

Appendix D

Public Correspondence Summary Table

Cedar Point Wind Power Project - General Stakeholder Correspondence

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
Jack Garvin				
	3/26/2013 12:00 AM	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	An email was received expressing an interest in contracting opportunities. Ms. Zimmerman forwarded on the email to Suncor Construction Manager.
Gary Lusk				
	3/27/2013 12:00 AM	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Mr. Lusk emailed Suncor and attached a letter objecting to Suncor Energy's proposed wind turbine development, Cedar Point Wind Power Project in Plympton-Wyoming, Ontario - equating the wind project to the "Asbestos Affair".
Carlos Martinez				
	7/27/2012	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Zimmerman received a call regarding ICS' interest in working for Suncor as a subcontractor in electrical work.
Eric Langer				
	12/20/2012	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Zimmerman received a call regarding interest in working with Suncor to supply substations, distribution lines, insulators, etc. He was redirected to Suncor's Project Engineer.
A. Connecture				
	1/11/2013	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Zimmerman received a call regarding interest in working with Suncor regarding construction. He was redirected to Suncor's Construction Manager.
Roger Sutherland				
	5/30/2012	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Concern was raised about the local flying club and impact from wind turbines.
Shelley Spitse				
	6/5/2012	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received an email objecting to Suncor 's proposed wind turbine development. Concerned about viewscape, property values and freedom of choice.
Tom Hughes				
	8/8/2012	Letter Received/Sent	Belinda Phillips	Ms. Phillips, Suncor's Director of Wind Business and Operations, responded on behalf of Suncor's President and CEO to the letter he received from Mr. Hughes on July 31 regarding his concern about Suncor's Cedar Point Wind Power Project.
Christine Rubley				
	7/9/2012	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received questions about the boundaries and if they have changed.
Diane Werezak				
	8/19/2012	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received an email with concern that the project will destroy the beautiful ambiance of the area.
Land owner				
	8/20/2012	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received an email of an individual who is interested in participating in the project. She was forwarded to the appropriate person within Suncor.
	8/20/2012	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received a call from an individual who is in support of wind energy and is interested in participating in the project. She was forwarded to the appropriate person within Suncor.
	3/28/2013	Email received	Christopher Scott	Suncor received a request to have the visual simulations of Suncor's proposed project posted on the website to provide the public with a more realistic idea of what the project will look like. Mr. Scott indicated that Suncor will try to put it on the website, once the issue of file size is resolve.

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	1/23/2013	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received a call from an individual who is in support of wind energy and wanted to know more about land he was potentially purchasing. He was forwarded to the appropriate person within Suncor.
Andy				
	2/6/2012	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Zimmerman received a phone call from Advantage Portable toilets. His contact was provided to Suncor's Construction Manager.
Pete and Shelley Aarssen				
	8/21/2012	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	We were never consulted nor given an opportunity by public plebiscite or vote to approve of an industrial wind farm being placed in our area and we do not approve. Public opposition to this project has recently been clearly and profoundly expressed by petition, popular protest signage and very, very well attended gatherings by our local citizenship that overwhelmingly objects to Industrial Wind turbines coming to our community at all.
Wendy Pitvor				
	4/8/2013	Telephone/email received/sent	Christopher Scott	Ms. Pitvor, the Health and Safety Officer of the Lambton Kent District School Board, spoke to Mr. Scott about information on distance of proposed turbines and sub stations to three of their schools (Kinnwood, Aberarder and Bosanquet Public Schools). Mr. Scott had a map made and also provided the distances in an email on April 9th.
Brian Burnett				
	9/28/2012	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received a call from a contractor in Forest who had an excavating and road building company. Mr. Burnett's contact information was forwarded to Suncor's Construction Manager.
Ida Karelsen				
	3/23/2012	Telephone/email received/sent	Dianne Zimmerman, Christopher Scott	As a follow-up to a conversation with Ms. Zimmerman, Mr. Scott provided Ms. Karelsen with a document outlining the areas encompassing the properties associated with the Camlachie wind power project (which was not awarded a FIT contract) after there was some confusion as to which project boundaries were included in the Cedar Point wind project. An email exchange occurred to provide additional clarity on boundaries for Phase I and Phase II of Cedar Point and when the Camlachie and Phase I of Cedar Point projects would receive approval. Mr. Scott responded on the same day.
	5/16/2012	Email/face to face	Dianne Zimmerman and Christopher Scott	Ms. Zimmerman sent an email to Ms. Karelsen offering a second visit from Suncor's project engineer, Mr. Scott to discuss turbine layout. Mr. Scott met with Ms. And Mr. Karelsen to discuss location of turbines (around Michigan Line and 402) and the status of the Camlachie project. Since Suncor selected a larger nameplate capacity turbine for the Cedar Point project, turbines are no longer proposed between Michigan Line and the 402.
Scott Sipkens				
	4/18/2012	Face to face	Jody Hood	Mr. Sipkens attended the public meeting and wanted additional information on property values. Suncor posted a response document to comments received at the public meetings. This can be found at www.suncor.com/cedarpointwind .
Morijan Sopeta				

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	4/18/2012	Face to face	Jody Hood	Mr. Sopeta has concerns about the noise of the turbines. He currently lives near a farm and thinks they are louder than they should be. He was interested in knowing who he can complain to (ie what agency). Suncor provided this contact information to him.
Doris St. Amand				
	4/9/2012	Email received/sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. St. Amand was unable to download the Project Description Report. On April 11, Ms. Zimmerman sent her a PDF version of the report.
Vicky Coolledge				
	4/17/2012	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Coolledge requested the distance that the wind project would be from her property lines from all sides. She attended the public meeting on April 18th.
Deanne Ducharme				
	4/18/2012	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Ducharme indicated that she is not supportive of the project. She did ask a number of questions about the turbines (e.g. why wind when so many people are against them, wind energy is not green - why do you believe it is, is Suncor pursuing other forms of "Green Energy"). Other concerns were raised about birds, property values.
	5/10/2012	Email sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Zimmerman provided Ms. Ducharme with a detailed email, responding to each question and concern raised.
student				
	10/1/2012	Email received	Christopher Scott	A student was doing a project for school who lives near Ripley and was wondering how much people get paid to have a turbine on their property. Ms. Scott responded in an email.
Eunice and Trevor Hall				
	4/21/2012	Email received/sent	Dianne Zimmerman	"If you are a good corporate citizen, you will listen to the people of Plympton Wyoming and withdraw your application to install 62 wind turbines in our community. Health hazards do exist from wind turbines - just look at your Ripley Wind Project." Ms. Zimmerman responded and thanks Mr. Hall for his comments. For clarity, however, Ms. Zimmerman indicated that while Suncor did purchase some homes near our Ripley wind power project. Since the project began, we've been working with local stakeholders to answer questions and understand their concerns. After a prolonged period of consultation that involved a number of third-party studies and tests, it was agreed upon that the only solution that could meet the needs of a small group of local landowners was to purchase their homes. No link between the operation of our Ripley Wind Power Project and the health concerns of our neighbors could be discovered, and so no damages were awarded or necessary.
	5/7/2012	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Mr. Hall responded and indicated that he attended the public meeting and that he heard 90 plus % were against this project. There are approximately 7500 people in Plympton-Wyoming of which 4500 are over 15 years old. We have 25% of this number on a petition in just two weeks and the number growing daily. How many does it take to cancel this project? Be a good corporate citizen and not just think of the bottom line. Can a person who has leased land to Suncor Energy for the Cedar Point project get out of their lease and if yes how?

Jayne Glover

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	4/13/2013	Email received/sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Glover was unable to attend the public meetings and was wondering if someone could send an email or fax stating their concerns, pros and cons. Ms. Zimmerman indicated that Ms. Glover could send comments directly to her. No comments were received.
Jane Neily Mallay				
	03/20/2012 - 04/03/2012	Email received/sent	Dianne Zimmerman, Marnie Dawson and Mark Kozak (Stantec)	A total of seven emails were exchanged between Suncor staff and Ms. Mallay. On April 3, 2012 Suncor provided an updated map (based on Ms. Mallay's requests) that included the names of the roads. The font was also extra large so that could be viewed easily.
Erich Wonchala				
	3/16/2013	Email received/sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received a strong letter of opposition. Mr. Wonchala indicated his interest in being compensated for himself and the local residents for loss of their property value and financial support for the rest of their lives. Mr. Wonchala indicated that Suncor gave assurances that property values would not be affected. Ms. Zimmerman responded and indicated that Suncor did not give assurances about property values and provided references to the studies that have been conducted on property values.
	3/28/2013	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received a response back refuting the studies and identifying other areas of concern beyond property values. These include location of turbines, health concerns and noise issues. A follow-up email was sent again. This emailed demanded financial compensation for lost property value in the amount of \$775,000 and a lifetime annual payment of \$20,000 or to take full possession of home and relocate his family.
Susan Levesque				
	8/23/2012	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received a strong letter of opposition. Attached in this email was a letter that Ms. Levesque sent to the Queen of England asking for her to rescind the Green Energy Act since it is not democratic. The letter was also carbon copied to a number of elected Ontario leaders.
John Matthiesen				
	8/27/2012	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received an email requesting Sinovel provide their wind turbines for Suncor's project.
Gary Vance				
	8/26/2012	email received	Christopher Scott	Suncor received an email identifying concern with respect to Mr. Vance's airstrip after attending Suncor's public meeting. Turbines 14, 17 and 19 are either just inside or barely outside the Obstacle Limitation Surface area recommended by Transport Canada. Concern was also raised in regards to Suncor's met tower. Due to proximity to the airstrip and the take-off approach slope, Mr. Vance was requesting that Turbine 17 be removed. A follow-up email was also received since Mr. Vance was not able to attend the public meetings. In a telephone conversation Suncor indicated which turbines would be required to have NAV Canada lighting (Turbines 14 and 19). Mr. Vance requested Suncor to also light Turbine 17.

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	4/1/2013	telephone and email	Christopher Scott	Mr. Vance called Mr. Scott since he was unable to attend the public open houses. Mr. Scott offered to meet with Mr. Vance outside of the meeting times. Mr. Scott also sent an email and attached a section of the latest proposed layout which included Mr. Vance's airstrip. Suncor moved the met tower location and it now is northwest of turbine 23. Also turbine 21 was moved south on the same property. Turbine 3, 12 and 28 have been removed from the project. Suncor is currently working with NAV Canada regarding which turbines require lighting and what type of lighting will be necessary. Their latest correspondence has indicated a requirement for Turbines 14 and 19 to be lit. Mr. Scott indicated his willingness to discuss Mr. Vance's concerns regarding Turbine 17. In addition, Suncor will be meeting with Mr. Vance this summer to explore his airstrip in more details with an aeronautical consultant.
Heather Jackson				
	08/23 - 08/28/2013	Email Received/Sent	Dianne Zimmerman, Christopher Scott, Mark Kozak (Stantec)	Ms. Jackson requested that Suncor relocate turbines 45 and 39 away from residents of Bluepoint, Sunset Acres and Huron Shores housing developments. Ms. Zimmerman forwarded this email to Suncor's Project Engineer and Consultant.
Mr. Morettin				
	07/31 - 09/2013	email received/sent	Belinda Phillips	Ms. Phillips, Suncor's Director of Wind Business and Operations, responded on behalf of Suncor's President and CEO to the letter he received from Mr. Morettin on July 31 regarding his concern about Suncor's Cedar Point Wind Power Project.
Rafael Minassian				
	07/30-09/25/2012	telephone/email received/sent	Dianne Zimmerman, Kolja Vainstein	Mr. Minassian called and expressed an interest in providing engineering services to Suncor's project. In a follow-up email, Ms. Zimmerman forwarded this information on to Suncor's Construction Manager.
Dave and Paula Shea				
	08/20-10/2012	email received/sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Shea requested a larger map than the one located on the website and include the lot and concession numbers of the specific turbines to determine on which properties the turbines are located on. Suncor provided an updated map and identified the changes that have occurred since the release of the first map in September 2012.
Laurie Webb				
	10/6/2013	email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Webb attended the public meeting and provided feedback regarding Turbine 45 and that it not be used as a location as it is the only turbine located immediately on the edge of the residential buffer zone.
Ed Vanderaa				
	9/11/2012	email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Mr. Vanderaa is opposed to the project because it is "too close to homes, they are ugly, too expensive for the taxpayer, risk to potential health issues". Mr. Vanderaa indicated that there were now more than 1,800 signatures on the WAIT - PW petition.
Wayne Stewardson				

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	3/1/2012 2:17 PM	Email Received/Sent	Dianne Zimmerman, Christopher Scott, Chris Moger	Mr. Stewardson requested an update regarding Suncor's project and had concerns about the Mayor's moratorium on wind and other health studies. He is interested in wanting the project to move ahead. Several email exchanges occurred between Suncor staff and Mr. Stewardson.
Harvey & Esther Wrightman				
	3/21/2012 12:00 AM	Email Received/Sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Mr. Wrightman was having trouble with the links on the newly established website dedicated to this project. An email was returned and identified that the issue had been resolved.
Robert Mieklejohn				
	3/21/2012 9:32 AM	Email Received/Sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Mr. Mieklejohn was having trouble with the links on the newly established website dedicated to this project. An email was returned and identified that the issue had been resolved.
Gallimere Home Owners Association				
	3/22/2012 12:00 AM	Letter Received/Sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received a letter outlining concerns with respect to "visual pollution", noise, shadow flicker, and wildlife with respect to Suncor's proposed wind project. Suncor provided a detailed letter response and it was returned. The letter was then emailed on February 21, 2013.
Terry Siddall				
	3/22/2012 2:19 PM	Email Received/Sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Mr. Siddall identified concern about his property value and asked for studies that may prove that property values would go down. Ms. Zimmerman identified that Suncor has not seen any studies that have indicated that property values decrease as a result of wind turbines. We have seen two studies that show either no impact (a study conducted for Chatham-Kent) and a recent REMAX study that actually saw an increase in farm properties that have the presence of renewable energy projects.
Yvonne Vanderbled and Bill Yates				
	3/26/2012 12:31 PM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Vanderbled provided her position that she and her husband strongly disapprove of Suncor's proposed project and identified the following reasons for their position: migratory tundra swans, poor government policy and higher costs, health impacts of people, animals and environment, property values. Ms. Vanderbled indicated that she would like to see the OPA convert Lambton coal to gas or biofuels since it is secure, reliable, money-generating energy production, and a place that employs numerous people and is a contributor to the Lambton county tax base.
	8/20/2012	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received a strong objection to the project.
	11/29/2012 12:00 AM	Email Sent	Belinda Phillips	Ms. Phillips, Suncor's Director of Wind Business and Operations, responded on behalf of Suncor's President and CEO to the letter he received from Ms. Vanderbled and Mr. Yates regarding their concern about Suncor's Cedar Point Wind Power Project.
Pyramids Engineering Inc.				

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	3/29/2012 12:00 AM	Email Received/sent	Dianne Zimmerman, Christopher Scott, Kolja Vainstein	Suncor received five emails from Pyramids Engineering Inc. expressing interest in working with Suncor. The emails were forwarded to Suncor's Project Engineer and Construction Manager. Ms. Zimmerman responded and also directed Mr. Tadros to Suncor's website and invited him to attend the upcoming public meetings in April, 2012.
Sarnia / Lambton Golden Kiwanis				
	11/29/2011 12:00 AM	Telephone/email	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received a request to present to the local Sarnia/Lambton Golden Kiwanis club. After several telephone calls and email exchanges, Ms. Zimmerman was scheduled to present on Jan 31, 2012 on renewable energy. Unfortunately due to bad weather, Ms. Zimmerman had to reschedule. It has not yet been rescheduled.
Rotary Club of				
	6/12/2012 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman, Christopher Scott	Mr. Botma from the Rotary Club emailed Suncor inviting Suncor to present at an upcoming speakers meeting. After several emails, Suncor declined due to time constraints and work loads.
Jason Cates				
	2/15/2013 12:00 AM	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman, Christopher Scott	Ms. Zimmerman talked to Mr. Cates and he expressed concern about turbine #71 and proximity to residential homes. Mr. Scott followed up to provide Mr. Cates with more details about the design of the project.
William (Bill) Wright				
	7/12/2012 1:53 PM	Email Sent	Dianne Zimmerman, Christopher Scott	Mr. Wright attended Suncor's public meeting in Adelaide and followed up with concern about decreasing property values and wondering why Suncor was building wind projects in the area given Suncor's values of safety leadership, continuous improvement in sustainability and people. A report was also sent from the Industrial Wind Turbines - Ontario Society of Professional Engineers independent engineering review dated March 2012. A request to have Suncor's engineering group review this document was made.
Marcelle Brooks				
	5/3/2012	Email received/sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Brooks sent an email inquiring whether individuals were sent, by Suncor, to their rural neighbourhood the night before between 9:30-10:00 whom claimed to be listening to bird calls. The individuals said they were from World Wildlife (?). After investigation, Ms. Zimmerman confirmed on May 9th that it was not Suncor or Stantec and that if we were doing survey or other work in the area that appropriate identification would be available and presented if asked.

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	8/22/2012 12:00 AM	Face to face	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor met with Mr. and Mrs. Hornblower and Ms. Brooks to discuss concern the Hornblowers have with respect to their 7 children, three of whom have been diagnosed with Autistic Spectrum Disorder. The key concerns raised were safety (during construction), noise sensitivities, fixation on blades, sleeplessness, flicker, changes to the children's visual environment and overall quality of life. Questions were asked about the size of turbines, location and distance from turbines, construction routes, noise and flicker assessments. While no known health studies have been conducted regarding wind turbines and autism, Ms. Zimmerman did follow-up with Suncor's own medical doctor to identify the issues raised. Suncor continues discussion with the Hornblowers. Suncor was also asked if they would be willing to meet with Nextera. Suncor identified their willingness for a meeting.
	10/19/2012 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Brooks asked when the REA reports would be made available to the public (the Municipality of Lambton Shores had received its copy). Suncor responded on November 13 and indicated that Suncor has provided the reports to the County and Municipality as per the regulatory requirements. Per O.Reg. 359/09 the public will have at a minimum a 60 day review period to review our documentation. Suncor will notify the public by notice when this information is available for review on our website.
	12/19/2012 12:00 AM	Face to face	Dianne Zimmerman, Christopher Scott	After several email exchanges, Suncor met with Ms. Hornblower and Ms. Brooks again to discuss concerns about Ms. Hornblower's children. At this meeting, Suncor reported that Ms. Zimmerman and Ms. Phillips met with Suncor's medical doctor to discuss the issues raised and to investigate scientific studies regarding autism and wind turbines. Suncor offered to meet with Ms. Hornblower's program teachers. Discussion continued regarding the reports and those of a competitor. Suncor offered to conduct a photomontage of the Hornblower's home to identify what turbines would be visible from their home. This photomontage was conducted on Jan 23 and the results were shared with Ms. Hornblower on April 5 - in person. See below.
	2/1/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Mr. Brooks expressed concern about the timing of the public meeting in Forest (meeting time for Forest was originally from 3:30 p.m. until 6:30 p.m) and that members of the community would find it difficult to attend. In response to this concern, Suncor moved the location and the time of the meeting. The meeting was subsequently scheduled on April 2 at the Legacy Recreation Centre in Thedford from 6 to 9pm. Ms. Zimmerman followed up with Ms. Brooks on February 28. Revised notices were also posted in local papers.
	3/14/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received an email with concerns that the revised notice identified the town of Bedford instead of Thedford. The correct notice was advertised in the Sarnia Observer, but it was missed with the more local papers. A correction notice was posted the following week in the Forest Standard, Watford Guide Advocate and the Parkhill Gazette papers on March 14, 2013. Ms. Zimmerman sent an email on March 14, 2013 providing this information.

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	4/5/2013 12:00 AM	Face to face	Dan Visser, Christopher Scott	Suncor met with Ms. Hornblower and Ms. Brooks at the Municipal Office in Forest, ON. Mr. Visser provided the hard copy prints of the various photo simulations. He explained the process of how the simulations were generated. In general turbines were located behind various density of woodlot vegetation. Suncor committed to a post construction review of the visual simulations. Ms. Hornblower requested that Suncor consider not building turbines near her residence by making them alternate locations. Specific requests for turbines 5 and 8 were made to not be constructed. She also requested that Ridge Road not be used by construction traffic to ensure the safety of her children. There was a discussion regarding cumulative sound results and Suncor provided details of how the computer modelling was conducted. Suncor committed to sending an email presenting the results of the cumulative sound at Ms. Hornblower's home.

Sarah Hornblower

	8/22/2012 12:00 AM	Face to face	Dianne Zimmerman, Christopher Scott	Suncor met with Mr. and Mrs. Hornblower and Ms. Brooks to discuss concern the Hornblowers have with respect to their 7 children, three of whom have been diagnosed with Autistic Spectrum Disorder. The key concerns raised were safety (during construction), noise sensitivities, fixation on blades, sleeplessness, flicker, changes to the children's visual environment and overall quality of life. Questions were asked about the size of turbines, location and distance from turbines, construction routes, noise and flicker assessments. While no known health studies have been conducted regarding wind turbines and autism, Ms. Zimmerman did follow-up with Suncor's own medical doctor to identify the issues raised. Suncor continues discussion with the Hornblowers. Suncor was also asked if they would be willing to meet with Nextera. Suncor identified their willingness for a meeting.
	8/28/2012 12:00 AM	email sent	Christopher Scott	Mr. Scott had two copies of the Site Draft Report printed for Ms. Hornblower and Ms. Brooks to pick up at a local print shop near her home.
	8/30/2013 12:00 AM	email sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Mr. Scott ordered large maps for Ms. Hornblower and Ms. Brooks to pick up at a local print shop near her home. Ms. Zimmerman sent an email letting Ms. Hornblower know that the maps were ready for pick-up. Suncor committed to providing these maps at the August 22 face to face meeting.
	10/12/2012 12:00 AM	email sent	Christopher Scott	Mr. Scott provided Ms. Hornblower with the distances of Suncor's proposed turbines (turbines 1-8) closest to her house which she identified as Receptor 2440 in Suncor's draft site plan. This information was requested by Ms. Hornblower at the August 22 face to face meeting.
	10/20/2012 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received an email from Ms. Hornblower advising Suncor that they will not be pursuing a joint meeting with Suncor and Next Era.
	12/19/2012 12:00 AM	Face to face	Dianne Zimmerman, Christopher Scott	After several email exchanges, Suncor met with Ms. Hornblower and Ms. Brooks again to discuss concerns about Ms. Hornblower's children. At this meeting, Suncor reported that Ms. Zimmerman and Ms. Phillips met with Suncor's medical doctor to discuss the issues raised and to investigate scientific studies regarding autism and wind turbines. Suncor offered to meet with Ms. Hornblower's program teachers. Discussion continued regarding the reports and those of a competitor. Suncor offered to conduct a photomontage of the Hornblower's home to identify what turbines would be visible from their home. This photomontage was conducted on Jan 23 and the results were shared with Ms. Hornblower on April 5 - in person. See below.

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	1/8/2013 12:00 AM	Email Sent	Christopher Scott	Following up on Suncor's face to face meeting with Ms. Hornblower and Ms. Brooks on December 19, 2012, Mr. Scott sent an email after reviewing Nextera's reports to assist Ms. Hornblower in understanding the corresponding sound levels. The email also offered to make a special trip out to conduct a photomontage of Ms. Hornblowers house.
	1/17/2013 12:00 AM	Email Sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Zimmerman sent an email to confirm Ms. Hornblower's interest in having a photomontage done on her home. Ms. Hornblower confirmed her interest and Ms. Zimmerman indicated that someone would be over to her house the week of Jan 21. The photomontage was conducted on Jan 23, 2013.
	1/23/2013 12:00 AM	Face to face	Dan Visser	Suncor visited Ms. Hornblower's house to take a photomontage from her property due to concern over her children's visual impact.
	3/5/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received an email asking when Ms. Hornblower could expect the results of the photomontage. Ms. Zimmerman confirmed that Suncor will try to have this complete by March 22, 2013. A follow-up face to face meeting was set up for April 5.
	3/22/2013 12:00 AM	Email Sent	Dianne Zimmerman, Dan Visser, Christopher Scott	After several emails, a meeting with Suncor staff and Ms. Hornblower was determined for April 5 to discuss and share the photomontage that Suncor took from Ms. Hornblower's home.
	4/5/2013 12:00 AM	Face to face	Dan Visser, Christopher Scott	Suncor met with Ms. Hornblower and Ms. Brooks at the Municipal Office in Forest, ON. Mr. Visser provided the hard copy prints of the various photo simulations. He explained the process of how the simulations were generated. In general turbines were located behind various density of woodlot vegetation. Suncor committed to a post construction review of the visual simulations. Ms. Hornblower requested that Suncor consider not building turbines near her residence by making them alternate locations. Specific requests for turbines 5 and 8 were made to not be constructed. She also requested that Ridge Road not be used by construction traffic to ensure the safety of her children. There was a discussion regarding cumulative sound results and Suncor provided details of how the computer modelling was conducted. Suncor committed to sending an email presenting the results of the cumulative sound at Ms. Hornblower's home.
Tom Thompson				
	9/4/2012 12:00 AM	Email Received	Christopher Scott	Mr. Thompson has a private airstrip on his property and has concern about the setback distance of the wind turbines from airports (specifically unregistered aerodromes). Mr. Thompson attended two open houses and wanted to meet with Mr. Scott from Suncor. On October 9th, Mr. Scott sent a detailed email outlining times available to meet with Mr. Thompson.
	10/4/2012 12:00 AM	Email Sent	Christopher Scott	Ms. Scott sent an email requesting to meet with Mr. Thompson to discuss his airstrip and airstrip safety and provided some available dates. No meeting occurred at this time. A meeting was arranged on April 4, 2013.
	3/28/2013 12:00 AM	Email Sent	Christopher Scott	Ms. Scott sent an email providing an updated map for consideration in the meeting arranged for April 4, 2013. Mr. Scott identified how the project had changed and what turbines have either moved or been dropped since the map that was provided in August 2012.

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	4/4/2013 12:00 AM	Face to face	Christopher Scott, Dan Visser	After email exchanges, Suncor met Mr. Thompson to discuss the final turbine design layout.
Marc Alton				
	10/4/2012 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	An email was received asking to be informed of when the next public meeting would be. Ms. Zimmerman sent an email on March 8, 2013 providing the dates, times and locations of the public meetings on April 2,3 and 4.
Ralph Kirsch				
	10/8/2012 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman, Brad West	Suncor received an email from Dr. Kirsch representing Investor groups looking to purchase wind farms in Canada. His email was directed to Suncor's Director of Business Development.
Paul Whitely				
	11/15/2012 12:00 AM	Email Sent	Belinda Phillips	Ms. Phillips, Suncor's Director of Wind Business and Operations, responded on behalf of Suncor's President and CEO to the letter he received from Mr. Whitely on November 6 regarding his concern about Suncor's Cedar Point Wind Power Project.
Murlena Somervillem				
	11/22/2012 12:00 AM	Email Sent	Dianne Zimmerman	As a result of an email received by a Suncor employee, Suncor provided information to Ms. Somervillem regarding Suncor's wind project and directed her to Suncor's Cedar Point website.
Sandra deJong				
	7/26/2012	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received a phone call from Ms. deJong identifying support for Suncor's project.
	9/28/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Mrs. deJong attended the open house in Forest and followed up to provide feedback that she and her husband are in favour of wind power and will welcome the wind turbines in Plympton-Wyoming. Ms. deJong read the Executive Summary of the Massachusetts' Study (January 2012), in detail, and have scanned the rest of the report. There is nothing in this exhaustive literature and research review that would or should support any anti-wind turbine viewpoint or movement. I believe that harnessing wind power is a wonderful concept. I find the sight of wind turbines pleasing. She also requested additional "We support wind power" lawn signs. Other signs were delivered. Ms. Zimmerman sent an email in response.
Eric Erhard				
	4/16/2012	Email Sent	Dianne Zimmerman and Marnie Dawson	Mr. Erhard submitted an engineering critique of Suncor wind project developed by Stantec identifying "significant problems" with Suncor project. He also suggested that the vast majority of Plympton-Wyoming's residents did not want Suncor project built and that Suncor should honour the bylaws established in Plympton-Wyoming.
	4/18/2012	Face to face	Jody Hood	At the public meeting, Mr. Erhard requested additional information about the noise modelling method, risk posed by ice throw, the noise spectrum output below 83 Hz. He would also like Suncor to measure and publish noise levels at a farm in current operation and for Suncor to follow-up in the low frequency noise study done on a 3-turbine project in Italy regarding minimum setback distances.

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	4/19/2012 - 07/30/2012	Email sent	Dianne Zimmerman	After attending Suncor' public meeting on April 18th, Mr. Erhard sent studies regarding property values and information on house sales of Canadian Hydro. In an email dated April 4th (that Suncor was cc'd on), Mr. Erhard sent a document to Plympton-Wyoming Council listing articles about Suncor's alleged involvement in environmental violations inside and outside Canada. Mr. Erhard also provided feedback on Suncor's Report on Sustainability's section entitled "Collaboration creating our energy future together." See item dated 3/1/2013 below for Suncor's response.
	5/9/2012	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor was carbon copies on an email that Mr. Erhard sent to the Ontario government regarding the improper use of the ISO9613-2 in your Noise Impact Assessments for Industrial Wind Turbines.
	7/20/2012	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor was carbon copies on an email that Mr. Erhard sent to the Lambton Health Unit expressing concern that in spite of the evidence in judicial processes and expert testimony under oath at the Ontario Environmental Review Tribunal, the government of Ontario is still approving industrial wind turbines too close to human habitation. In addition to noise and blade failure, Mr. Erhard identified concern about the oil in the gear boxes (which can cause fire). Mr. Erhard requested that the Lambton Health Unit is there to protect the health of Plympton-Wyoming. Suncor has chosen gear less Siemens wind turbines thereby significantly reducing the risk of fire since their is little lubricating oil required.
	10/1/2012	email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Mr. Erhard expressed concern about bat mortality. He sent an article regarding a study on bats and indicated that Suncor should be ashamed as a company that it is participating in the wind industry that kills bats.
	11/29/2012 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor was carbon copied on an email that Mr. Erhard sent to Minister Bently, Dr. Arlene King, Chatham-Kent and Plympton-Wyoming and Lambton County health officials regarding a new study called "Effects of Industrial Wind Turbine Noise on Sleep and Health" and well as his own literature review. Mr. Erhard requested that officials stop any further IWT installations until health studies are conducted.
	1/29/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received an email wondering "How many eagles nests are you going to destroy in your money based decisions to populate our rural country with unwanted wind turbines? Those would make good pictures to post in front of Petro Canada gas stations. I used to buy Suncor products routinely but no more. More and more people who have wind turbines forced on them are doing the same thing. You may want to rethink your pirate like attitudes and concentrate on making money honestly, not through government handouts."
	2/1/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received a shared post from Ontario Wind Resistance regarding an Australian article on wind turbine noise.
	2/1/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman, Christopher Scott	Suncor received several emails in February and March from Mr. Erhard regarding concern he has regarding the use of the ISO 9613-2. Mr. Erhard provided a critique of Suncor's projects noise impact assessment performed by HCG Engineering. In his email, Mr. Erhard identified that "There seems to be a break between the limits of use for ISO 9613-2 and the calculations done by HCG. Can you explain why it is ok for Suncor to violate the Accuracy and limitations of the method (clause 9) spelled out in the ISO 9613-2 standard?" Suncor responded on March 28, 2013. See below.

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	3/1/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received an email from Mr. Erhard recommending that Suncor take the "Collaboration and Public Engagement in Wind Siting" training program offered by AWEA, suggesting that Suncor has not conducted meaningful dialogue with the residents of Plympton-Wyoming. On March 28, Suncor responded and indicated that Suncor has been in consultation with the Town of Plympton-Wyoming since 2005. In addition to those conversations with the municipality, Suncor has met with Mr. Erhard individually and at past open houses to discuss his concerns. Suncor indicated that his, and other residents, feedback has helped Suncor to design the project in a way that has removed turbines, thus reducing the number of turbines overall, while still allowing us to meet the contractual obligations we have with the government of Ontario.
	3/19/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	An email was sent to Plympton-Wyoming Council and Suncor asking why Suncor would not support at \$200,000 per turbine "cleanup bond". The email also included an article about Suncor's performance at the Denver refinery. On March 26, Suncor responded and identified that decommissioning is a requirement of the REA approval, that decommissioning is also included in landowner leases and that costs associated with clean up are close to breakeven (making it advantageous for Suncor to decommission).
	3/26/2013	Email Sent	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Zimmerman responded to an email received on March 19th indicating that decommissioning is part of Suncor's provincial approval of the wind power project. As required by the Province, Suncor's Renewable Energy Approval (REA) submission must commit the project to a decommissioning plan. Decommissioning is expressly provided for in Suncor's landowner agreement and third party engineering studies place the cost of decommissioning of a wind power project at close to break even, as the amount that it costs to physically remove the infrastructure is roughly equivalent to the amount recovered from selling the parts and scrap steel at the end of the project. Mr. Erhard responded on the same day refuting the study and again suggesting that Suncor pay the bonds to ensure that decommissioning occurs.
	3/28/2013	Email sent	Christopher Scott	Mr. Scott provided Mr. Erhard with responses to each of his concerns about the violations of ISO 9613-2 including the 30m interpretation, wind speeds and noise guidelines. Suncor hired its noise specialists to assist in addressing Mr. Erhard's concerns. Suncor does not agree that the 2008 MOE Interpretation violates ISO 9613-2. The MOE Interpretation advises that there are limitations to assessing noise at distance and advises only that other factors affecting sound level contributions from distant sources may need to be considered to address larger distances. These other factors include ground attenuation, and atmospheric absorption.
	3/28/2013	Email received	Christopher Scott	Mr. Erhard responded to Suncor's email dated March 28, 2013 (see above) indicating that the MOE document Noise Guidelines for Wind farms specifically states that you "must use" ISO 9613-2 in performing your noise attenuation calculations for the noise impact assessment. He contests that Suncor does not use it as designed. Mr. Erhard urged Suncor to read and study ISO 9613-2 again.
	4/3/2013	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Mr. Erhard sent a photo of a recent turbine that caught fire at a wind facility in southwestern
	4/4/2013	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor was carbon copied on an email that Mr. Erhard sent to Council regarding Suncor's recent spill in the Athabasca river on March 27th, 2013 and suggesting that Suncor is not welcome in their community.

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	4/5/2013	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor was carbon copied on an email that Mr. Erhard sent to Council asking if the Town should launch a class action lawsuit against Suncor for devaluing properties within 4 km of the wind turbine.
Christopher and May				
	11/29/2012 12:00 AM	Email Sent	Belinda Phillips	Ms. Phillips, Suncor's Director of Wind Business and Operations, responded on behalf of Suncor's President and CEO to the letter he received from Ms. May and Mr. Christopher regarding their concern about Suncor's Cedar Point Wind Power Project.
Vanderbeld and Yates				
	11/29/2012 12:00 AM	Email Sent	Belinda Phillips	Ms. Phillips, Suncor's Director of Wind Business and Operations, responded on behalf of Suncor's President and CEO to the letter he received from Ms. Vanderbeld and Mr. Yates regarding their concern about Suncor's Cedar Point Wind Power Project.
Nancy Van Husen				
	12/8/2012 12:00 AM	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Zimmerman received a telephone call from Ms. Van Husen. The conversation included: inclusion on distribution for future information, concern about Tundra Swans, set-backs and receiving a boundary map. Ms. Zimmerman followed up with an email on December 12, 2012 providing information to her concerns and attached the map.
Elizabeth Bellavance				
	12/6/2012	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor spoke to Ms. Bellavance about Suncor's project and its status. Ms. Bellavance identified a number of concerns about health, noise, project size, 2 km setback and directed Ms. Zimmerman to the Ontario Wind Concern's website. The efforts of WAIT-PW were also brought to Suncor's attention.
	12/13/2012 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Bellavance emailed Suncor and provided an article called "Evaluating the impact of wind turbine noise on health-related quality of life". Suncor thanked Ms. Bellavance.
	2/11/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Christopher Scott	Ms. Bellavance sent Suncor a number of questions relating to their home farm. Specifically any effects of flicker, a visual montage and the level of sound. In addition, the sound levels for the Aberarder School. On February 22, Suncor responded to each of the questions. At the public meeting, Suncor will be showing detailed photo simulations at representative locations throughout the project.
Richard Bellavance				
	8/21/2012 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Mr. Bellavance indicated that Suncor's turbines are not welcome in Plympton-Wyoming.
Sherry Morrison				
	12/14//2012 12:00:00 AM	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received a telephone call requesting a larger map of the Cedar Point Draft Site Plan. That map was mailed by Stantec.
	3/5/2013 12:00 AM	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Following up on a voice mail on February 27th that Ms. Zimmerman received, a hard copy of the final Revised Site Plan was mailed to Ms. Morrison.
Employment interest				

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	1/14/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman, Gavin Lowe	Suncor received an interest in working for Suncor and in the wind business. The email indicated that he has recently completed the Wind Turbine Technician program at Lethbridge College in Alberta. The email/letter/resume was redirected to Suncor's Manager of Operations.
McLean Taylor				
	1/18/2013 12:00 AM	Letter/ Package Received	Dianne Zimmerman, Kolja Vainstein	Suncor received an email from McLean Taylor Construction seeking interest in becoming Suncor's General Contractor for Suncor's wind projects. The letter was redirected to Suncor's Project Engineer and Construction Manager on the same day. Again on the same day, Ms. Zimmerman called the representative from McLean Taylor to inform him that his letter was redirected to appropriate person within Suncor.
Landowner				
	1/29/2013 12:00 AM	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Zimmerman received a call from an individual who is considering purchasing land that lies within Suncor's boundaries. If he purchased the property, he indicated his interest in participating in the project. Suncor's Landman followed-up with this individual.
Raymond Lariviere				
	2/2/2013 12:00 AM	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman	Ms. Zimmerman received a call from Mr. Lariviere on February 2 requesting a larger scale map than the notice he received in the mail. A larger map was sent on February 4, 2013.
Landowner				
	2/12/2013 12:00 AM	Telephone call	Dianne Zimmerman, Kyle McCoey	In response to a voice mail, Suncor's Landman called this individual back and provided clarity on whether his lands were impacted by Suncor's proposed wind project. It was confirmed that they were not.
Ingrid Willemsen				
	7/16/2012 12:00 AM	Email received	Dianne Zimmerman	Several email exchanges occurred to provide Ms. Willemsen with the documents that were posted on Suncor's website which could not be downloaded or opened.
WAIT-PW				
	2/13/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	BY way of a cc to an email, Suncor received the letter addressed to the MOE and other members of WAIT-PW identifying that the group WAIT-PW has a petition with approximately 2,500 signatures. WAIT-PW stands for We're Against Industrial Turbines - Plympton, Wyoming. Plympton-Wyoming is the municipality scheduled to have the Cedar Point Wind Project (Suncor). The petition is "We, the undersigned, call upon the Legislative Assembly of Ontario to demand that no further development of Industrial Wind Turbines take place until citizens are properly consulted and informed, and local government processes respected."
	2/13/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received an email indicating that Ms. Willemsen has the scanned petitions that were referenced in Ms. Bellavance email dated Feb 12, 2013.

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	4/4/2013	Face to face	Dianne Zimmerman, Jody Hood	At the final public meeting on April 4th, 2013 in Watford, WAIT-PW presented Suncor representatives with the petition (with approximately 2,500 signatures from individuals living in the study area and from residents outside of the study area including, but not limited to Sarnia, London, Wallaceburg, Corunna and Kitchener. In addition, Suncor was also presented with approximately 500 copies of a form letter of objection from local residents from April 2-4, 2013. Approximately 60 additional letters were received in the cedarpoint@suncor.com email as of April 2, 2013.
David Riddell				
	2/14/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman, Christopher Scott	Suncor received an email expressing interest in working with Suncor in the future, should any Stage 3 or 4 archaeological mitigation be required. Ms. Zimmerman forwarded this email to Suncor's Project Engineer.
Keith Douglas				
	3/5/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received/sent	Christopher Scott	Suncor received an email regarding the computer model Suncor used for "modeled sound value". Mr. Scott responded by providing information that wind projects in Ontario are required to complete sound modelling in compliance with the document entitled, "Noise Guidelines for Wind Farms - Interpretation for Applying MOE NPC Publications to Wind Power Generation Facilities", October 2008 (MOE Noise Guidelines).
	3/15 and 22, 2013	Email Received	Christopher Scott	Mr. Douglas responded to Mr. Scott's email and asked several more questions regarding the turbines, transformer stations, transmission lines, etc. Mr. Douglas also wanted to know who was providing the answers to his questions and what their qualifications were. Mr. Scott responded on March 25 indicating that Suncor was working on his questions and will provide answers to him soon.
Union Gas				
	3/18/2013 12:00 AM	Letter/ Package Sent	Dianne Zimmerman	In accordance with Ontario Regulation (O. Reg.) 359/09 Renewable Energy Approvals (REA) under Part V.0.1. of the Environmental Protection Act requests that proponents include as part of the project consultation program every company operating an oil or natural gas pipeline (if the pipeline right of way is located within 200 metres of the project location). Union Gas was identified as potentially meeting this criterion. Suncor sent a letter seeking information regarding any planning or operation activities implemented by Union that may affect construction, and/or operation of Suncor's proposed Project. Additionally Suncor requested that Union Gas provide information regarding any of their company's right of ways, easements, or other infrastructure within the Project Boundary.
Strathroy Middlesex General Hospital Foundation				
	3/19/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received an offer to advertise their wind projects in the Strathroy Hospital. Suncor responded and advised that this could be considered in a year or two.
Terry & Linda Lumley				

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	3/22/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	Suncor received an email objecting to Suncor 's proposed wind turbine development, Cedar Point Wind Power Project in Plympton-Wyoming Ontario based on concerns of health, wildlife and the environment. I find it reprehensible that Suncor is pushing forward without proper health studies and that there should be a moratorium on these projects.
Eric Nixon				
	3/22/2013 12:00 AM	Email Sent	Michael Southern	After an interview with Mr. Nixon who is a writer to the local newspapers (Watford and Forest), Suncor provided answers to a series of questions.
	3/22/2013 12:00 AM	Email Sent	Michael Southern	Suncor had a telephone conversation with Mr. Nixon - a reporter for Hayter-Walden Publications. A follow-up email was sent to provide additional questions. Suncor responded to these questions.
Michelle Wright				
	01/2012-04/2013	telephone/email received/sent	Michael Southern	A number of telephone calls and emails were sent and received to answer questions from the media.
Paul Morden				
	01/2012-04/2013	telephone/email received/sent	Michael Southern	A number of telephone calls and emails were sent and received to answer questions from the media.
Deborah Clarke				
	3/25/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	An email was received identifying concern about the development of Suncor's wind project. Concerns raised include potential impacts on wildlife, economics for the community, reputation of the community, electricity costs, reclamation/decommissioning, health costs, Suncor's CSR culture. They do not support Suncor developing this project.
Terry & Yvonne Doucette				
	3/25/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	An email was received that was not in support of Suncor's wind project. Specifically, concern was raised regarding long term effects of the turbines on health, property values, the patterns of migrating birds and wildlife in affected areas, and visual impact. Do we not a right to live our lives without the worry and fear of future illnesses, loss of property value, danger to our wildlife and in general our quality of life? We did not ask for wind turbines in Plympton-Wyoming and we will never support them.
McFarlane				

Stakeholder Name	Communication Date	Communication Method (Type)	Team Members Involved	Communication Summary
	3/26/2013 12:00 AM	Email Received	Dianne Zimmerman	<p>Suncor received an objection to the proposed Cedar Point Wind Power Project in Plympton-Wyoming, Ontario.</p> <p>The following reasons were listed:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Health Concerns: More studies need to be completed 2. Significant property devaluation 3. Damage to the Environment & Wildlife ie birds & bats 4. Wind Energy is not reliable & needs backup sources 5. Exorbitant expense for Wind Turbines which I oppose my tax dollars funding something I don't want, my husband doesn't want & the vast majority of my neighbours don't want. 6. Frustration with this Liberal Government not listening to people & taking OUR RIGHTS AWAY with the Green Energy Act. 7. With Wind Turbines our Ontario hydro expenses have gone up, but it is sold cheaper to ie Quebec etc 8. Wind Turbines are Gigantic Eyesores ruining our countryside: Soul Destroying is an apt description for IWT's