

154 Otonabee Drive, Kitchener, ON N2C 1L7 Tel: (519) 804-2291 Fax: (519) 286-0493 248 Ruby St., Midland, ON L4R 2L4

Tel: (705) 526-9518 Fax: (705) 526-4541

Stage 2 Property Assessment Additional Lands and ROWs Goshen Wind Energy Centre FIT-FETX82X

Municipalities of Bluewater and South Huron Multiple Lots and Concessions Geographic Townships of Hay, Stephen and Usborne Huron County, Ontario

Prepared for **Goshen Wind, Inc.** 390 Bay Street, Suite 1720 Toronto, ON M5H 2Y2 Tel: (416) 364-9714

&

The Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport

By

Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.

154 Otonabee Drive Kitchener, ON N2C 1L7 Tel: (519) 804-2291 Fax: (519) 286-0493

Licenced under
P.J. Racher, M.A., CAHP
MTCS Licence #P007
Project #P007-535

PIF #P007-535-2013 MTCS Review File #HD00762

02/10/2013

Original Report

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Under a contract awarded in February 2013, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. carried out a Stage 2 property assessment of lands with the potential to be impacted by the proposed Goshen Wind Energy Centre in the Municipalities of Bluewater and South Huron, Huron County, Ontario. Specifically, the Stage 2 assessment encompassed 73 parcels of various sizes within the project location, comprising additional lands and portions of several municipal Right-of-Ways where project infrastructure has been proposed. This report documents the background research, fieldwork and artifact processing involved in the assessment, and presents conclusions and recommendations pertaining to archaeological concerns in these areas.

The assessment was completed as a component of a Renewable Energy Approval application (FIT-FETX82X), in advance of construction and in compliance with the requirements set out in Section 22 of Ontario Regulation 359/09 made under the *Environmental Protection Act*. The assessment was conducted on behalf of Goshen Wind, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of NextEra Energy Canada, ULC.

The project location for the Goshen Wind Energy Centre has been subjected to multiple archaeological assessments. A Stage 1 assessment was completed by Golder Associates Ltd. in June 2012 under licences #P001 and #P218, PIFs #P001-608-2009 and #P218-278-2011 (Golder 2012a). This study determined that Stage 2 assessment would be required "for any areas to be impacted by turbine construction, access road construction, or other infrastructure construction related activities" (Golder 2012a:46). A Stage 2 assessment of the project location was carried out by Golder Associates Ltd. between May 2011 and September 2012 under licence #P218, PIF #P218-038-2011 (Golder 2013). Golder also carried out a Stage 2 assessment of additional lands between November and December 2012 under licence #P366, PIF #P366-017-2013 (Golder 2012b).

A total of 63 archaeological sites (Locations 1–63) were identified during the Stage 2 assessments, comprising 38 Pre-Contact sites, 20 Euro-Canadian sites and 5 multi-component sites. Thirty-three of these sites were found to be of further cultural heritage value or interest and were recommended for Stage 3 site-specific assessment (Golder 2013:Table 145). Archaeological Research Associates Ltd., Stantec Consulting Ltd. and AECOM subsequently conducted Stage 3 site-specific assessments and Stage 4 mitigations of development impacts at those sites within the project location that could not be avoided through project redesign (e.g., ARA 2013c–2013f).

Following the completion of the original investigations, it was determined that additional Stage 2 assessment was required for 73 parcels of various sizes within the project location, comprising additional lands and portions of several municipal Right-of-Ways where project infrastructure has been proposed. These areas were included in the original Stage 1 assessment conducted under licences #P001 and #P218, PIFs #P001-608-2009 and #P218-278-2011 (Golder 2012a).

The Stage 2 property assessment was conducted between May and September 2013 under licence #P007, PIF #P007-535-2013. Legal permission to enter and conduct all necessary fieldwork activities on project lands was granted by the property owners. This assessment resulted in the discovery of one location of archaeological material: Location 64 on parcel GSH1505. Location 64 comprised a 28 x 16 m scatter of 16 Euro-Canadian artifacts and 1 Pre-Contact lithic tool, and 16 artifacts were collected for laboratory analysis. The diagnostic artifacts indicated that the deposit dated to the late 19th and 20th centuries, but only two of these artifacts definitively dated to pre-1900 due to long periods of production and use. The lithic multi-tool was of an undetermined Pre-Contact date. Location 64 was found to be of no further cultural heritage value or interest.

Based on these findings, Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. recommends that no further archaeological assessment of Location 64 be required, and that the remainder of the assessed lands also require no further archaeological assessment. Should the proposed project location change in this area, additional archaeological work may be required. A *Letter of Review and Acceptance into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports* is requested, as provided for in Section 65.1 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY		I
GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS		XIV
PERSONNEL		XV
1.0 PROJECT CONTEXT		1
1.1 Development Context		1
1.2 Historical Context		4
1.2.1 Pre-Contact		4
1.2.1.1 Palaeo-Indian Period		4
1.2.1.2 Archaic Period		4
1.2.1.3 Early and Middle Woo	dland Periods	5
1.2.1.4 Late Woodland Period		6
1.2.2 Early Contact		9
1.2.2.1 European Explorers		9
1.2.2.2 Trading Contacts and C	Conflict	9
1.2.2.3 Five Nations Invasion		10
1.2.2.4 Anishinabeg Influx		11
1.2.2.5 Relations and Ambition	ns	12
1.2.3 The Euro-Canadian Era		13
1.2.3.1 British Colonialism		13
1.2.3.2 Huron County		14
1.2.3.3 Township of Hay		17
1.2.3.4 Township of Stephen		18
1.2.3.5 Township of Usborne		20
1.2.3.6 The Study Area		21
1.2.4 Summary of Past and Prese	nt Land Use	23
1.2.5 Additional Background Info	ormation	23
1.3 Archaeological Context		24
1.3.1 Previous Archaeological Wo	ork	24
1.3.2 Summary of Registered Arc	chaeological Sites	25
1.3.3 Natural Environment		31
1.3.4 Archaeological Fieldwork a	and Property Conditions	37
2.0 STAGE 2 PROPERTY ASSES	SMENT	38
2.1 Field Methods		38
2.2 Summary of Results		51
2.3 Location 64		52
2.3.1 Record of Finds		52

2.3.1.1 Overview	52
2.3.1.2 Description	52
2.3.1.3 Inventory of the Documentary Record	53
2.3.2 Analysis and Conclusions	54
2.3.3 Recommendations	56
3.0 SYNTHESIS OF CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	57
4.0 ADVICE ON COMPLIANCE WITH LEGISLATION	58
5.0 IMAGES	59
6.0 MAPS	170
7.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY AND SOURCES	272
LIST OF IMAGES	
Image 1: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1006	59
Image 2: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1006	59
Image 3: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1006	60 60
Image 4: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1007 Image 5: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1007	61
Image 6: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1007	61
Image 7: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1012	62
Image 8: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1012	62
Image 9: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1012	63
Image 10: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1013	63
Image 11: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1013	64
Image 12: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1013	64
Image 13: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1020	65
Image 14: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1020	65
Image 15: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1022/2176	66
Image 16: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1022/2176	66
Image 17: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1022/2176	67
Image 18: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1023	67
Image 19: View of Crewmember Screening Soil through 6 mm Mesh at GSH1023	68
Image 20: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1023	68
Image 21: View of Typical Test Pit Excavated 5 cm into Subsoil at GSH1023	69
Image 22: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1023	69
Image 23: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting at a Maximum Interval of 5 m at GSH1033	70
Image 24: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1033	70
Image 25: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1033 Image 26: View of Crowmomber Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1034	71 71
Image 26: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1034 Image 27: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1034	71
mage 21. Yes of Distilled lest I it at Obillost	12

Image 28: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1034	72
Image 29: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting at a Maximum Interval of 5 m at GSH1035	71
(West) Image 30: View of Crewmember Screening Soil through 6 mm Mesh at GSH1035 (West)	73 73
Image 31: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1035 (West)	73 74
Image 32: View of Test Pit Excavated into 5 cm into Subsoil at GSH1035 (West)	74 74
Image 33: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1035 (West)	75
Image 34: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1035 (East)	75
Image 35: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1035 (East)	76
Image 36: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1035 (East)	76
Image 37: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1038	77
Image 38: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1038	77
Image 39: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1038	78
Image 40: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1039	78
Image 41: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1039	79
Image 42: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1040	79
Image 43: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1040	80
Image 44: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1040	80
Image 45: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1043 (North)	81
Image 46: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1043 (North)	81
Image 47: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1043 (North)	82
Image 48: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1043 (East)	82
Image 49: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1048	83
Image 50: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1049	83
Image 51: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1049	84
Image 52: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1056	84
Image 53: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1056	85
Image 54: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1056	85
Image 55: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1061	86
Image 56: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1061	86
Image 57: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1061	87
Image 58: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting at a Maximum Interval of 5m at GSH1062	87
Image 59: View of Typical Test Pit Excavated 5 cm into Subsoil at GSH1062	88
Image 60: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1062	88
Image 61: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1067	89
Image 62: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1067	89
Image 63: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1067	90
Image 64: View of Field Conditions during Pedestrian Survey at GSH1068	90
Image 65: View of Crewmembers Pedestrian Surveying at a Maximum Interval of 5 m at	
GSH1068 (Add Land)	91
Image 66: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1068 (East)	91
Image 67: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1068 (East)	92

Image 68: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1068	
(West)	92
Image 69: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1068 (West)	93
Image 70: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1068 (West)	93
Image 71: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting at a Maximum Interval of 5 m at GSH107	2 94
Image 72: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting at a Maximum Interval of 5 m at GSH107	2 94
Image 73: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1072	95
Image 74: View of Typical Test Pit Excavated 5 cm into Subsoil at GSH1072	95
Image 75: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1072	96
Image 76: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1077 (East,	
Centre)	96
Image 77: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1077 (East, Centre)	97
Image 78: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1077	
(East, Centre)	97
Image 79: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at	
GSH1077 (West)/1766 (North)	98
Image 80: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1077 (West)/1766 (North)	98
Image 81: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at	
GSH1077 (West)/1766 (North)	99
Image 82: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1095	99
Image 83: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1095	100
Image 84: View of Typical Test Pit Excavated 5 cm into Subsoil at GSH1095	100
Image 85: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1095	101
Image 86: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1118	101
Image 87: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1118	102
Image 88: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1118	102
Image 89: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1360 (Sout	h) 103
Image 90: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1360 (South)	103
Image 91: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1360 (South)	104
Image 92: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1360 (North)	104
Image 93: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1360 (North)	105
Image 94: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1390	105
Image 95: View of Disturbed Test at GSH1390	106
Image 96: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1390	106
Image 97: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1461	107
Image 98: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1461	107
Image 99: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1461	108
Image 100: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1481	108
Image 101: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1481	109
Image 102: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1481	109
Image 103: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1493	110
Image 104: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1493	110

1
1
2
2
_
3
J
3
יכ
4
4
Т
5
<i>5</i>
6
6
7
, 7
8
8
9
9
0
0
1
1
1
2
_
2
<u>-</u> 3
3
J
4
4
5
5
6
6
7
, 7
8

Image 140: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1744/1765 128 Image 141: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1757 129 Image 142: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1757 129 Image 143: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1757 130 Image 144: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1758 130 Image 145: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1758 131 Image 146: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1758 131 Image 147: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1766 (South) 132 Image 148: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH1780 132 Image 149: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH1780 133 Image 150: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1780 133 Image 151: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting at a Maximum 5 m Interval at GSH1949 134 Image 152: View of Typical Test Pit Excavated 5 cm into Subsoil at GSH1949 134 Image 153: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH1949 135 Image 154: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2028 135 Image 155: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH2043 136 Image 156: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH2043 136 Image 157: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2043 137 Image 158: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH2046 137 Image 159: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH2046 138 Image 160: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2046 138 Image 161: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2053 139 Image 162: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2053 139 Image 163: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH2056 140 Image 164: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2056 140 Image 165: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH2099 141 Image 166: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH2099 141 Image 167: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2099 142 Image 168: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH2108 142 Image 169: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH2108 143 Image 170: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2108 143 Image 171: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH2133 144 Image 172: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2133 144 Image 173: View of Crewmembers Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH2158 145 Image 174: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2158 145 Image 175: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at GSH2236 146 Image 176: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH2236 146 Image 177: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2236 147 Image 178: View of Disturbed Test Pit at GSH2237 147 Image 179: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2237 148 Image 180: View of Disturbed Test at GSH2238 148 Image 181: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2238 149

Image 182: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2255	149
Image 183: View of Field Conditions during Pedestrian Survey at GSH2381	150
Image 184: View of Crewmembers Pedestrian Surveying at Reduced Intervals at	
GSH2381	150
Image 185: View of Field Conditions during Pedestrian Survey at GSH2411/2956/2717	151
Image 186: View of Crewmembers Pedestrian Surveying at a Maximum Interval of 5 m at	
GSH2411/2956/2717	151
Image 187: View of Field Conditions during Pedestrian Survey at GSH2411/2956/2717	152
Image 188: View of Crewmembers Pedestrian Surveying at Reduced Intervals at	
GSH2411/2956/2717	152
Image 189: View of Crewmember Test Pitting at a Maximum Interval of 5 m at	
GSH2411/2956/2717	153
Image 190: View of Typical Test Pit Excavated 5 cm into Subsoil at GSH2411/2956/2717	153
Image 191: View of Field Conditions during Pedestrian Survey at GSH2555	154
Image 192: View of Crewmembers Pedestrian Surveying at 5 m Intervals at GSH2555	154
Image 193: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2767	155
Image 194: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at GSH2838	155
Image 195: View of Field Conditions during Pedestrian Survey at GSH3065	156
Image 196: View of Crewmembers Pedestrian Surveying at 5 m Intervals at GSH3065	156
Image 197: View of Field Conditions during Pedestrian Survey at GSH3068	157
Image 198: View of Crewmembers Pedestrian Surveying at 5 m Intervals at GSH3068	157
Image 199: View of Crewmember Test Pitting at a Maximum Interval of 5 m at GSH3068	158
Image 200: View of Typical Test Pit Excavated 5cm into Subsoil at GSH3068	158
Image 201: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at Grand Bend	
Line from GSH1528 to GSH1016 (North)	159
Image 202: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at Grand Bend Line	
from GSH1528 to GSH1016 (North)	159
Image 203: View of Disturbed Test Pit at Grand Bend Line from GSH1528 to GSH1016	
(North)	160
Image 204: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at Grand Bend Line	
from GSH1528 to GSH1016 (North)	160
Image 205: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at Babylon Line	
from GSH2058 to GSH2030	161
Image 206: View of Disturbed Test Pit Excavated at Babylon Line from GSH2058 to	
GSH2030	161
Image 207: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at Babylon Line from	
GSH2058 to GSH2030	162
Image 208: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at Babylon Line	
from GSH2058 to GSH2030	162
Image 209: View of Disturbed Test Pit Excavated at Babylon Line from GSH2058 to	-
GSH2030	163

Image 210: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at Babylon Line from GSH2058–GSH2030	163
Image 211: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at Blackbush Line	10.
from GSH1758 to GSH2252	164
Image 212: View of Disturbed Test Pit Excavated at Blackbush Line from GSH1758 to	10-
GSH2252	164
Image 213: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at Blackbush Line from GSH1758 to GSH2252	165
Image 214: View of Crewmember Test Pitting to Confirm Disturbance at Mollard Line from GSH1559 to GSH1099	165
Image 215: View of Disturbed Test Pit Excavated at Mollard Line from GSH1559 to GSH1099	166
Image 216: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at Mollard Line from GSH1559 to GSH1099	166
Image 217: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at Bronson Line at GSH1077	167
Image 218: View of Crewmember Test Pitting at a Maximum Interval of 5 m at	
Huron Street at GSH1013	167
Image 219: View of Disturbed Test Pit Excavated at Huron Street at GSH1013	168
Image 220: Area of No Archaeological Potential – Disturbed Lands at Huron Street at	
GSH1013	168
Image 216: Sample of Artifacts from Location 64	169
LIST OF MAPS	
Map 1: Location of the Study Area in the Province of Ontario	170
Map 2: View of the Northern Part of the Project Location	171
Map 3: View of the Southwestern Part of the Project Location	172
Map 4: View of the West-Central Part of the Project Location	173
Map 5: View of the Central Part of the Project Location	174
Map 6: View of the East-Central Part of the Project Location	175
Map 7: View of the Eastern Part of the Project Location	176
Map 8: Middle Woodland Period Complexes	177
Map 9: Pre-Contact Iroquoian Site Clusters	177
Map 10: Detail from S. de Champlain's <i>Carte de la Nouvelle France</i> (1632)	178
Map 11: Detail from N. Sanson's <i>Le Canada</i> , ou Nouvelle France (1656)	178
Map 12: Detail from the Map of Galinée's Voyage (1670)	179
Map 13: Detail from H. Popple's A Map of the British Empire in America (1733)	179
Map 14: Detail from R. Sayer and J. Bennett's General Map of the Middle British	
Colonies in America (1776)	180
Map 15: Detail from D.W. Smyth's A Map of the Province of Upper Canada (1800)	180

Map 16: Detail from J. Purdy's A Map of Cabotia (1814)	181
Map 17: Detail from J. Arrowsmith's Upper Canada (1837)	181
Map 18: Detail from J. Bouchette's Map of the Provinces of Canada (1846)	182
Map 19: Detail from G.W. Colton's Canada West (1856)	182
Map 20: Huron County from W.J. Gage and Co.'s <i>Gage's County Atlas</i> (1886)	183
Map 21: The Township of Hay from H. Belden & Co.'s Illustrated Historical Atlas of the	
County of Huron (1879)	184
Map 22: The Township of Stephen from H. Belden & Co.'s Illustrated Historical Atlas of	
the County of Huron (1879)	185
Map 23: The Township of Usborne from H. Belden & Co.'s <i>Illustrated Historical Atlas of</i>	
the County of Huron (1879)	186
Map 24: Detail of the Southern Part of the Township of Hay from H. Belden & Co.'s	100
Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Huron (1879), Showing the Parcels	187
Map 25: Detail of the Western Part of the Township of Stephen from H. Belden & Co.'s	107
Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Huron (1879), Showing the Parcels	188
Map 26: Detail of the Central Part of the Township of Stephen from H. Belden & Co.'s	100
Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Huron (1879), Showing the Parcels	189
	109
Map 27: Detail of the Eastern Part of the Township of Stephen from H. Belden & Co.'s	190
Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Huron (1879), Showing the Parcels	190
Map 28: Detail of the Southern Part of the Township of Usborne from H. Belden & Co.'s	101
Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Huron (1879), Showing the Parcels	191
Map 29: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1006	192
Map 30: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1007	193
Map 31: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1012	194
Map 32: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1013	195
Map 33: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1020	196
Map 34: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1022/2176	197
Map 35: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1023	198
Map 36: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1033	199
Map 37: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1034	200
Map 38: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1035 (East)	201
Map 39: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1035 (West)	202
Map 40: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1038	203
Map 41: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1039	204
Map 42: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1040	205
Map 43: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1043 (North)	206
Map 44: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1043 (East)	207
Map 45: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1048	208
Map 46: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1049	209
Map 47: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1056	210
Map 48: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1061	211
Map 49: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1062	212

Map 50: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1067	213
Map 51: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1068	
(Add Land)	214
Map 52: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1068 (East)	215
Map 53: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1068 (West)	216
Map 54: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1072	217
Map 55: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1077	
(East, Centre)	218
Map 56: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1077	
(West)/1766 (North)	219
Map 57: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1095	220
Map 58: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1118	221
Map 59: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1360 (South)	222
Map 60: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1360 (North)	223
Map 61: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1390	224
Map 62: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1461	225
Map 63: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1481	226
Map 64: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1493	227
Map 65: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1498/1659	228
Map 66: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for	
GSH1505/2252/1504	229
Map 67: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1507	230
Map 68: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1509	231
Map 69: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1526	232
Map 70: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1528	233
Map 71: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1605	
(Add Land)	234
Map 72: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1605 (ROW)	235
Map 73: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1617	236
Map 74: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1744/1765	237
Map 75: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1757	238
Map 76: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1758	239
Map 77: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1766 (South)	240
Map 78: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1780	241
Map 79: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1949	242
Map 80: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH1747	243
Map 81: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2043	244
Map 82: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2046	245
	246
Map 83: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2053	240
Map 84: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2056	
Map 85: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2099	248
Map 86: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2108	249

Map 87: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2133	250
Map 88: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2158	251
Map 89: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2236	252
Map 90: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2237	253
Map 91: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2238	254
Map 92: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2255	255
Map 93: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2381	256
Map 94: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for	
GSH2411/2717/2956	257
Map 95: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2555	258
Map 96: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2767	259
Map 97: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH2838	260
Map 98: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH3065	261
Map 99: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for GSH3068	262
Map 100: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for Grand Bend Line	
from GSH1528 to GSH1016 (North)	263
Map 101: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for Grand Bend Line	
from GSH1528 to GSH1016 (South)	264
Map 102: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for Babylon Line from	
GSH2058 to GSH2030 (North)	265
Map 103: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for Babylon Line from	
GSH2058 to GSH2030 (South)	266
Map 104: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for Blackbush Line	
from GSH1758 to GSH2252	267
Map 105: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for Mollard Line from	
GSH1559 to GSH1099	268
Map 106: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for Bronson Line at	
GSH1077	269
Map 107: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Field Methods and Images for Huron Street at	
GSH1013	270
Map 108: Stage 2 Assessment Results – Location 64	271
LICT OF TABLES	
LIST OF TABLES	
Table 1: Locations of Assessed Parcels	2
Table 2: Euro-Canadian Residents within or adjacent to the Subject Parcels, according to	
H. Belden & Co.'s Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Huron, Ontario	
(1879)	21
Table 3: Registered or Known Sites within 1 km of the Project Location	25
Table 4: Registered Archaeological Sites within 1 km of the Subject Parcels	29
Table 5: Summary of Distances between Parcels and Water Sources	32

Stage 2 Property Assessment, Goshen Wind Energy Centre, Additional Lands and ROWs	xiv
Table 6: Summary of Soil Types by Parcel	34
Table 7: Summary of Property Characteristics, Assessment Methods and Rationale	
by Parcel	38
Table 8: Summary of Weather and Lighting Conditions	42
Table 9: Summary of Assessment Methods and Images by Parcel	46
Table 10: Summary of Utilized Field Methods	49
Table 11: GPS Co-ordinates for Fixed Reference Landmarks	49
Table 12: Summary of Artifacts – Location 64	53
Table 13: Stage 2 Documentary Record	54
Table 14: Analysis of Diagnostic Artifacts – Location 64	54
LIST OF APPENDICES	
Appendix A: Project Mapping for the Goshen Wind Energy Centre	281
Appendix B: Artifact Registry	282

GLOSSARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

ARA – Archaeological Research Associates Ltd.

CHVI – Cultural Heritage Value or Interest

FIT – Feed-in Tariff

MTC – (Former) Ministry of Tourism and Culture

MTCS - Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport

NB – Northern Boundary

PIF – Project Information Form

O. Reg. – Ontario Regulation

RAS – River Aux Sable Concession

REA – Renewable Energy Approval

ROW – Right-of-Way

SB – Southern Boundary

SD – Supplementary Documentation

PERSONNEL

Project Director: P.J. Racher, M.A., CAHP (#P007)

Deliverables Manager: C.J. Gohm, M.A. **Assistant Project Manager:** V. Cafik (#R437)

Field Operations Managers: S. Brown (#R302), J. Haxell (#P135)

Field Directors: S. Bolstridge (#R471), P. Epler (#R418), M. McCready (#R490),

A. O'Shaughnessy (#R497)

Assistant Field Directors: H. Buckton (#R491), A. Moulton, T. Taylor

GPS Technicians: J. Haxell, A. O'Shaughnessy, R. Tobicoe

Additional Field Crewmembers: S. Adams, L. Bailey, R. Cogswell, A. Danielson,

C. De Francesco, C. Englehardt, A. Feinstein, K. Henry, C. Hyde, B. King, J. King,

O. LaFlamme, E. LaForme, G. LaForme, M. LaForme, L. Martin-King, A. Martini,

R. McMullen, R. Mendes, J. Miller, T. Nesmith, C. Pallet, J. Sawyer, G. Scheidt,

J. Secord, Z. Secord, C. Shipley, K. Vanevery

Technical Photographers: S. Bolstridge, P. Epler, M. McCready, A. O'Shaughnessy

Historical Researchers: C.J. Gohm, V. Cafik *Cartographer:* K. Brightwell, P.G. (GIS) (#R341)

Material Culturalist: A. Carswell *Technical Writers:* V. Cafik, C.J. Gohm

Licensee Revision: P.J. Racher

1.0 PROJECT CONTEXT

1.1 Development Context

Under a contract awarded in February 2013, ARA carried out a Stage 2 property assessment of lands with the potential to be impacted by the proposed Goshen Wind Energy Centre in the Municipalities of Bluewater and South Huron, Huron County, Ontario. Specifically, the Stage 2 assessment encompassed 73 parcels of various sizes within the project location, comprising additional lands and portions of several municipal ROWs where project infrastructure has been proposed. This report documents the background research, fieldwork and artifact processing involved in the assessment, and presents conclusions and recommendations pertaining to archaeological concerns in these areas.

The assessment was completed as a component of a REA application (FIT-FETX82X), in advance of construction and in compliance with the requirements set out in Section 22 of O. Reg. 359/09 made under the *Environmental Protection Act*. The assessment was conducted on behalf of Goshen Wind, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of NextEra Energy Canada, ULC.

The Goshen Wind Energy Centre project consists of the site preparation, construction, operation and decommissioning of a Class 4 wind generating facility with a total nameplate capacity of 102 MW (see Appendix A). The major components of the project include 1) up to 72 1.6 MW GE model wind turbine generator locations and pad mounted step-up transformers (however, only 63 turbines will be constructed), 2) laydown and storage areas (including temporary staging areas, crane pads and turnaround areas surrounding each wind turbine), 3) underground, 34.5 kV, electrical collection lines to connect the turbines to the proposed transformer substation, 4) 115 kV transmission line to run from the proposed transformer substation to a breaker switch station which will connect the electricity generated by the project to the existing Hydro One 115 kV transmission line, 5) turbine access roads, 6) permanent meteorological tower(s), and 7) an operations and maintenance building (NextEra 2013).

The majority of the project location for the Goshen Wind Energy Centre was previously assessed (see Section 1.3.1). Following the completion of the original investigations, it was determined that additional Stage 2 assessment was required for 73 parcels of various sizes within the project location, comprising additional lands and portions of several municipal ROWs where project infrastructure has been proposed. These areas were included in the original Stage 1 assessment conducted under licences #P001 and #P218, PIFs #P001-608-2009 and #P218-278-2011 (Golder 2012a).

The study area for this assessment therefore comprises the 73 subject parcels, which have a total area of 45.97 ha and are widely distributed across the project location (see Map 2–Map 7). These parcels comprise parts of numerous municipal road ROWs (i.e., Kirkton Road, Crediton Road, Pepper Road, Rodgerville Road, Dashwood Road, Huron Street, Mollard Line, South Road, Bronson Line, Babylon Line, Blackbush Line, MacDonald Road, Greenway Drive, Eagleson Line, Goshen Line, Parr Line, Shipka Line, Grand Bend Line and Victoria Avenue West), private laneways and agricultural fields. In legal terms, the parcels fall within or adjacent to multiple lots and concessions in the Geographic Townships of Hay, Stephen and Usborne (see Table 1).

2 1 1 open by 1100 content of the 110 content of th

Table 1: Locations of Assessed Parcels

Parcel	Type	Lot	Concession	Township
GSH1006	ROW	10	9	Hay
GSH1007	ROW	15	10	Hay
	ROW	15	NB	
GSH1012			+	Stephen
GSH1013	ROW	21	9	Stephen
GSH1020	ROW	13	RAS	Stephen
GSH1022/2176	ROW	12	22, RAS	Stephen
GSH1023	ROW	14	22	Stephen
GSH1033	ROW	5	15	Stephen
GSH1034	ROW	3	12	Hay
GSH1035	ROW (East and West)	6	16	Stephen
GSH1038	ROW	11	12	Hay
GSH1039	ROW	11	13	Hay
GSH1040	ROW	7	12	Hay
GSH1043	ROW (East and North)	15	9	Hay
GSH1048	ROW	8	14	Hay
GSH1049	ROW	6	12	Hay
GSH1056	ROW	13	13	Нау
GSH1061	ROW	12	12	Stephen
GSH1062	ROW	5–6	13	Stephen
GSH1067	ROW	4	12	Stephen
GSH1068	ROW (East and West), Additional Lands – Removed from Project Design	19, 16	RAS	Stephen
GSH1072	ROW	6	11	Stephen
GSH1077 (East, Centre)	ROW	10–11	14	Stephen
GSH1077 (West)/1766 (North)	ROW	9–10	14	Stephen
GSH1095	ROW	43	SB	Stephen
GSH1118	ROW	10	11	Hay
GSH1360	ROW (North and South)	12	10	Hay
GSH1390	ROW	12	9	Hay
GSH1461	ROW	14	SB	Hay
GSH1481	ROW	8	7	Stephen
GSH1493	ROW	13	7	Stephen
GSH1498/1659	ROW	14	16–17	Stephen
GSH1505/2252/1504	Additional Lands and ROWs	15–16	15	Stephen
GSH1507	ROW	9	16	Stephen
GSH1509	ROW	8	16	Stephen
GSH1526	ROW	9	20	Stephen
GSH1528	ROW	7	20	Stephen
GSH1605	Additional Lands and ROWs (East and West)	10	19–20	Stephen
GSH1617	ROW	11	18	Stephen

Parcel	Туре	Lot	Concession	Township
GSH1744/1765	ROW	11–12	14–15	Stephen
GSH1757	ROW	18	14	Stephen
GSH1758	ROW	17	14	Stephen
GSH1766 (South)	ROW	9	14	Stephen
GSH1780	ROW	6	14	Stephen
GSH1949	ROW	8	15	Stephen
GSH2028	ROW	4	9	Stephen
GSH2043	ROW	17	8	Stephen
GSH2046	ROW	14	8	Stephen
GSH2053	ROW	10	8	Stephen
GSH2056	ROW	8	8	Stephen
GSH2099	ROW	13	NB	Stephen
GSH2108	ROW	20	9	Stephen
GSH2133	ROW	15	10	Stephen
GSH2158	ROW	9	9	Stephen
GSH2236	ROW	16	16	Stephen
GSH2237	ROW	18	16	Stephen
GSH2238	ROW	19	16	Stephen
GSH2255	ROW	10	7	Usborne
GSH2381	Additional Lands	6	4	Usborne
GSH2411/2717/2956	Additional Lands	12–13	5–6	Stephen
GSH2555	Additional Lands	8	1–2	Usborne
GSH2767	ROW	5	10	Usborne
GSH2838	ROW	6	9	Usborne
GSH3065	Additional Lands	9	12	Usborne
GSH3068	Additional Lands	6	11	Usborne
Grand Bend Line from GSH1528 to GSH1016	ROW	6–7	20	Stephen
Babylon Line from GSH2058 to GSH2030	ROW	4–8	9	Stephen
Blackbush Line from GSH1758 to GSH2252	ROW	17	14	Stephen
Mollard Line from GSH1559 to GSH1099	ROW	15–16	RAS	Stephen
Bronson Line at GSH1077	ROW	11	13	Stephen
Huron Street at GSH1013	ROW	21	9	Stephen

The Stage 2 property assessment was conducted between May and September 2013 under licence #P007, PIF #P007-535-2013. Legal permission to enter and conduct all necessary fieldwork activities on project lands was granted by the property owners. In compliance with the objectives set out in Section 2.0 of the *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists* (MTC 2011:27–41), the Stage 2 assessment was carried out in order to:

- Empirically document all archaeological resources on the properties;
- Determine whether the properties contains resources requiring further assessment; and
- Recommend appropriate Stage 3 assessment strategies for identified archaeological sites.

The assessments were conducted in accordance with the provisions of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. O.18. All notes, photographs and records pertaining to the project are currently housed in ARA's processing facility located at 154 Otonabee Drive, Kitchener. Subsequent long-term storage will occur at ARA's head office located at 97 Gatewood Road, Kitchener.

The MTCS is asked to review the results and recommendations presented in this report and provide their endorsement through a *Letter of Review and Acceptance into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports*.

1.2 Historical Context

After a century of archaeological work in southern Ontario, scholarly understanding of the historic usage of lands in Huron County has become very well-developed. What follows is a detailed summary of the archaeological cultures that have settled in the vicinity of the study area over the past 11,000 years; from the earliest Palaeo-Indian hunters to the most recent Euro-Canadian farmers.

1.2.1 Pre-Contact

1.2.1.1 Palaeo-Indian Period

The first documented evidence of occupation in southern Ontario dates to around 9000 BC, after the retreat of the Wisconsin glaciers and the formation of Lake Algonquin, Early Lake Erie and Early Lake Ontario (Karrow and Warner 1990; Jackson et al. 2000:416–419). At that time small Palaeo-Indian bands moved into the region, leading mobile lives based on the communal hunting of large game and the collection of plant-based food resources (Ellis and Deller 1990:38; MCL 1997:34). Current understanding suggests that Palaeo-Indian peoples ranged over very wide territories in order to live sustainably in a post-glacial environment with low biotic productivity. This environment changed considerably during this period, developing from a sub-arctic spruce forest to a boreal forest dominated by pine (Ellis and Deller 1990:52–54, 60).

An Early Palaeo-Indian period (ca. 9000–8400 BC) and a Late Palaeo-Indian period (ca. 8400–7500 BC) are discernable amongst the lithic spear and dart points. Early points are characterized by grooves or 'flutes' near the base while the later examples lack such fluting. All types would have been used to hunt caribou and other 'big game'. Archaeological sites from both time-periods typically served as small campsites or 'way-stations' (occasionally with hearths or fire-pits), where tool manufacture/maintenance and hide processing would have taken place. For the most part, these sites tend to be small (less than 200 sq. m) and ephemeral (Ellis and Deller 1990:51–52, 60–62). Many parts of the Palaeo-Indian lifeway remain unknown.

1.2.1.2 Archaic Period

Beginning in the early 8th millennium BC, the biotic productivity of the environment began to increase as the climate warmed and southern Ontario was colonized by deciduous forests. This caused the fauna of the area to change as well, and ancient peoples developed new forms of tools and alternate hunting practices to better exploit both animal and plant-based food sources. These new archaeological cultures are referred to as 'Archaic'. Thousands of years of gradual change in

stone tool styles allows for the recognition of Early (7500–6000 BC), Middle (6000–2500 BC) and Late Archaic periods (2500–900 BC) (MCL 1997:34).

The Early and Middle Archaic periods are characterized by substantial increases in the number of archaeological sites and a growing diversity amongst stone tool types and exploited raw materials. Notable changes in Archaic assemblages include a shift to notched or stemmed projectile points, a growing prominence of net-sinkers (notched pebbles) and an increased reliance on artifacts like bone fish hooks and harpoons. In addition to these smaller items, archaeologists also begin to find evidence of more massive wood working tools such as ground stone axes and chisels (Ellis et al. 1990:65–67).

Towards the end of the Middle Archaic (ca. 3500 BC), the archaeological evidence suggests that populations were 1) increasing in size, 2) paying more attention to ritual activities, 3) engaging in long distance exchange (e.g., in items such as copper) and 4) becoming less mobile (Ellis et al. 1990:93; MCL 1997:34). Late Archaic peoples typically made use of shoreline/riverine sites located in rich environmental zones during the spring, summer and early fall, and moved further inland to deer hunting and fruit-gathering sites during late fall and winter (Ellis et al. 1990:114).

During the Late Archaic these developments continued, and new types of projectile points appeared along with the first true cemeteries. Excavations of burials from this time-frame indicate that human remains were often cremated and interred with numerous grave goods, including items such as projectile points, stone tools, red ochre, materials for fire-making kits, copper beads, bracelets, beaver incisors, and bear maxilla masks (Ellis et al. 1990:115–117). Interestingly, these true cemeteries may have been established in an attempt to solidify territorial claims, linking a given band or collection of bands to a specific geographic location.

From the tools unearthed at Archaic period sites it is clear that these people had an encyclopaedic understanding of the environment that they inhabited. The number and density of the sites that have been found suggest that the environment was exploited in a successful and sustainable way over a considerable period of time. The success of Archaic lifeways is attested to by clear evidence of steady population increases over time. Eventually, these increases set the stage for the final period of Pre-Contact occupation—the Woodland Period (Ellis et al. 1990:120).

1.2.1.3 Early and Middle Woodland Periods

The beginning of the Woodland period is primarily distinguished from the earlier Archaic by the widespread appearance of pottery. Although this difference stands out prominently amongst the archaeological remains, it is widely believed that hunting and gathering remained the primary subsistence strategy throughout the Early Woodland period (900–400 BC) and well into the Middle Woodland period (400 BC–AD 600). In addition to adopting ceramics, communities also grew in size during this period and participated in developed and widespread trade relations (Spence et al. 1990; MCL 1997:34).

The first peoples to adopt ceramics in the vicinity of the study area are associated with the Meadowood archaeological culture. This culture is characterized by distinctive Meadowood preforms, side-notched Meadowood points and Vinette 1 ceramics (thick and crude handmade pottery with cord-marked decoration). Meadowood peoples are believed to have been organized

in bands of roughly 35 people, and some of the best documented sites are fall camps geared towards the hunting of deer and the gathering of nuts (Spence et al. 1990:128–137).

Ceramic traditions continued to develop during the subsequent Middle Woodland period, and three distinct archaeological cultures emerged in southern Ontario: 'Point Peninsula' north and northeast of Lake Ontario, 'Couture' near Lake St. Clair and 'Saugeen' in the rest of southwestern Ontario (see Map 8). These cultures all shared a similar method of decorating pottery, using either dentate or pseudo-scallop shell stamp impressions, but they differed in terms of preferred vessel shape, zones of decoration and surface finish (Spence et al. 1990:142–43).

The local Saugeen complex, which appears to have extended from Lake Huron to as far east as the Humber River, is characterized by stamped pottery, distinctive projectile points, cobble spall scrapers and a lifeway geared towards the exploitation of seasonally-available resources such as game, nuts and fish (Spence et al. 1990:147–156). Although relatively distant from the study area, the Donaldson site along the Saugeen River may be representative of a typical Saugeen settlement; it was occupied in the spring by multiple bands that came to exploit spawning fish and bury members who had died elsewhere during the year (Finlayson 1977:563–578). The archaeological remains from this site include post-holes, hearth pits, garbage-dumps (middens), cemeteries and even a few identifiable rectangular structures (Finlayson 1977:234–514).

During the Middle to Late Woodland transition (AD 600–900), the first rudimentary evidence of maize (corn) horticulture appears in southern Ontario. Based on the available archaeological evidence, which comes primarily from the vicinity of the Grand and Credit Rivers, this pivotal development was not particularly widespread (Fox 1990a:171, Figure 6.1). The adoption of maize horticulture instead appears to be linked to the emergence of the Princess Point complex, whose material remains include decorated ceramics (combining cord roughening, impressed lines and punctuate designs), triangular projectile points, T-based drills, steatite and ceramic pipes, and ground stone chisels and adzes (Fox 1990a:174-188).

The distinctive artifacts and horticultural practices of Princess Point peoples have led to the suggestion that they were directly ancestral to the later Iroquoian-speaking populations of southern Ontario (Warrick 2000:427). These artifacts have not been found in the vicinity of the study area, however, suggesting that a gradual transition between Middle Woodland and Late Woodland lifeways took place here instead.

1.2.1.4 Late Woodland Period

In the Late Woodland period (ca. AD 900–1600), the practice of maize horticulture spread beyond the western end of Lake Ontario, allowing for population increases which in turn led to larger settlement sizes, higher settlement density and increased social complexity among the peoples involved. During this time-frame two distinct linguistic groups are believed to have coexisted in southern Ontario, including Iroquoian-speaking peoples north and west of Lake Ontario and Algonkian-speaking peoples north of Lake Simcoe, along the Georgian Bay littoral, on the Bruce Peninsula and in the vicinity of Lake St. Clair. The study area is located in an area where the cultural remains of both of these peoples are archaeologically attested.

The Algonkians who lived in the vicinity of the study area are associated with the Western Basin Tradition—one of the most poorly understood Pre-Contact populations in southern Ontario. The Western Basin Tradition has a long developmental history of ceramic styles and settlement-subsistence strategies, and four distinct archaeological phases have been identified. These include the Riviere au Vase Phase (AD 600–800/900), the Younge Phase (AD 800/900–1200), the Springwells Phase (AD 1200–1400) and the Wolf Phase (AD 1400–1550/1600) (Murphy and Ferris 1990:189–194). The Simons site, a Western Basin settlement associated with the Riviere au Vase Phase, is located near the southwestern part of the project location.

Riviere au Vase Phase peoples subsisted on seasonally-abundant resources and had a fair degree of mobility, and Younge Phase peoples continued the trend of exploiting seasonally-abundant resources (contrasting the complex developments of Early Iroquoians). During the Springwells Phase, a shift took place in settlement and subsistence patterns in which warm weather villages emerged with longhouses and palisades (likely related to an increased emphasis on maize horticulture). In the Wolf Phase, subsistence and settlement patterns are poorly understood due to a lack of excavated sites, which may be linked to the establishment of a frontier zone with the Iroquoian-speaking Neutral to the east (Murphy and Ferris 1990:261–263).

Iroquoian archaeological remains from this area show three major stages of cultural development prior to European contact: 'Early Iroquoian', 'Middle Iroquoian' and 'Late Iroquoian' (Dodd et al. 1990; Lennox and Fitzgerald 1990; Williamson 1990). Early Iroquoians (AD 900–1300) lived in small villages (ca. 0.4 ha) of between 75 and 200 people, and each settlement consisted of four or five longhouses up to 15 m in length. The houses contained central hearths and pits for storing maize (which made up 20–30% of their diet), and the people produced distinctive pottery with decorative incised rims (Warrick 2000:434–438). The best documented Early Iroquoian culture in the area is the Glen Meyer complex, which is characterized by well-made and thin-walled pottery, ceramic pipes, gaming discs, and a variety of stone, bone, shell and copper artifacts (Williamson 1990:295–304).

Over the next century (AD 1300–1400), Middle Iroquoian culture became dominant in southwestern Ontario, and distinct 'Uren' and 'Middleport' stages of development have been identified. Both houses and villages dramatically increased in size during this time: longhouses grew to as much as 33 m in length, settlements expanded to 1.2 ha in size and village populations swelled to as many as 600 people. Middle Iroquoian villages were also better planned, suggesting emerging clan organization, and most seem to have been occupied for perhaps 30 years prior to abandonment (Dodd et al. 1990:356–359; Warrick 2000:439–446). Both Early Iroquoian and Middle Iroquoian site clusters are attested in the vicinity of the study area (Warrick 2000:434–446).

During the Late Iroquoian period (AD 1400–1600), the phase just prior to widespread European contact, it becomes possible to differentiate between the archaeologically-represented groups that would become the Huron/Petun and the Neutral Nations. The study area itself lies on the outskirts of the territorial boundaries of the Pre-Contact Neutral Nation.

The Neutral Nation is well represented archaeologically: typical artifacts include ceramic vessels and pipes, lithic chipped stone tools, ground stone tools, worked bone, antler and teeth, and

exotic goods obtained through trade with other Aboriginal (and later European) groups (Lennox and Fitzgerald 1990:411–437). The population growth so characteristic of earlier Middleport times appears to have slowed considerably during the Late Iroquoian period, and the Pre-Contact Neutral population likely stabilized at around 20,000 by the early 16th century (Warrick 2000:446).

Pre-Contact Neutral villages were much larger than Middleport villages, with average sizes in the neighbourhood of 1.7 ha. Exceptional examples of these could reach 5 ha in size, containing longhouses over 100 m in length and housing 2,500 individuals. This seemingly rapid settlement growth is thought to have been linked to Middleport 'baby boomers' starting their own families and needing additional living space (Warrick 2000:446–449).

It has been suggested that the size of these villages, along with the necessary croplands to sustain them, may have had some enduring impacts on the landscapes that surrounded them. In particular, there has been a correlation postulated between Pre-Contact era corn fields and modern stands of white pine (Janusas 1987:69–70, Figure 7). Aside from these villages, the Pre-Contact Neutral also made use of hamlets, agricultural field cabins, specialized camps (e.g., fishing camps) and cemeteries (MCL 1997:35; Warrick 2000:449).

For the most part, Pre-Contact Neutral archaeological sites occur in isolated clusters defined by some sort of geographic region, usually within a watershed or another well-defined topographic feature. It is believed that these clusters represent distinct tribal units, which may have been organized as a larger confederacy akin to the historic Five Nations Iroquois (Lennox and Fitzgerald 1990:410). Nineteen main clusters of villages have been identified, the closest manifestation of which is known simply as the 'London Cluster'. This cluster, which includes the Lawson, Windermere, Ronto, Smallman, Black Kat and Mathews sites, appears to have flourished primarily in the 15th century (Lennox and Fitzgerald 1990: Table 13.1).

Late Pre-Contact Neutral sites are largely absent in this part of southern Ontario, indicative of substantial shifts in local settlement patterns (see Map 9). There was a definite contraction of earlier territories by the early 16th century (perhaps linked to the consolidation of tribal units), and by AD 1534 the Neutral appear to have moved east of the Grand River (Warrick 2000:454). Although scholars once thought that this shift was linked to a desire for better access to European goods, the fact that the fur trade did not begin for several decades has led to the recognition of an alternate reason—war. Later historical sources suggest that the Neutral were engaged in hostilities with the Fire Nation (possibly the Mascouten), the Algonkian-speaking people to the west known as the Western Basin Tradition. Remains from the frontier zone include strongly fortified villages and earthworks, clearly illustrating a defensive mindset (Lennox and Fitzgerald 1990:437–438; Warrick 2000:449–451).

The end of the Late Woodland period can be conveniently linked to the arrival and spread of European fur traders in southern Ontario, and a terminus of AD 1600 effectively serves to demarcate some substantial changes in Aboriginal material culture. Prior to the establishment of the fur trade, items of European manufacture are extremely rare on Pre-Contact Neutral sites, save for small quantities of reused metal scrap. With the onset of the fur trade ca. AD 1580, European trade goods appear in ever-increasing numbers, and glass beads, copper kettles,

iron axes and iron knives have all been found during excavations (Lennox and Fitzgerald 1990:425–432).

1.2.2 Early Contact

1.2.2.1 European Explorers

The first European to venture into what would become southern Ontario was Étienne Brûlé, who was sent by Samuel de Champlain in the summer of 1610 to accomplish three goals: 1) to consolidate an emerging friendship between the French and the First Nations, 2) to learn their languages, and 3) to better understand their unfamiliar customs. Other Europeans would subsequently be sent by the French to train as interpreters. These men became coureurs de bois, "living Indian-style ... on the margins of French society" (Gervais 2004:182). Such 'woodsmen' played an essential role in all later communications with the First Nations.

Champlain himself made two trips to Ontario: in 1613, he journeyed up the Ottawa River searching for the North Sea, and in 1615/1616, he travelled up the Mattawa River and descended to Lake Nipissing and Lake Huron to explore Huronia (Gervais 2004:182–185). He learned about many First Nations groups during his travels, including prominent Iroquoian-speaking peoples such as the Wendat (Huron), Petun (Tobacco) and 'la nation neutre' (the Neutrals), and a variety of Algonkian-speaking Anishinabeg bands. Champlain's map of Nouvelle France from 1632 encapsulates his accumulated knowledge of the area (see Map 10). Although the distribution of the Great Lakes is clearly an abstraction, prolific Neutral village sites can be seen 'west' of Lac St. Louis (Lake Ontario).

1.2.2.2 Trading Contacts and Conflict

The first half of the 17th century saw a marked increase in trading contacts between the First Nations and European colonists, especially in southern Ontario. Archaeologically, these burgeoning relations are clearly manifested in the widespread appearance of items of European manufacture by AD 1630, including artifacts such as red and turquoise glass beads, scissors, drinking glasses, keys, coins, firearms, ladles and medallions. During this time, many artifacts such as projectile points and scrapers began to be manufactured from brass, copper and iron scrap, and some European-made implements completely replaced more traditional tools (Lennox and Fitzgerald 1990:432–437).

Nicholas Sanson's *Le Canada, ou Nouvelle France* (1656) provides an excellent representation of southern Ontario at this time of heightened contact. Here the lands of the Neutral Nation are clearly labelled with the French rendering of their Huron name, '*Attawandaron*' (see Map 11). Unfortunately, this increased contact had the disastrous consequence of introducing European diseases into First Nations communities. These progressed from localized outbreaks to much more widespread epidemics (MCL 1997:35; Warrick 2000:457). Archaeological evidence of disease-related population reduction appears in the form of reduced longhouse sizes, the growth of multi-ossuary cemeteries and the loss of traditional craft knowledge and production skills (Lennox and Fitzgerald 1990:432–433).

1.2.2.3 Five Nations Invasion

The importance of European trading contacts eventually led to increasing factionalism and tension between the First Nations, and different groups began to vie for control of the lucrative fur trade (itself a subject of competition between the French and British). In what would become Ontario, the Huron, the Petun, and their Anishinabeg trading partners allied themselves with the French. In what would become New York, the League of the Haudenosaunee (the Five Nations Iroquois at that time) allied themselves with the British. The latter alliance may have stemmed from Champlain's involvement in Anishinabeg and Huron attacks against Iroquoian strongholds in 1609 and 1615, which engendered enmity against the French (Lajeunesse 1960:xxix). Interposed between the belligerents, the members of the Neutral Nation refused to become involved in the conflict.

Numerous military engagements occurred between the two opposing groups during the first half of the 17th century, as competition over territories rich in fur-bearing animals increased. These tensions boiled over in the middle of the 17th century, leading to full-scale regional warfare (MNCFN 2010:5). In a situation likely exacerbated by epidemics brought by the Europeans and the decimation of their population, a party of roughly 1,000 Mohawk and Seneca warriors set upon Huronia in March 1649. The Iroquois desired to remove the Huron Nation altogether, as they were a significant obstacle to controlling the northern fur trade (Hunt 1940:91–92).

The Huron met their defeat in towns such as Saint Ignace and Saint Louis (Sainte-Marie was abandoned and burned by the Jesuits in the spring of 1649). Those that were not killed were either adopted in the Five Nations as captives or dispersed to neighbouring regions and groups (Ramsden 1990:384). The Petun shared a similar fate, and the remnants of the affected groups formed new communities outside of the disputed area, settling in Quebec (modern-day Wendake), in the area of Michilimackinac and near Lake St. Clair (where they were known as the Wyandot).

Anishinabeg populations from southern Ontario, including the Ojibway, Odawa, and Pottawatomi, fled westward to escape the Iroquois (Schmalz 1977:2). The Neutral were targeted in 1650 and 1651, and the Iroquois took multiple frontier villages (one with over 1,600 men) and numerous captives (Coyne 1895:18). The advance of the Iroquois led to demise of the Neutral Nation as a distinct cultural entity (Lennox and Fitzgerald 1990:456).

For the next four decades, southern Ontario remained an underpopulated wilderness (Coyne 1895:20). This rich hunting ground was exploited by the Haudenosaunee to secure furs for trade with the Dutch and the English, and settlements were established along the north shore of Lake Ontario at places like Teiaiagon on the Humber River and Ganatswekwyagon on the Rouge River (Williamson 2008:51). The Haudenosaunee are also known to have traded with the northern Anishinabeg during the second half of the 17th century (Smith 1987:19).

Due to their mutually violent history, the Haudenosaunee did not permit French explorers and missionaries to travel directly into southern Ontario for much of the 17th century. Instead, they had to journey up the Ottawa River to Lake Nipissing and then paddle down the French River into Georgian Bay (Lajeunesse 1960:xxix). New France was consequently slow to develop in

southern Ontario, at least until the fall of several Iroquoian strongholds in 1666 and the opening of the St. Lawrence and Lake Ontario route to the interior (Lajeunesse 1960:xxxii).

In 1669, the Haudenosaunee allowed an expedition of 21 men to pass through their territory. This expedition, which included François Dollier de Casson (a Sulpician priest) and René Bréhant de Galinée, managed to reach and explore the Grand River, which they named *le Rapide* after the swiftness of its current. These men descended the Grand to reach Lake Erie, and they wintered at the future site of Port Dover (Coyne 1895:21). Galinée's map is one of the earliest documented representations of the interior of southwestern Ontario (see Map 12). In it, he notes the locations of several former Neutral villages at the western end of Lake Ontario, likely consisting of abandoned ruins.

1.2.2.4 Anishinabeg Influx

The fortunes of the Five Nations began to change in the 1690s, as disease and casualties from battles with the French took a toll on the formerly-robust group (Smith 1987:19). On July 19, 1701, the Haudenosaunee ceded lands in southern Ontario to King William III with the provision that they could still hunt freely in their former territory (Coyne 1895:28). However, this agreement appears to have lacked any sort of binding formality.

According to the traditions of the Algonkian-speaking Anishinabeg, Ojibway, Odawa and Potawatomi bands began to mount an organized counter-offensive against the Iroquois in the late 17th century (MNCFN 2010:5). Around the turn of the 18th century, the Anishinabeg of the Great Lakes expanded into Haudenosaunee lands, and attempted to trade directly with the French and the English (Smith 1987:19). This led to a series of battles between the opposing groups, in which the Anishinabeg were more successful (Coyne 1895:28).

Haudenosaunee populations subsequently withdrew into New York State, and Anishinabeg bands established themselves in southern Ontario. Many of these bands were mistakenly grouped together by the immigrating Europeans under the generalized designations of 'Chippewa/Ojibway' and 'Mississauga'. 'Mississauga', for example, quickly became a term applied to many Algonkian-speaking groups around Lake Erie and Lake Ontario (Smith 1987:19), despite the fact that the Mississaugas were but one part of the larger Ojibway Nation (MNCFN 2010:3).

The Anishinabeg are known to have taken advantage of the competition between the English and French over the fur trade, and they were consequently well-supplied with European goods. The Mississaugas, for example, traded primarily with the French and received "everything from buttons, shirts, ribbons to combs, knives, looking glasses, and axes" (Smith 1987:22). The British, on the other hand, were well-rooted in New York State and enjoyed mutually beneficial relations with the Haudenosaunee.

As part of this influx, many members of the Algonkian-speaking Ojibway, Potawatomi and Odawa First Nations came back to Lake Huron littoral. Collectively, these people came to be known as the Chippewas of Saugeen Ojibway Territory (also Saugeen Ojibway Nation). These Algonkian-speakers established themselves in the Bruce Peninsula, all of Bruce and Grey Counties, and parts of Huron, Dufferin, Wellington, and Simcoe Counties (Schmalz 1977:233).

Throughout the 1700s and into the 1800s, Anishinabeg populations hunted, fished, gardened and camped along the rivers, floodplains and forests of southern Ontario (Warrick 2005:2). However, their 'footprint' was exceedingly light, and associated archaeological sites are both rare and difficult to detect. Historical records often play a pivotal role in reconstructing Anishinabeg lifeways during the timeframe, as the first European colonists often wrote about the locations of Aboriginal camps and hunting grounds.

Historical maps from the 18th century shed valuable light on the cultural landscape of the Early Contact period. H. Popple's *A Map of the British Empire in America* (1733), for example, does not show any prominent settlements in the vicinity of the study area, which is a result of the ephemeral environmental impact of the mobile Ojibway (see Map 13). The traditional territories of the former Neutral and Petun Nations are also depicted in this map.

1.2.2.5 Relations and Ambitions

The late 17th and early 18th centuries bore witness to the continued growth and spread of the fur trade across all of what would become the Province of Ontario. The French, for example, established and maintained trading posts along the Upper Great Lakes, offering enticements to attract fur traders from the First Nations. Even further north, Britain's Hudson Bay Company dominated the fur trade. Violence was common between the two parties, and peace was only achieved with the Treaty of Utrecht in 1713 (Ray 2013). Developments such as these resulted in an ever-increasing level of contact between European traders and local Aboriginal communities.

As the number of European men living in Ontario increased, so too did the frequency of their relations with Aboriginal women. Male employees and former employees of French and British companies began to establish families with these women, a process which resulted in the ethnogenesis of a distinct Aboriginal people: the Métis. Comprised of the descendants of those born from such relations (and subsequent intermarriage), the Métis emerged as a distinct Aboriginal people during the 1700s (MNO 2011).

Métis settlements developed along freighting waterways and watersheds, and were tightly linked to the spread and growth of the fur trade. These settlements were part of larger regional communities, connected by "the highly mobile lifestyle of the Métis, the fur trade network, seasonal rounds, extensive kinship connections and a shared collective history and identity" (MNO 2011).

In 1754, hostilities over trade and the territorial ambitions of the French and the British led to the Seven Years' War (often called the French and Indian War in North America), in which many Anishinabeg bands fought on behalf of the French. After the French surrender in 1760, these bands adapted their trading relationships accordingly, and formed a new alliance with the British (Smith 1987:22). In addition to cementing British control over the Province of Quebec, the Crown's victory over the French also proved pivotal in catalyzing the Euro-Canadian settlement process. The resulting population influx caused the demographics of many areas to change considerably.

R. Sayer and J. Bennett's *General Map of the Middle British Colonies in America* (1776) provides an excellent view of the ethnic landscape of southern Ontario prior to the widespread arrival of European settlers. This map clearly depicts the Thames River, numerous tributaries draining into Lake Huron, the territory of the Ojibway, and the virtually untouched lands of southwestern Ontario (see Map 14).

1.2.3 The Euro-Canadian Era

1.2.3.1 British Colonialism

With the establishment of absolute British control came a new era of land acquisition and organized settlement. In the *Royal Proclamation* of 1763, which followed the Treaty of Paris, the British government recognized the title of the First Nations to the land they occupied. In essence, the 'right of soil' had to be purchased by the Crown prior to European settlement (Lajeunesse 1960:cix). Numerous treaties and land surrenders were accordingly arranged by the Crown, and great swaths of territory were acquired from the Ojibway and other First Nations. These first purchases established a pattern "for the subsequent extinction of Indian title" (Gentilcore and Head 1984:78).

The first land purchases in Ontario took place along the shores of Lake Ontario and Lake Erie, as well as in the immediate 'back country'. Such acquisitions began in August 1764, when a strip of land along the Niagara River was surrendered by Six Nations, Chippewa and Mississauga chiefs (NRC 2010). Although many similar territories were purchased by the Crown in subsequent years, it was only with the conclusion of the American Revolutionary War (1775–1783) that the British began to feel a pressing need for additional land. In the aftermath of the conflict, waves of United Empire Loyalists came to settle in the Province of Quebec, driving the Crown to seek out property for those who had been displaced. This influx had the devastating side effect of sparking the slow death of the fur trade, which was a primary source of income for many First Nations groups.

By the mid-1780s, the British recognized the need to 1) secure a military communication route from Lake Ontario to Lake Huron other than the vulnerable passage through Niagara, Lake Erie and Lake St. Clair; 2) acquire additional land for the United Empire Loyalists; and 3) modify the administrative structure of the Province of Quebec to accommodate future growth. The first two concerns were addressed through the negotiation of numerous 'land surrenders' with Anishinabeg groups north and west of Lake Ontario, and the third concern was mitigated by the establishment of the first administrative districts in the Province of Quebec.

On July 24, 1788, Sir Guy Carleton, Baron of Dorchester and Governor-General of British North America, divided the Province of Quebec into the administrative districts of Hesse, Nassau, Mecklenburg and Lunenburg (Archives of Ontario 2009). The vicinity of the study area fell within the Hesse District at this time, which consisted of a massive tract of land encompassing all of the western and inland parts of the province extending due north from the tip of Long Point on Lake Erie in the east. According to early historians, "this division was purely conventional and nominal, as the country was sparsely inhabited ... the necessity for minute and accurate boundary lines had not become pressing" (Mulvany et al. 1885:13).

Further change came in December 1791, when the Parliament of Great Britain's *Constitutional Act* created the Provinces of Upper Canada and Lower Canada from the former Province of Quebec. Colonel John Graves Simcoe was appointed as Lieutenant-Governor of Upper Canada, and he became responsible for governing the new province, directing its settlement and establishing a constitutional government modelled after that of Britain (Coyne 1895:33).

Simcoe initiated several schemes to populate and protect the newly-created province, employing a settlement strategy that relied on the creation of shoreline communities with effective transportation links between them. These communities, inevitably, would be composed of lands obtained from the First Nations, and many more purchases were subsequently arranged. In July 1792, Simcoe divided the province into 19 counties consisting of previously-settled lands, new lands open for settlement and lands not yet acquired by the Crown. These new counties stretched from Essex in the west to Glengarry in the east. Three months later, in October 1792, an Act of Parliament was passed whereby the four districts established by Lord Dorchester were renamed as the Western, Home, Midland and Eastern Districts (Archives of Ontario 2009).

The vicinity of the study area nominally fell within the boundaries of Kent County in the Western District at this time, which comprised all of the territory of Upper Canada that was not included in the other 18 counties (Archives of Ontario 2009). In essence, Kent was the largest county ever created, stretching from Lake Erie to Hudson's Bay (McGeorge 1939:36). This arrangement would not last, however, and the 'northern' parts of Kent County would soon be sectioned off to form separate counties.

D.W. Smyth's *A Map of the Province of Upper Canada* (1800) clearly shows the layout of the earliest townships north and west of Lake Ontario, and demonstrates that the vicinity of the study area remained largely untouched by early British colonialism (see Map 15). This area comprised part of the 'Great Tract of Wood Land' that stretched from the St. Clair River to Lake Simcoe and beyond, and remained in the possession of the First Nations.

1.2.3.2 Huron County

Shortly after the creation of Upper Canada, the original arrangement of the province's districts and counties was deemed inadequate. As population levels increased, smaller administrative bodies became desirable, resulting in the division of the largest units into more 'manageable' component parts. The first major changes in the southwest took place in 1798, when an Act of Parliament called for the realignment of the Home and Western Districts and the formation of the London and Niagara Districts. Many new counties and townships were subsequently created (Archives of Ontario 2009).

The vicinity of the study area nominally became part of the London District at this time (Archives of Ontario 2009), although the lands would remain in Aboriginal hands for nearly three decades. J. Purdy's *A Map of Cabotia* (1814) shows the layout of the London District during these early years, as well as the lands that would become Huron County (see Map 16).

Between 1815 and 1824, heavy immigration from the Old World resulted in the doubling of the non-Aboriginal population of Upper Canada from 75,000 to 150,000. This dramatic increase was a result of the outcome of the War of 1812 and the Crown's efforts to populate the province's

interior. A total of six major land-cession agreements were then pursued, which would yield nearly 3,000,000 ha of lands for Euro-Canadian settlement (Surtees 1994:112). These agreements were concerned with lands located well beyond the original waterfront settlements of Upper Canada, and included the Lake Simcoe-Nottawasaga, Ajetance, Rice Lake, Rideau, Long Woods and Huron Tract Purchases (Surtees 1994:113–119).

In October 1818, John Askin, Superintendent of Indian Affairs at Amherstburg, was sent to the Thames River area between London and Chatham in order to arrange for the purchase of a large tract of land to the north. Askin met with the chiefs of the Ojibway bands of the Chenal Ecarté, the St. Clair River, Bear Creek, the Sable River and the Thames River, and began negotiations for lands on the Thames River and on Lake Huron just north of the Sable River, extending inland as far as the Grand River Tract. The Ojibway leaders agreed to sell the land, and stipulated that 1) six reserves be set aside for them and that 2) a blacksmith and farm instructor be stationed near the reserves (Surtees 1994:117).

Based on Askin's report, the government decided to purchase the subject tract through two agreements: the 'Long Woods Purchase' and the 'Huron Tract Purchase'. The Long Woods area interested the Crown the most, as it was immediately north of the Thames River and was the next logical destination for Euro-Canadian settlers. Askin met with the Ojibway in 1819, and a provisional agreement was created which involved the surrender of 210,000 ha in exchange for an annuity of 600 pounds in currency and goods. The Huron Tract provisional agreement was also negotiated that same year, in which over 1,000,000 ha were to be sold for an annuity of 1,375 pounds in currency and goods (Surtees 1994:117–118).

Neither agreement was executed, however, as objections over the nature of the cash payments led to the revision of both proposals. The Long Woods Purchase was finally completed on November 28, 1822, and almost 552,190 ha were exchanged for 600 pounds in currency (NRC 2010). Specifically, a *per capita* payment of 2 pounds 10 shillings was agreed upon, to a maximum of 240 persons (Surtees 1994:118). The Huron Tract Purchase took longer to settle, and it was not pursued in earnest until John Galt's Canada Company began to materialize. This purchase was completed on July 10, 1827 for 1,375 pounds in currency (NRC 2010). Over the ensuing years, these lands would become parts of Waterloo, Wellington, Huron, Lambton, Middlesex and Oxford Counties.

The initial settlement of the Huron Tract was largely tied to the activities of the Canada Company, which held its first meeting on July 30, 1824 in a tavern in London, England. The Canada Company consisted primarily of British businessmen, such as John Galt and Charles Bosanquet, who were brought together by a shared goal of increasing settlement and prosperity in Upper Canada while turning a tidy profit at the same time (Coleman 1978:15). The Canada Company was officially incorporated on August 19, 1826 by royal charter, and the developers were granted significant powers and privileges by King George IV. Prominent among these powers was the ability to purchase large tracts of Crown Land and Reserve Land, including Clergy Reserves. The Company would eventually come to possess nearly 931,500 ha worth of properties in Upper Canada, subsequently selling them to early settlers (Cumming 1972:5).

Following the Crown's acquisition of the Huron Tract in 1827, the Canada Company came to own 19 of the first 21 townships established in the area. Specifically, Canada Company Lands included the Townships of Biddulph, Blanshard, Colborne, Downie, Ellice, South Easthope, North Easthope, Fullarton, Goderich, Hibbert, Hay, Hullett, Logan, McKillop, McGillivray, Stephen, Stanley, Tuckersmith and Usborne (Smith 1846:85). The Crown retained ownership of the Townships of Ashfield and Wawanosh, however, preferring to sell them independently (Smith 1846:85). The rest of the Crown Lands in the northeast remained unincorporated (see Map 17).

With these territories in hand, the Canada Company quickly began clearing and surveying operations to facilitate sales and settlement. Galt, for example, was granted funds to build a road connecting Guelph to Goderich. Tiger Dunlop was placed in charge of blazing the trail, while John McDonald and Samuel Smith were appointed as the principal surveyors (Robinson 1999:3). Roadwork began in June 1828 and was completed by November 1828, at which time the Huron Road opened. Prospective settlers attracted by the Company's advertisements and posters were given a map with the new road, and the Huron Tract began to develop just as the businessmen envisioned (Coleman 1978:33). Most of the settlers that arrived were English, Scottish and Irish, although a few Germans came as well (Smith 1846:85). By 1844, the Canada Company had successfully sold 5,241 ha of the Huron Tract (Coleman 1978:125).

Due to rising population levels, Huron County was created in the London District in 1835 to better serve the administrative needs of local residents (Archives of Ontario 2009). The Crown soon realized that the demand for land far exceeded the supply, and additional territories were sought out north of the 'Huron Tract'. The first and largest tract of land (the 'Saugeen Tract') was acquired in a treaty concluded by Sir Francis Bond Head with members of the Saugeen, Odawa and Chippewa First Nations on August 9, 1836. In addition to lands for settlement, Head also sought "the physical, cultural, and institutional separation of Aboriginal and Euro-Canadian populations" (Fitzgerald 2005:27). Forming parts of what would become Bruce, Grey, Wellington and Huron Counties, this tract consisted of 607,500 ha of land, and the only payment was a promise to assist and protect those who moved to the Bruce Peninsula (NRC 2010).

In 1837 and 1838, the layout of what would become southern Ontario was significantly altered through the creation of the Huron, Brock, Wellington, Talbot and Simcoe Districts (Archives of Ontario 2009). The vicinity of the study area became part of the Huron District at this time, but the majority of the northern lands remained unsurveyed. The Huron District was enlarged in 1840 with the addition of the Townships of Ashfield and Wawanosh (see Map 18), and in February 1841, it became part of Canada West in the new United Province of Canada. By 1845, the population of the Huron District reached 13,500, and it contained 8 grist mills, 21 saw mills and 39 schools (Smith 1846:85).

Following the abolition of the district system in 1849, the counties of Canada West were reconfigured once again. The boundaries of Huron County were redefined, and Perth County was created in the east (see Map 19). For the remainder of the Euro-Canadian era, Huron County consisted of the Townships of Stephen, Usborne, Hay, Stanley, Tuckersmith, Goderich, Colborne, Hullett, McKillop, Ashfield, Wawanosh, Morris, Grey, Turnberry and Howick (see Map 20).

The population of Huron County subsequently grew at a rapid pace, and by 1871 it had 66,165 inhabitants (Belden & Co. 1879:v). This growth later waned, however, and a population decline occurred between 1881 and 1941—likely a result of movement to other municipalities. The 2011 census profile for Huron County shows a population of 59,100 (Statistics Canada 2013), indicating that Huron County still has not recovered fully from this historic decline.

1.2.3.3 Township of Hay

In historic times, the Township of Hay was bordered by the Township of Stanley to the north, the Township of Stephen to the south, the Townships of Tuckersmith and Usborne to the east and Lake Huron to the west. The earliest settlers in the township enjoyed a favourable environmental setting, and the land was well-watered by Black Creek and numerous unnamed tributaries draining into Lake Huron. According to W.H. Smith, "the soil is good, with the exception of the land bordering on the lake" (1846:79).

The Township of Hay was named after Robert William Hay, the second undersecretary of state for the colonies of the British government in 1825 (Lee 2004:230). This land was acquired by the Crown in 1827 as part of the Huron Tract Purchase, and was subsequently sold to the Canada Company to facilitate its settlement (Mack 1992:4). In 1835 and 1837, the Canada Company's principle surveyor John MacDonald divided the township into lots for settlement, beginning with the four boundaries and finishing with the centre (McDonald 1835; 1837).

The Township of Hay was settled somewhat later than the surrounding townships, although a few settlers did arrive as early as 1832 along the London Road. Most came in 1837 and 1838, and when William Wilson arrived in 1839, the Walshes and the Bells already lived on the Tuckersmith side of the London Road, and the Cases and a few others dwelled on the Hay side (H. Belden & Co. 1879:xv). Other early residents of Hay included John Oesch, Peter Deichert, Frederick Axt, Henry Wohlnich, Henry Greb and John Goetz (Zurich Ontario 2006). The first settlers were mainly German, although those of English and Irish descent also come to the Township of Hay. Once the preferred London Road locations were taken up, the settlers established themselves along the numerous concession roads.

Overall, the rate of settlement was quite slow in the Township of Hay, and there were only 113 residents by 1846 (Smith 1846:79). The usual price for a 100 acre lot was 50 to 100 pounds, and the Canada Company's policy of one-fifth down upon purchase prevented settlers who lacked funds from taking up land in this part of Huron County (SHC 1986:9). Once the Canada Company realized that the 20% down system was hampering settlement, they introduced a new lease arrangement in 1842, in which the settler would 1) pay no money down, 2) have ten years to pay for his lot, 3) be responsible for 6% interest per year, and 4) be responsible for clearing four acres of land per year (SHC 1986:12). This new lease system encouraged more rapid settlement, by 1879 the population reached 4,119 (H. Belden & Co. 1879:xv).

In the early years, the only way for the settlers of Hay to obtain goods was to travel to Goderich, located approximately 40 km to the north. Many settlers could not make this trip, and instead sent money with 'Jack Quick', who drove a stage between London and Goderich, to make purchases on their behalf. Jack frequently spent this money on 'sprees', but he would repay the

funds with money "given him by others for a similar purpose" (H. Belden & Co. 1879:xv). He met an untimely death falling from a wagon.

The most prominent historic communities in the Township of Hay included Zurich, Hensall, Dashwood and Exeter. Aside from these larger centres, the township also contained numerous small communities that developed around local post offices, including Drysdale, Blake, Hills Green, Kippen, Johnson's Mills, Brewster, Sarepta and Hay (see Map 21).

The most prominent historic community in the vicinity of the project location was Zurich, which developed in the vicinity of Lot 21, Concession 11 in the central part of the township. This settlement was first organized by a Swiss man named Frederick Knell, who obtained the property in July 1856. Knell established a general store and a post office at Zurich, and later erected a grist mill and a saw mill on the property known as the Mill Survey (Zurich Ontario 2006). By 1879, many other businesses and shops had opened, including three general stores, one drug store, one merchant tailor, three harness shops, three carriage shops, one tannery, one woolen mill, one grist and flouring mill, one flax mill and two good hotels. The community had a population of approximately 600 at that time (H. Belden & Co. 1879:xv).

1.2.3.4 Township of Stephen

In historic times, the Township of Stephen was bordered by the Township of Hay to the north, the Townships of Usborne and Biddulph to the east, the Township of McGillivray to the south, and the Township of Bosanquet to the west. The earliest settlers here also enjoyed a favourable environmental setting, and the land was well-watered by the Ausable River. According to one early historical source, "the land bordering on the lake, for about a mile in length, is sandy and unfit for cultivation; but most of the rest of the township is good" (Smith 1846:176)

The Township of Stephen was named after James Stephen Jr., the Under-Secretary of State for the English colonies in the Province of Canada (Mack 1992:6). Along with other townships to then north, this land was acquired by the Crown in 1827 as part of the Huron Tract Purchase, and was subsequently sold to the Canada Company to facilitate its settlement (Mack 1992:4). The township was surveyed on multiple occasions: the London Road and the lots along Concession 1 were laid out in 1829, Concessions 2–3 were surveyed by John McDonald in 1830, lots along the North Boundary, South Boundary, Lake Road and River Aux Sables were surveyed by McDonald in 1835, Lots 6–21, South Boundary were surveyed by McDonald in 1836, and Concessions 4–22 were surveyed by McDonald in 1837 (Mack 1992:10; McDonald 1835–1837).

In 1832, the McConnell brothers erected a tavern on the London Road at the request of the Canada Company. The tavern is said to have been located in the northeastern part of the township near the Ausable River, and it was likely the first structure in Stephen (Mack 1992:14). The McConnells had won the contract to cut out the northern section of the London Road, and likely received their properties, including Lots 23–25 on the Stephen side as well as several other lots on the Usborne side, as partial payment for their work (Mack 1992:14). William McConnell was a prominent early settler in the area, and he built a saw mill in 1833 and a grist mill in 1834 (Mack 1992:195–196).

In 1833, William McConnell was tasked with opening the London Road from Clinton in the north to Elginfield in the south. When the road was originally cut, the stumps were left in place so that the roots could decay, at which point the settlers who lived along the London Road were employed to remove the stumps and fill the holes (Mack 1992:37). This thoroughfare helped encourage settlement in the area and allowed settlers to make an easier passage to other villages in Huron County. In 1842, James Stanlake was appointed Overseer of Roads, and he became responsible for organizing and overseeing statute labour in the township. With little money in circulation, statute labour, a system by which every settler worked for several days a year on roads without pay, was the only way to open such long roadways in the area (Mack 1992:29).

The rate of settlement in the township was relatively slow in the early years, and the first recorded land sales date to 1832, when Isaac Rattenbury bought Lot 25, Concession 2 and Dennis O'Brien bought Lots 1–6, Concession 1 (Mack 1992:15). By 1842, there were only 17 families living in the township, and the population was 89 (Mack 1992:19). The first settlers in this area were mainly of English and Irish descent, although there were a few Germans as well (Mack 1992:24–25). By 1846, the population of the Township of Stephen reached 213 (Smith 1846:176). Settlement increased much more rapidly after 1850, and there were 740 inhabitants by 1852 (Mack 1992:20), 2,897 by 1861 (Mack 1992:105) and 3,843 by 1878 (H. Belden & Co. 1879:xvii).

The most prominent historic communities in the Township of Stephen included Francistown/Exeter, Crediton, Centralia and Grand Bend/Port Franks. Aside from these larger centres, the township also contained numerous smaller communities that developed around local post offices, including Offa, Sarepta, Dashwood, Brewster, Harpley, Corbett, Greenway, Shipka and Khiva (see Map 22).

Francistown developed in the northeastern corner of the township on Lots 23–25. As mentioned above, the earliest structure was erected here by William McConnell in 1832. In 1858, a brick hotel by the name of the Great Western Hotel (later Walper House) was built and run by Matthew Rodgers (Mack 1992:195–196). By 1856, Francistown was a bustling community with two gristmills, two saw mills, two stores, a blacksmith shop and the hotel (Mack 1992:196). Exeter, on the other hand, developed on Lot 20 south of Francistown, which was first settled by James Willis in 1832 (Mack 1992:197). This settlement grew primarily in the mid-19th century, when Isaac Carling and James 'Boss' Pickard came to the area. Carling arrived in 1847 and built a house, a tannery, a store and a three-storey brick building, whereas Pickard arrived in 1852 and erected several buildings, including his house, a three-storey store (the 'Old Reliable House'), a large warehouse and the first steam grist mill (Mack 1992:197–198). By 1856, Exeter boasted a steam saw mill, a tannery, three shoemakers, three tailors, two painters, two cabinetmakers, one cooper, one church and a post office (Mack 1992:198). In 1873, Francistown merged with Exeter and became the independent Village of Exeter (Mack 1992:200).

Crediton, located on parts of Lot 10–11, Concessions 5–7, was named in 1861 when John Parsons suggested it be named after Crediton, England because "it was six miles from Exeter" (Mack 1992:215). The earliest settlers arrived here in the late 1840s and early 1850s, and the population reached 200 in 1869 and 700 in 1880 (Mack 1992:217). By 1881, the town had three large stores, a flour and feed store, three large shoe stores, one extensive harness shop, tow

livery stables, two tailors, two hotels, one gents' furnishing store, one wagon and carriage shop, four blacksmith shops, one grist mill, one saw mill, one large flax mill, one large woolen mill, five brickyards, one furniture making factory & planing mill, and many other businesses. Crediton was the busiest community in the Township of Stephen in the late 1800s.

1.2.3.5 Township of Usborne

In historic times, the Township of Usborne was bordered by the Townships of Tuckersmith and Hibbert to the north, the Townships of Fullarton and Blanshard to the east, the Township of Biddulph to the south, and the Townships of Hay and Stephen to the west. The land in Usborne was well-watered by the Ausable River and the Little Ausable River, and according to W.H. Smith, "the greater part of the township is good land" (1846:199).

The Township of Usborne was named after Henry Usborne, one of the first Directors of the Canada Company. Along with Hay and Stephen, Usborne was acquired by the Crown in 1827 as part of the Huron Tract Purchase, and was subsequently sold to the Canada Company to facilitate its settlement (Mack 1992:4). The township was surveyed on multiple occasions: the London Road and the lots along Concession 1 were laid out in 1829, Concessions 2–3 were surveyed by John McDonald in 1830, and the rest of the lots north and south of the Thames Road were surveyed in 1838 (Dougall 1996:1).

As mentioned above, the McConnell brothers erected a tavern on the London Road in 1832, and it was likely the first structure in the vicinity of the western part of the Township of Usborne. The McConnells had won the contract to cut out the northern section of the London Road, and received properties on both the Stephen (Lots 23–25) and Usborne (Lots 17–20) sides as partial payment for their work (Mack 1992:14). The establishment of the London Road encouraged settlement and allowed for settlers to make easy passage to other villages in Huron County.

The rate of settlement in the township was relatively slow in the early years. The first documented settler was either William May or Thomas Lamb—May settled south of Exeter on the London Road on June 21, 1832, whereas Mr. Lamb settled north of Exeter at approximately the same time (H. Belden & Co. 1879:xx). By 1846, the population of the Township of Usborne was only 283. There were 295 ha under cultivation at that time, and one grist mill and one saw mill were in operation (Smith 1846:199). By 1850, however, the population had increased to 1,500, and by 1860, it had reached approximately 4,000 (Dougall 1996:1). In 1878, following the incorporation of Exeter in 1873, the population was 2,616 (H. Belden & Co. 1879:xx).

The most prominent historic communities in the Township of Usborne included Francistown/Exeter and Elimville. Aside from these larger centres, the township also contained numerous small communities that developed around local post offices, including Rodgerville, Lumley, Farquhar, Winchelsea, Woodham and Kirkton (see Map 23). Francistown/Exeter are discussed in Section 1.2.3.4.

Elimville developed on Lot 10, Concession 6–7 in the central part of the township along the Little Ausable River. Elmville was considered the 'municipal capital' of Usborne, and by 1879 it contained a hotel, two stores, several mechanics shops, two churches, a town hall and a post office. It had a population of approximately 100 at that time (H. Belden & Co. 1879:xxi).

1.2.3.6 The Study Area

As discussed in Section 1.1, the study area for this assessment comprises 73 parcels falling within or adjacent to multiple lots and concessions in the Geographic Townships of Hay, Stephen and Usborne ((see Table 1). The lots in these townships were laid out ca. 1830, and the vicinity of the study area was relatively well-settled for the remainder of the Euro-Canadian era.

In an attempt to reconstruct the historic land use of the study area, ARA examined three historical maps that documented past residents, structures (e.g., homes, businesses and public buildings) and features during the late 19th century. These maps, published in H. Belden & Co.'s *Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Huron, Ontario* (1879), were of the most detailed scale available (50 chains to 1 inch for the Township of Hay, 60 chains to 1 inch for the Townships of Stephen and Usborne). Georeferenced views of these historical maps, showing the 73 parcels, appear in Map 24–Map 28 (McGill University 2001).

H. Belden & Co.'s *Illustrated Historical Atlas* (1879) indicates that nearly all of the subject lots were settled by the late 19th century, and numerous Euro-Canadian owners are depicted on the township maps. These maps also provide useful information concerning historically-surveyed roadways, public buildings and prominent natural features in the area. The Euro-Canadian residents within or adjacent to the subject parcels are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: Euro-Canadian Residents within or adjacent to the Subject Parcels, according to H. Belden & Co.'s *Illustrated Historical Atlas of the County of Huron, Ontario* (1879) (McGill University 2001)

Parcel	Lot	Concession	Township	Property Owner(s)
GSH1006	10	9	Hay	Goderich Mfg. Co.
GSH1007	15	10	Hay	C. Wagner
GSH1012	15	NB	Stephen	J. Ford
GSH1013	21	9	Stephen	S. Brockenshire
GSH1020	13	RAS	Stephen	Canada Company
GSH1022/2176	12	22, RAS	Stephen	M. Elliot, Canada Company
GSH1023	14	22	Stephen	A. Smith
GSH1033	5	15	Stephen	Canada Company
GSH1034	3	12	Hay	I. Bean
GSH1035	6	16	Stephen	A. McEachen, Canada Company
GSH1038	11	12	Hay	E. Restemeyer
GSH1039	11	13	Hay	D.B. Geiger
GSH1040	7	12	Hay	J. Eckstein
GSH1043	15	9	Hay	S. Cober
GSH1048	8	14	Hay	C. Muller
GSH1049	6	12	Hay	J. Weber
GSH1056	13	13	Hay	J. Rhuby
GSH1061	12	12	Stephen	J. Hooper
GSH1062	5–6	13	Stephen	H. Doyle, O. Johnson

Parcel	Lot	Concession	Township	Property Owner(s)
GSH1067	4	12	Stephen	J. Reardon
GSH1068	19, 16	RAS	Stephen	Canada Company
GSH1072	6	11	Stephen	A. O'Leary
GSH1077 (East, Centre)	10–11	14	Stephen	V.J. & J. Ratz, D. Collins
GSH1077 (West)/1766 (North)	9–10	14	Stephen	Canada Company, V.J. & J. Ratz
GSH1095	43	SB	Stephen	J.T. Wason
GSH1118	10	11	Hay	J. Ragier
GSH1360	12	10	Hay	J. Gingrich, P. Kaylor
GSH1390	12	9	Hay	J. Coxworth
GSH1461	14	SB	Hay	T. Peach
GSH1481	8	7	Stephen	S. Naylor
GSH1493	13	7	Stephen	G. Brown
GSH1498/1659	14	16–17	Stephen	E. Schnarr, J. McCormick, D. Lynch
GSH1505/2252/1504	15–16	15	Stephen	T. Lamport, B. McCarty
GSH1507	9	16	Stephen/Usborne	T. Murray
GSH1509	8	16	Stephen	M. Keough
GSH1526	9	20	Stephen	W. Hickey
GSH1528	7	20	Stephen	J. Ford
GSH1605	10	19–20	Stephen	A. Thompson, J.W. Watson
GSH1617	11	18	Stephen	Canada Company
GSH1744/1765	11–12	14–15	Stephen	R. McEachen, T. Rourke, R. McInnis, H. McCormick, D. Collins
GSH1757	18	14	Stephen	C. Willett
GSH1758	17	14	Stephen	Canada Company
GSH1766 (South)	9	14	Stephen	Canada Company
GSH1780	6	14	Stephen	Canada Company
GSH1949	8	15	Stephen	Canada Company
GSH2028	4	9	Stephen	N. Clark
GSH2043	17	8	Stephen	M. Swartz
GSH2046	14	8	Stephen	J. Finkbiner
GSH2053	10	8	Stephen	J. Hill
GSH2056	8	8	Stephen	R. Flynn
GSH2099	13	NB	Stephen	T. Wilrtz
GSH2108	20	9	Stephen	J & S. Brockenshire
GSH2133	15	10	Stephen	J. Smith
GSH2158	9	9	Stephen	W. Banes
GSH2236	16	16	Stephen	Canada Company
GSH2237	18	16	Stephen	Canada Company
GSH2238	19	16	Stephen	Canada Company
GSH2255	10	7	Stephen	W. Sweet
GSH2381	6	4	Usborne	P. Beaham
GSH2411/2717/2956	12–13	5–6	Stephen	J. Kuhn, J. Fahner, R.D. Young
GSH2555	8	1–2	Usborne	T. May, Mrs. P. Sweet

Parcel	Lot	Concession	Township	Property Owner(s)
GSH2767	5	10	Usborne	J. Simpson
GSH2838	6	9	Usborne	A. Rowcliffe
GSH3065	9	12	Usborne	W. Marshall, W. Webb
GSH3068	6	11	Usborne	R. Fletcher, F. Burns
Grand Bend Line from GSH1528 to GSH1016	6–7	20	Stephen	D. Ransom, M. McLinchy
Babylon Line from GSH2058 to GSH2030	4–8	9	Stephen	N. Clark, J. & R. Hodgins, W. Lawson, J. Lawson, G. Lawson, J. Brown
Blackbush Line from GSH1758 to GSH2252	17	14	Stephen	Canada Company
Mollard Line from GSH1559 to GSH1099	15–16	RAS	Stephen	Canada Company
Bronson Line at GSH1077	11	13	Stephen	D. Collins
Huron Street at GSH1013	21	9	Stephen	S. Brockenshire

1.2.4 Summary of Past and Present Land Use

During Pre-Contact and Early Contact times, the vicinity of the study area would have comprised a mixture of coniferous trees, deciduous trees and open areas. It seems clear that the First Nations managed the landscape to some degree, but the extent of such management is unknown. During the early 19th century, Euro-Canadian settlers arrived in the area and began to clear the forests for agricultural purposes. Over the course of the Euro-Canadian era, this locality would have comprised primarily agricultural lands and historically-surveyed road allowances in the Townships of Hay, Stephen and Usborne. Presently, the project location consists of agricultural lands, hedgerows, woodlots and parts of several municipal road ROWs and private laneways. The subject parcels comprise parts of numerous municipal road ROWs (i.e., Kirkton Road, Crediton Road, Pepper Road, Rodgerville Road, Dashwood Road, Huron Street, Mollard Line, South Road, Bronson Line, Babylon Line, Blackbush Line, MacDonald Road, Greenway Drive, Eagleson Line, Goshen Line, Parr Line, Shipka Line, Grand Bend Line and Victoria Avenue West), private laneways and agricultural fields.

1.2.5 Additional Background Information

In the course of the previous archaeological assessments conducted for the project, additional research concerning the settlement history and land use of the study area was carried out. In accordance with the requirements set out in Section 7.5.7 of the *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists* (MTC 2011:125), the title, author and PIF number(s) of the related works appear below:

• Title: Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment, NextEra Energy Canada, ULC, Goshen Wind Energy Centre, Various Lots and Concessions, Geographic Townships of Hay, Stephen and Usborne, now Municipalities of Bluewater and South Huron, Huron County, Ontario. Author: Golder Associates Ltd. PIFs #P001-608-2010 and #P218-278-2011 (Golder 2012a).

• Title: Additional Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment, NextEra Energy Canada, ULC, Goshen Wind Energy Centre, Huron County, Ontario. Author: Golder Associates Ltd. PIF #P366-017-2012 (Golder 2012b).

- Title: Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment, NextEra Energy Canada, ULC, Goshen Wind Energy Centre, Various Lots and Concessions, Geographic Townships of Hay, Stephen and Usborne, now Municipalities of Bluewater and South Huron, Huron County, Ontario. Author: Golder Associates Ltd. PIF #P218-038-2011 (Golder 2013).
- Title: Stage 3 Site-Specific Assessment, Location 33 (AhHk-145), Goshen Wind Energy Centre, FIT-FETX82X, Part of Lot 12, River Aux Sables, Municipality of South Huron, Geographic Township of Stephen, Huron County, Ontario. Author: Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. PIF #P007-510-2013 (ARA 2013c).
- Title: Stage 3 Site-Specific Assessment, Location 47 (AhHj-17), Goshen Wind Energy Centre, FIT-FETX82X, Part of Lot 14, Concession 7, Municipality of South Huron, Geographic Township of Stephen, Huron County, Ontario. Author: Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. PIF #P007-515-2013 (ARA 2013d).
- Title: Stage 3 Site-Specific Assessment, Location 62 (AhHi-7), Goshen Wind Energy Centre, FIT-FETX82X, Part of Lot 7, Concession 1, Municipality of South Huron, Geographic Township of Usborne, Huron County, Ontario. Author: Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. PIF #P007-518-2013 (ARA 2013e).
- Title: Stage 4 Mitigation of Development Impacts, Location 62 (AhHi-7), Goshen Wind Energy Centre, FIT-FETX82X, Part of Lot 7, Concession 1, Municipality of South Huron, Geographic Township of Usborne, Huron County, Ontario. Author: Archaeological Research Associates Ltd. PIF #P089-0037-2013 (ARA 2013f).

The additional information included in these reports was considered during the formulation of fieldwork strategies and recommendations pertaining to archaeological concerns within the study area (see Section 2.0).

1.3 Archaeological Context

1.3.1 Previous Archaeological Work

The project location for the Goshen Wind Energy Centre has been subjected to multiple archaeological assessments. A Stage 1 assessment was completed by Golder in June 2012 under licences #P001 and #P218, PIFs #P001-608-2009 and #P218-278-2011 (Golder 2012a). This assessment encompassed an irregularly-shaped 35,260 ha block of lands located on various lots and concessions in the Geographic Townships of Hay, Stephen and Usborne, now Municipalities of Bluewater and South Huron, Huron County, Ontario (Golder 2012a:1).

Based on the presence of multiple features of archaeological potential, including 18 previously-identified archaeological sites, proximity to primary and secondary water sources, level topography, agriculturally suitable soils, documented early settlement and historic transportation routes, Golder determined that the majority of the study area had potential for both Pre-Contact and Euro-Canadian archaeological sites (Golder 2012a:43–45). This study determined that Stage 2 assessment would be required "for any areas to be impacted by turbine construction,

access road construction, or other infrastructure construction related activities" (Golder 2012a:46).

A Stage 2 assessment of the project location was carried out by Golder between May 2011 and September 2012 under licence #P218, PIF #P218-038-2011 (Golder 2013). Golder also carried out a Stage 2 assessment of additional lands between November and December 2012 under licence #P366, PIF #P366-017-2013 (Golder 2012b).

A total of 63 archaeological sites (Locations 1–63) were identified during the Stage 2 assessments, comprising 38 Pre-Contact sites, 20 Euro-Canadian sites and 5 multi-component sites. Thirty-three of these sites were found to be of further CHVI and were recommended for Stage 3 site-specific assessment (Golder 2013:Table 145). ARA, Stantec and AECOM subsequently conducted Stage 3 site-specific assessments and Stage 4 mitigations of development impacts at those sites within the project location that could not be avoided through project redesign (e.g., ARA 2013c–2013f).

1.3.2 Summary of Registered Archaeological Sites

An archival search was conducted using the MTCS's Ontario Archaeological Sites Database in order to determine the presence of any registered archaeological resources which might be located within a 1 km radius of the project location (MTCS 2013a). The results of this search, coupled with the results of past assessments carried out for the project (see Section 1.3.1), indicate that there are 83 registered or known archaeological sites within these limits. The excavation results from these sites are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Registered or Known Sites within 1 km of the Project Location

Site Name	Borden No.	Year(s) Assessed	Cultural Affiliation	Site Type	Comments
Dawsey Homestead	AhHj-2	1987	Multi component, Euro- Canadian and Middle Archaic	Homestead and Campsite?	172 Euro-Canadian artifacts, 11 lithic artifacts
Location 1	N/A	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Findspot	Isolated find of chipping detritus of Kettle Point chert; no further work recommended
Location 2	N/A	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Findspot	Isolated find of chipping detritus of Kettle Point chert; no further work recommended
Location 3	AhHk-146	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Findspot	5 lithic artifacts identified; no further work recommended
Location 4	N/A	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Findspot	Isolated find of an end scraper of Kettle Point chert; no further work recommended
Location 5	AhHk-139	2012	Paleo-Indian	Undetermined	Artifacts scattered over a 80 x 100 m area, 32 of which were collected for analysis; Stage 3 recommended
Location 6	N/A	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Findspot	Isolated find of a utilized flake of Kettle Point chert; no further work recommended
Location 7	AhHk-140	2012	Mid–late 19 th century Euro- Canadian	Scatter	Artifacts scattered over a 21 x 50 m area, 16 collected for analysis; Stage 3 recommended.

Site Name	Borden No.	Year(s) Assessed	Cultural Affiliation	Site Type	Comments
Location 8	N/A	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Findspot	Isolated find of chipping detritus of Kettle Point chert; no further work recommended
Location 9	N/A	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Scatter	A 1 x 25 m scatter of two lithic artifacts; no further work recommended
Location 10	N/A	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Findspot	Isolated find of chipping detritus of Kettle Point chert; no further work recommended
Location 11	AhHj-4	2012	Mid–late 19 th century Euro- Canadian	Findspot	Scatter of approximately 30 artifacts over a 24 x 60 m area; Stage 3 recommended
Location 12	N/A	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Scatter	Two lithic artifacts; no further work recommended
Location 13	AiHj-10	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Scatter	Scatter of chipping detritus and fire cracked rock in a 20 x 60 m area; Stage 3 recommended
Location 14	N/A	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Scatter	A 3 x 2 m scatter of 2 lithic artifacts; no further work recommended
Location 15	AiHj-17	2012	Early Archaic	Findspot	Isolated find of a Kirk/Nettling corner-notched projectile point; Stage 3 recommended
Location 16	AhHj-5	2012	Mid–late 19 th century Euro- Canadian	Scatter	Scatter of approximately 60 fragments in a 30 x 40 m area; Stage 3 recommended
Location 17	N/A	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Findspot	Isolated find of a biface of Dundee chert; no further work recommended
Location 18	AiHj-11	2012	Early Archaic	Findspot	Single find of an Early Archaic Kirk/Nettling corner-notched projectile point; Stage 3 recommended
Location 19	AiHj-12	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Findspot	Three pieces of chipping detritus and a broken projectile point; Stage 3 recommended
Location 20	AhHk-141	2012	Middle Archaic	Findspot	Single Brewerton side-notched projectile point identified, tip missing; no further work recommended
Location 21	AhHk-142	2012	19 th century Euro-Canadian	Scatter	Scatter of approximately 50 artifacts; Stage 3 recommended
Location 22	AhHj-6	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Findspot	Single projectile point base; no further work recommended
Location 23	AiHj-13	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Findspot	Isolated broken projectile point; no further work recommended
Location 24	AhHj-7	2012	Middle Archaic	Findspot	Brewerton side notched projectile point and two additional lithic tools; Stage 3 recommended
Location 25	N/A	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Scatter	2 lithic artifacts; no further work recommended
Location 26	AiHj-14	2012	Undetermined Pre-Contact	Findspot	Small scatter of nine lithic artifacts; no further work recommended
Location 27	AhHj-8	2012	Early Archaic	Findspot	Bifurcate base projectile point; Stage 3 recommended
Location 28	AhHk-143	2012	19 th century Euro-Canadian	Scatter	Scatter of approximately 60 artifacts in a 23 x 36 m area; Stage 3 recommended

Year(s) **Site Name** Borden No. **Cultural Affiliation** Site Type **Comments** Assessed 3 lithic artifacts; no further work Location 29 N/A 2012 Undetermined Pre-Contact Scatter recommended 4 lithic artifacts: no further work Location 30 N/A 2012 Undetermined Pre-Contact Scatter recommended Single Brewerton side-notched Location 31 AhHk-144 2012 Middle Archaic Findspot projectile point identified; no further work recommended Isolated find of a biface of Kettle Point chert: no further work Location 32 N/A 2012 Undetermined Pre-Contact Findspot recommended Scatter of approximately 19th century Euro-Canadian Location 33 AhHk-145 2012 Scatter 100 artifacts in a 25 x 50 m area; Stage 3 recommended Scatter of approximately 70 19th century Euro-Canadian Location 34 AhHj-10 2012 Scatter artifacts; Stage 3 recommended Meadowood Projectile point; no Location 35 AhHj-9 2012 Early Woodland Findspot further work recommended Scatter of over 200 artifacts in a Location 36 AhHk-147 2012 19th century Euro-Canadian 90 x 80 m area: Stage 3 Scatter recommended Scatter of over 300 artifacts; Location 37 AhHj-11 2012 19th century Euro-Canadian Scatter Stage 3 recommended Scatter of over 300 Euro-Canadian 19th century Euro-Canadian, artifacts and a small amount of small Pre-Contact Pre-Contact Aboriginal lithics in a Location 38 AhHk-148 2012 Scatter Aboriginal component 85 x 95 m area; Stage 3 recommended 19th century Euro-Canadian, Scatter of over 600 artifacts: Location 39 AhHj-12 2012 small Pre-Contact Scatter Stage 3 recommended Aboriginal component Isolated find of a biface of Kettle Location 40 N/A 2012 **Undetermined Pre-Contact** Findspot Point chert: no further work recommended Isolated find of chipping detritus Location 41 N/A 2012 **Undetermined Pre-Contact** Findspot of Kettle Point chert; no further work recommended Isolated find of a partial ground Location 42 N/A 2012 Undetermined Pre-Contact Findspot stone celt; no further work recommended 19th century Euro-Canadian, Discrete cluster of over 500 small Pre-Contact Location 43 AhHj-13 2012 Scatter artifacts; Stage 3 recommended Aboriginal component Discrete cluster of approximately 19th century Euro-Canadian Location 44 AhHj-14 2012 Scatter 80 artifacts; Stage 3 recommended Discrete cluster of approximately 19th century Euro-Canadian Location 45 AhHj-15 2012 Scatter 80 artifacts; Stage 3 recommended Discrete cluster of approximately 19th century Euro-Canadian Location 46 AhHj-16 2012 Scatter 80 artifacts, Stage 3 recommended Scatter of over 100 artifacts; 19th century Euro-Canadian Location 47 AhHj-17 2012 Scatter Stage 3 recommended 19th century Euro-Canadian, Scatter of over 150 artifacts, Location 48 AhHj-18 2012 small Pre-Contact Scatter Stage 3 recommended Aboriginal component scatter of approximately 250 19th century Euro-Canadian Location 49 AhHj-19 2012 Scatter artifacts; Stage 3 recommended Cluster of approximately 250 19th century Euro Canadian Location 50 AhHj-20 2012 Scatter artifacts. Stage 3 recommended

Year(s) **Site Name** Borden No. **Cultural Affiliation** Site Type **Comments** Assessed 1 Brewerton projectile point and 6 Location 51 AhHj-21 2012 Middle Archaic Findspot additional lithics; Stage 3 recommended 1 Brewerton projectile point and 3 additional lithics; no further work Location 52 AhHj-22 2012 Middle Archaic Findspot recommended Isolated blank fragment of Kettle point chert; no further work Location 53 N/A 2012 Undetermined Pre-Contact Findspot recommended 1 Kirk/Nettling corner notched Findspot projectile point; Stage 3 Location 54 AhHj-23 2012 Early Archaic recommended Isolated find of a Small Point Late Location 55 AiHj-18 2012 Late Archaic Findspot Archaic Innes projectile point: no further work recommended Scatter of approximately 150 19th century Euro-Canadian Location 56 AhHj-24 2012 Scatter artifacts; Stage 3 recommended Scatter of approximately 125 19th century Euro-Canadian 2012 Location 57 AhHj-25 Scatter artifacts; Stage 3 recommended Isolated find of chipping detritus 2012 Undetermined Pre-Contact of Kettle Point chert; no further Location 58 N/A Findspot work recommended A 15 x 15 m scatter of 16 Euro-Location 59 N/A 2012 Euro-Canadian Scatter Canadian artifacts; no further work recommended Scatter of over 100 artifacts in a 19th century Euro-Canadian Location 60 AhHi-5 2012 Scatter 25 x 35 m area; Stage 3 recommended Scatter of over 100 artifacts in a 19th century Euro-Canadian Location 61 AhHi-6 2012 Scatter 25: Stage 3 recommended 19th century Euro-Canadian. Scatter of over 200 artifacts in a Location 62 AhHi-7 2012 small Pre-Contact Scatter 40 x 40 m area; Stage 3 Aboriginal component recommended Isolated find of a biface manufactured of Kettle Point Location 63 N/A 2012 **Undetermined Pre-Contact** Findspot chert; no further work recommended Late 19th-20th century Euro-A 28 x 16 m scatter of 17 Euro-Location 64 N/A 2013 Scatter Canadian Canadian artifacts Field and laboratory work in Location 65 N/A 2013 Euro-Canadian Scatter progress N/A AiHj-3 1985 **Undetermined Pre-Contact** 2 Findspots 1 graver, 1 core N/A AhHj-3 1987 **Undetermined Pre-Contact Findspot** 1 biface 2 pieces of chipping detritus, 5 m N/A AiHj-2 1987 Undetermined Pre-Contact Findspot apart 1990 diffuse scatter of lithics, 4 loci N/A AiHi-1 **Undetermined Pre-Contact** Lithic Scatter 10 artifacts per square, lithics, 1990 including 4 points and 1 bone N/A AiHi-2 Late Archaic Campsite? fragment AiHi-3 1990 Undetermined Pre-Contact Undetermined N/A 6 artifacts N/A AiHi-4 1990 **Undetermined Pre-Contact** Undetermined 11 lithics Euro-Canadian and Pre-2 Euro-Canadian artifacts and 2003 N/A AhHk-99 Scatter Contact Aboriginal 1 Pre-Contact Aboriginal artifact Multi component, Euro-Undetermined 42 Euro-Canadian artifacts, AhHk-100 2004 N/A Canadian and Late Archaic and campsite 2,072 Pre-Contact artifacts Middle Woodland and Late 2004 N/A AhHk-101 Campsite 1,184 artifacts Woodland

Site Name	Borden No.	Year(s) Assessed	Cultural Affiliation	Site Type	Comments
N/A	AhHk-102	2004	Early Archaic and Woodland	Campsite	573 artifacts
N/A	AhHk-103	2004	Late Woodland	Campsite	1231 artifacts
N/A	AhHk-104	2004	Middle Archaic and Late Archaic	Campsite	1122 artifacts
N/A	AhHk-105	2004	Late Archaic	Lithic Scatter	919 artifacts
N/A	AhHk-109	2004	Late Woodland	camp	260 artifacts
N/A	AhHk-111	2004	Early Woodland and Middle Woodland	Undetermined	239 artifacts
Sarepta Tavern/Post Office	AiHj-4	1992	Euro-Canadian	Historic Commercial	Large quantities of Euro-Canadian artifacts, hand-pump waterwell

Dozens of these previously-identified sites are located within 1 km of the specific parcels assessed for this report. These sites are summarized in Table 4. The abundance of registered sites in the vicinity of the study area demonstrates the desirability of this locality for early settlement and resource exploitation.

Table 4: Registered Archaeological Sites within 1 km of the Subject Parcels

Parcel	Sites within 1 km
GSH1006	Location 8, Location 9
GSH1007	Location 15 (AhHj-7), Location 26 (AiHj-14)
GSH1012	None
GSH1013	Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11)
GSH1020	Location 3, Location 4, Location 5 (AhHk-139), Location 28 (AHHk-143), Location 33 (AhHk-145), Location 38 (AhHk-148)
GSH1022/2176	Location 2, Location 3, Location 4, Location 5 (AhHk-139), Location 28 (AhHk-143), Location 33 (AhHk-145)
GSH1023	Location 1, Location 2, Location 3, Location 4, Location 5 (AhHk-139)
GSH1033	Location 49 (AhHj-19)
GSH1034	None
GSH1035	Location 49 (AhHj-19)
GSH1038	None
GSH1039	Location 55 (AhHj-18)
GSH1040	Location 17, Location 18 (AhHj-11), Location 19 (AhHj-12), Location 41, Location 59
GSH1043	Location 15 (AhHj-7)
GSH1048	None
GSH1049	Location 41, Location 59
GSH1056	Location 13 (AhHj-10), Location 14
GSH1061	Location 50 (AhHj-20), Location 51 (AhHj-21), Location 52 (AhHj-22), Location 53
GSH1062	Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16)
GSH1067	Location 16 (AhHj-5), Location 30

GSH1068	Parcel	Sites within 1 km
GSH1077 (East, Centre) Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15), Location 51 (AhHj-21), Location 45 (AhHj-12), Location 53 (SH1077 (West)' 1766 (North) Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15)	GSH1068	
GSH1077 (West) Location 52 (AhHj-22), Location 53 GSH1077 (West)/ 1766 (North) Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1095 Location 21 (AhHc-142) GSH1196 None GSH11390 None GSH1390 None GSH1481 Location 27 (AhHj-8) GSH1483 Location 42, Location 42, Location 32 GSH1493 Location 7 (AhHk-140), Location 32 GSH1505/2252/1504 Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH1507 None GSH1509 None GSH1526 None GSH1605 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1617 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1618 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1744/1765 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1757 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 63 GSH1780 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 64 (AhHj-14) GSH1780 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-18) GSH2033 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-18)	GSH1072	Location 6, Location 16 (AhHj-5)
1766 (North)	GSH1077 (East, Centre)	
OSH1118		Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15)
Content	GSH1095	Location 21 (AhHk-142)
SSH1390	GSH1118	None
GSH1481	GSH1360	Location 26 (AhHj-14)
GSH1481 Location 27 (AhHj-8) GSH1493 Location 42, Location 43 (AhHj-13) GSH1498/1659 Location 7 (AhHk-140), Location 32 GSH1505/2252/1504 Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH1507 None GSH1509 None GSH1526 None GSH1528 None GSH1528 None GSH1617 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1617 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1617 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1757 Location 36 (AhHj-10), Location 43 (AhHj-15) GSH1758 Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-15) GSH1766 (South) Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH1949 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH1043 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2028 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2066 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2089 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH218 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2237 Location 35 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144)	GSH1390	None
GSH1493 Location 42, Location 43 (AhHj-13) GSH1498/1659 Location 7 (AhHk-140), Location 32 GSH1505/2252/1504 Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH1507 None GSH1509 None GSH1526 None GSH1528 None GSH1605 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1617 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1617 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1744/1765 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1757 Location 63 GSH1758 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH1949 Location 45 (AhHj-18) GSH2028 Location 46 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 47 (AhHj-18) GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 47 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2066 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2089 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2133 None GSH2133 None GSH218 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH218 Location 37 (AhHj-1), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH218 Location 37 (AhHj-1), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH218 Location 37 (AhHj-1), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH218 Location 37 (AhHj-25) GSH218 Location 37 (AhHj-25) GSH2237 Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144)	GSH1461	None
GSH1498/1659 Location 7 (AhHk-140), Location 32 GSH1505/2252/1504 Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH1507 None GSH1509 None GSH1526 None GSH1528 None GSH1605 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1617 Location 35 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1757 Location 63 GSH1758 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1949 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-18) GSH2028 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2043 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2089 None GSH2108 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2133 None GSH2134 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj	GSH1481	Location 27 (AhHj-8)
GSH1505/2252/1504 GSH1507 None GSH1509 None GSH1526 None GSH1528 None GSH1605 GSH1605 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1617 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1757 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1758 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1758 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 GSH1780 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1949 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH2028 Location 35 (AhHj-15) GSH2043 Location 36 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 37 (AhHj-18) GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2099 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2133 None GSH2133 None GSH2134 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-3), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 37 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH1493	Location 42, Location 43 (AhHj-13)
SSH1507	GSH1498/1659	Location 7 (AhHk-140), Location 32
SSH1509	GSH1505/2252/1504	Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24)
GSH1526 None GSH1528 None GSH1605 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1617 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1744/1765 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1757 Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH1766 (South) Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH1949 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-15) GSH2028 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2080 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2080 Location 37 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2081 Location 37 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) CSH2081 Location 37 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) CSH2108 Location 37 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-9), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH1507	None
GSH1528 None GSH1605 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1617 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1744/1765 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1757 Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH1766 (South) Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1949 Location 35 (AhHj-15) GSH2028 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 31 (AhHk-144)	GSH1509	None
GSH1605 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1617 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1744/1765 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1757 Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH1758 Location 54 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1760 (South) Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH1949 Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH2028 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 34 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144)	GSH1526	None
GSH1617 Location 36 (AhHk-147) GSH1744/1765 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1757 Location 63 GSH1758 Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH1766 (South) Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH1949 Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH2028 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2134 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 37 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) Location 38 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) Location 39 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) Location 31 (AhHkj-14) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH1528	None
GSH1744/1765 Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1757 Location 53 GSH1758 Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH1766 (South) Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH1949 Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH2028 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH1605	Location 36 (AhHk-147)
GSH1757 Location 63 GSH1758 Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH1766 (South) Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH1949 Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH2028 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH1617	Location 36 (AhHk-147)
GSH1758 Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH1766 (South) Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH1949 Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH2028 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2138 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH1744/1765	Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15)
GSH1766 (South) Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH1780 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH1949 Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH2028 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH1757	Location 63
GSH1780 GSH1949 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH2028 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) CSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH1758	Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24)
GSH1780 Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16) GSH1949 Location 45 (AhHj-15) GSH2028 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH1766 (South)	Location 34 (AhHj-10), Location 44 (AhHj-14), Location 45 (AhHj-15)
GSH2028 Location 48 (AhHj-18) GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42		Location 30, Location 46 (AhHj-16)
GSH2043 Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42 GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH1949	Location 45 (AhHj-15)
GSH2046 None GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH2028	Location 48 (AhHj-18)
GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH2043	Location 10, Location 11 (AhHj-4), Location 42
GSH2053 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2056 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42		None
GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42		Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 42, Location 57 (AhHj-25)
GSH2099 None GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42	GSH2056	Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25)
GSH2108 Location 12, Location 25, Location 37 (AhHj-11) GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42		
GSH2133 None GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42		
GSH2158 Location 35 (AhHj-9), Location 57 (AhHj-25) GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42		
GSH2236 Location 32, Location 54 (AhHj-23), Location 56 (AhHj-24) GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42		Location 35 (AhHi-9), Location 57 (AhHi-25)
GSH2237 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42		X 3 //
GSH2238 Location 31 (AhHk-144) GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42		
GSH2255 Location 27 (AhHj-8), Location 42		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	GSH2381	None