting, which was cancelled (see 2011-12-05).

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-12-05	R. Waldon	Patsy McArthur	Telephone call to discuss the possibility of meeting informally with Nicole Geneau on December 9, 2011 to try and address HSM's concerns over the list of questions they put forward for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham , their proposed MOU, update HSM on NEECs program development and to discuss how to move forward.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Process 	<p>An e-mail reply was received from Audrey Erin Holden stating the meeting would be with all available HSM Council members and stating,</p> <p>“HSM has every intention of listening to NextEra and hearing their point of view. HSM also intends to discuss the capacity issues laid out under the REA- Aboriginal Consultation document as well as the other responsibilities of both parties under REA. HSM hopes that NextEra has a strong intention to discuss the next step forward in the relationship and follow through on their REA responsibilities.</p> <p>After HSM's letter to NextEra we had hoped for a formal response from NextEra executive but hopefully that is still being processed internally and we will receive a formal commitment to HSM soon.</p> <p>HSM looks forward to taking the next steps in the relationship and</p>

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-12-07	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden Patsy McArthur	<p>E-Mail reply to Audrey's 2011-12-05 e-mail to clarify that the informal meeting suggested to Patsy was to respond to the concerns in President Indoe's November 15, 2011 letter to Nicole Geneau, hear each other out, and confirm where we are in the process, but it wasn't anticipated to get into the substance of the proposed MOU for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham at that time.</p> <p>Since HSM suggested a formal meeting with all available councillors, the following agenda was suggested:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Discuss President Indoe's letter of November 15, 2011 2. Draft 2011 MOE Aboriginal Consultation Guide w.r.t capacity 3. Status of NextEra projects (i.e. expected timing of REA reports and which ones are of interest to HSM) 4. Responses to HSM list of questions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Process 	See 2012-12-21
2011-12-15	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden Patsy McArthur	E-mail follow-up to 2011-12-07 asking for confirmation of meeting date and agenda for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	See 2011-12-21

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-12-21	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden Patsy McArthur	<p>E-mail follow-up to 2011-12-07 asking for confirmation of meeting date and agenda for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham. Which resulted in the following exchange of e-mails with Audrey Erin Holden:</p> <p>Audrey replied that it is better to postpone the January 4th meeting. She explained that HSM's policy is to have all their consultation team at all meetings, they are dealing with a high workload and that they incur costs (mileage, etc.) to attend meetings. HSM cannot use funding from other proponents to support consultation with NEEC.</p> <p>R. Waldon requested information on the HSM consultation team's out of pocket costs to try and address these up front, and also requested HSM's expectations for capacity funding to review REA reports.</p> <p>Audrey replied that HSM prefers these be addressed as part of the whole HSM MOU agreement, not individually, and could not provide specific costs or estimates at this time.</p> <p>R. Waldon replied with a request that HSM consider providing information on capacity costs so these can be set up in advance of the REA reports in February 2012, and that hopefully there will be a broader NEEC program rollout in the New Year to directly address the remaining MOU</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative • Communication • Process 	See 2012-01-20

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-01-20	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	<p>E-mail requesting a meeting to try and move the negotiation process forward with HSM for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham and suggesting February 2nd as a meeting date. The e-mail explained:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NextEra has just released a new policy that will help the discussions • Proposed to start the discussion with the HSM MOU because it deals with process and capacity and REA reports will be issued starting next month. • Proposed to begin discussion of benefits which the HSM MOU also raises, and the need to explain some NextEra document requirements • Asked if Audrey would be comfortable if this was seen as a preliminary meeting to identify areas of agreement to move forward immediately and others to bring suggestions back to our respective leadership/client. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Process 	Meeting planned for February 2, 2012 with Patsy McArthur in attendance and Council members.
2012-01-24	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail enclosing list of potential draft REA reports to be delivered for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham and current timeline for reports, filing REA and construction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	N/A

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-01-31	R, Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail enclosing a copy of the new NextEra Energy Canada, ULC First Nations and Métis Relationship Policy for discussion at the February 2 meeting about Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham . Also explained that NextEra has its own agreements to document the consultation activities we need to agree on, and that we can compare pros and cons with the HSM MOU at the meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Information-sharing	N/A

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-02-02	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden Patsy McArthur Bennie Indoe Goldie Meilhausen	<p>HSM provided an agenda for the meeting about Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham. A few hours prior to the meeting, HSM changed the location from their office to the Colonial Hotel. See detailed meeting notes on file. Key points included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • NextEra's new policy was reviewed and explained. • A budget is available to support consultation. We need to agree on steps, costs and how to document them in an agreement or agreements. • NextEra has also developed a budget to support "benefits" as a good neighbour voluntary initiative. • NextEra's Relationship Protocol was tabled and discussed. It is not aimed at benefits but addresses procedural consultation process and capacity funding. • HSM's proposed MOU combines both procedural consultation capacity costs and benefits. There is a need to approach these separately, with consultation capacity costs being the priority. • Bob stressed that time was of the essence to agree on consultation capacity costs given impending release of draft REA reports. • The group discussed and agreed on a process for third party review of draft REA reports, which would be part of the capacity funding agreement(s) to be negotiated 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process • Communication 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. HSM to provide a proposed consultation budget (Received 2012-02-17) 2. Bob to provide updated project schedule milestone dates (Sent 2012-02-03) 3. Bob to provide draft NextEra Negotiation Agreement ("benefits issue") for further discussion as alternative to HSM's MOU (Sent 2012-02-03) 4. Arrange another meeting to decide which documents to use and negotiate a budget (Done 2012-03-24)

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-02-03	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mails enclosing draft of Negotiation Agreement, meetings notes for HSM review and updated schedule of milestone dates concerning Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham .	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Process• Communication• Information-sharing	N/A
2012-02-17	Audrey Erin Holden	R. Waldon	E-mail enclosing proposed HSM “per project” consultation budget of \$28,000 applicable to Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham .	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Process• Information-sharing	See 2012-02-24

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-02-24	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden Patsy McArthur	<p>Tele-meeting to discuss proposed HSM consultation budget for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham. It was again pointed out that HSM is not on the Director's list for Jericho but NextEra is including them in consultations for the project given their rights assertions.</p> <p>See detailed meeting notes on file which were shared with HSM. The following was agreed to as proposals to HSM leadership and NextEra:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HSM community meetings to cover multiple projects to cover generic project information and then follow-up with regular community updates. • Items included in HSM fees to negotiate (administration, honourariums, staff, etc.), which are well understood. • HSM Legal fees "per project" will be presented to NextEra but cannot be recommended when the same documents will be used for each project and especially if they were drafted by HSM's lawyer. • Capacity costs for technical review and process seems reasonable. Reviewers should attend the community meeting to hear citizen's questions firsthand. • Cost and rationale for archaeology review is sound • Bob suggested whatever agreement is used should also cover construction and operations consultation (overlooked in HSM's MOU) <p>I offered to reimburse HSM for the costs of</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process • Budget negotiation 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bob to obtain formation on: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.1. NEEC operations annual meeting with HSM 1.2. Insertion of an assignment clause in all agreements 2. HSM to provide information on possible topics for an Operations annual meeting

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-03-13	Audrey Erin Holden	R. Waldon	E-mail confirming the 2012-02-24 meeting notes concerning Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham were complete and depict the details of the meeting. She advised "there has been a bit of a stall in the process". No decision has been made by HSM Council about whose documents to use and another proponent has signed HSM's MOU including a schedule C (budget) and per MW profit-sharing.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	Bob replied asking if Council would consider signing a letter-agreement so that 3rd party reviews of the project draft REA reports could go ahead? No direct reply received.
2012-03-22	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail asking if a meeting could be arranged to move the budget and document discussions forward concerning Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham projects, and again offering to arrange a letter-agreement so that a 3rd party review of Bluewater's draft REA reports could proceed.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process 	Audrey replied that Council met last night and decided they wanted to use their own MOU and are asking \$7,000 per project in Legal fees to finalize them with NextEra. She explained that Council realized this would slow the process but hoped the risk of holding up reviews of the REA would speed up NextEra's response. She advised NextEra's Legal team should review the MOU and after it has been agreed to HSM will arrange review of the draft REA reports.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-03-23	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	<p>E-mail sent to confirm the proposals discussed on the 2012-02-24 tele-meeting to ensure clarity before proposing them to NextEra for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Consolidate community meetings to cover generic issues 2. Payment of HSM costs to negotiate agreements at \$5,000 with same form of agreement applicable to each project 3. Legal fees of \$7,000 on same basis as #2, but asking if \$8,000 is not more appropriate? 4. Confirming \$8,000 per review of draft REA reports and asking that HSM's third party reviewer attend the citizens meeting to hear questions. 5. Confirming \$4,000 per review of archaeology report and confirming rationale. 6. Confirming that I have requested NextEra's sign off for the costs of 3rd party and archaeology report reviews and suggesting using the NextEra letter-agreement to allow them to move ahead. 7. Offering to have a Negotiation Agreement signed to create a commitment to continue discussions of benefits but that we should agree on consultation costs first. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget negotiation 	<p>No reply from Audrey.</p> <p>See 2012-03-26 letter from A. Indoe.</p>

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-03-24	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	As a separate issue from the budget and agreement negotiations, R. Waldon requested advice on how to set up a discussion about potential business and employment opportunities associated with NextEra wind farm development, construction and operation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Information-sharing• Broader relationship-building	No reply
2012-03-25	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden	E-mail enclosing Spring 2012 Archaeology Update that included information for Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Information-sharing• Communication	No reply

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-03-26	A. Indoe, President HSM	R. Waldon	<p>Letter in response to my e-mail of 2012-03-23 about Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham explaining that HSM Council:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will not consider consolidating project open houses/citizens meetings • Given HSM's experience on other projects their per project expectations of costs are \$3,000 per community meeting (no invoice required), \$5,000 HSM expenses (no invoice required) • HSM feels \$7,000 Legal is appropriate • HSM agrees on the \$8,000 per 3rd party review and up to \$4,000 per archaeology report • HSM will not enter into NextEra's Relationship Protocol agreement because of its "non-binding agreement" language. <p>The letter stated HSM did not feel Legal fees should be "withheld" because they had presented a budget first.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process • Budget negotiation 	Requested telephone meeting with NextEra and scheduled for April 4, 2012. NextEra was not available on April 4th and a rescheduled meeting was set up for April 5th but NextEra was not available.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-03-27	R. Waldon	Audrey Erin Holden Patsy McArthur	<p>Telephone call to follow-up on President Indoe's 2012-03-26 letter about Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham. See detailed record of call on file. Key points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The letter was a surprise as the 2012-03-23 e-mail to Audrey requested confirmation of the proposals prior to presentation. • I acknowledged HSM's position of separate meetings for each project (i.e. equivalent to Public Open House) • I asked for more information on the make-up of the \$5,000 HSM costs per agreement but Patsy declined to explain and stated other developers pay it. I explained that some documentation of costs is typically required. • I explained NextEra is not "withholding" legal fees as characterized in the letter. The proposal will be sent to NextEra but the \$7,000 per project is too hard to recommend given the lawyer is working with their own agreement and the same form of agreement will apply to each project. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget negotiation 	<p>Forwarded President Indoe's letter, the 2011 MOU and the proposed HSM budget to NextEra. No reply. Requested telephone meeting with NextEra and scheduled for April 4, 2012. NextEra was not available on April 4th and another meeting was set up for April 5th but NextEra was not available. Meeting set up for April 13th with NextEra was cancelled.</p>

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-04-13	A. Indoe, President, HSM	Tom Bird, NextEra	Letter in response to Bluewater REA report deliveries but concerning Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho and East Durham . The letter expressed frustration that no capacity funding had been provided to review draft REA reports, which was characterized as a “stalemate”. HSM believes this is a requirement because it is stated in the MOE consultation guide. The letter requested to meet directly with NextEra. The letter incorrectly stated Bob Waldon had told HSM no costs would be paid for the previous work with NextEra and, also incorrectly, that he has refused to offer capacity to conclude a legally binding agreement unless HSM’s accepts “his” form. The letter also reminded NextEra that as a historic Métis Community with Aboriginal rights, HSM expects to receive community benefits from the NextEra projects that will impact harvesting on traditional Métis lands.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget negotiation • Communication 	Meeting held 2012-04-16 to discuss letter and response.
2012-05-22	A. Indoe	N. Geneau	Letter acknowledging N. Geneau’s letter of May 11th and explaining that Annie Thuan of Reuter, Scargall, Bennett would facilitate negotiations on behalf of HSM	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process 	Annie Thuan to contact NextEra external counsel Richard King at Norton Rose to obtain information on Foreign Corrupt Practices Act issues, then arrange a meeting with Nicole Geneau.
2012-06-13	T. Bird	Archie Indoe	Letter enclosing notice of Open House for East Durham Wind Energy Centre	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-08-13	T. Bird	Archie Indoe	Letter enclosing notice and copy of site plan for East Durham Wind Energy Centre	• Regulatory Compliance	No reply was received, however negotiations were taking place with regard through Legal counsel to a capacity and community benefits funding agreement.
2012-10-29	T. Bird	Archie Indoe	Letter enclosing notice of public meeting for East Durham and enclosing drat project REA Table 1 reports.	• Regulatory compliance	No reply was received.



Appendixes

Appendix H.3 Métis Nation of Ontario

Contact Record

Projects: Bluewater, Conestogo and **East Durham** Wind Energy Centres

Community: **Métis Nation of Ontario, Region 9**
Métis Nation of Ontario, Region 7*
(*Georgian Bay Regional Protocol Committee)

Main Contact: Peter Rivers, Region 9 Councillor
Pauline Saulnier, Region 7, Regional
Councillor and Chair*
Melanie Paradis, Director, Land, Resources
and Consultations;
James Wagar, Coordinator, Land,
Resources and Consultations

NEEC Energy Canada, ULC (“NEEC”) proposes to develop, construct and operate a number of wind energy projects in Ontario. This report details all communications with the Aboriginal community identified above, in support of the Ontario Regulation 359/09 Renewable Energy Approval requirement for consulting with Aboriginal peoples. Information is current to the date shown at the bottom of this report. Please contact NEEC for clarification or to receive any available updates.

The projects for which this Aboriginal community has expressed interest, or that have been confirmed by the Director of Renewable Energy Approvals “list of aboriginal communities” are identified above. Please refer to the Project Description Reports and supporting reports as set out in Table 1 of Ontario Regulation 359/09 for information about these projects, potential impacts and proposed mitigation. All available reports may be found at:

www.nextEraEnergyCanada.com

- Adelaide Wind Energy Centre
- Bluewater Wind Energy Centre
- Bornish Wind Energy Centre
- Conestogo Wind Energy Centre
- East Durham Wind Energy Centre
- Goshen Wind Energy Centre
- Jericho Wind Energy Centre
- Summerhaven Wind Energy Centre

In compliance with Ministry of Environment filing requirements, this report has been abridged as of the date below, to focus specifically on communications about, or that included Goshen.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2009-09-24	R. Waldon	M. Paradis H. Rowlinson	<p>E-Mail follow-up inquiring about the type of information package MNO staff would like to see in regard to NEEC wind energy projects. M. Paradis replied:</p> <p>“Sittings of the turbines, the ESR plus a more detailed breakdown of impacts to watercourses (sedimentation from culverts, etc), length of construction period, number of construction workers on site at any given time, estimated number of trucks per turbine (hauling turbines and concrete), archaeological work on site, biodiversity plans, willingness to plant Metis species of interest over the turbine footings if they meet proponent needs, impacts on migratory species during construction and permanently... Will they need to construct a transmission connection line and if so will they use chemical sprays to maintain the line? Is it on private agricultural land or Crown land? Are there any ANSI's or SAR's in the area, if so what are they and what are their plans to avoid impacting them? Are any of the water crossings cold water streams? Are any unidentified? Any significant wetlands?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	<p>Issues list reported to NEEC for consideration as part of the REA studies.</p>