











GL Garrad Hassan



RENEWABLE ENERGY APPROVAL APPLICATION – DESIGN AND OPERATIONS REPORT ADELAIDE WIND ENERGY CENTRE, ONTARIO

Client	NextEra Energy Canada, ULC
Contact	Ben Greenhouse
Document No	1009-CAMO-R-06
Issue	F
Status	Final
Classification	Client's Discretion
Date	16 August 2012

Author

N. O'Blenes

Checked by

Approved by

P. Henn

GL Garrad Hassan Canada, Inc.

151 Slater Street, Suite 806, Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5H3, Canada Phone: (514) 272-2175 | Fax: (514) 272-0410 www.gl-garradhassan.com

IMPORTANT NOTICE AND DISCLAIMER

1. Acceptance of this document by the Client is on the basis that GL Garrad Hassan Canada, Inc. (hereafter "GL GH"), a GL Group member operating under the GL Garrad Hassan brand, is not in any way to be held responsible for the application or use made of the findings and the results of the analysis herein and that such responsibility remains with the Client.

This Report shall be for the sole use of the Client for whom the Report is prepared. The document is subject to the terms of the Agreement between the Client and GL GH and should not be relied upon by third parties for any use whatsoever without the express written consent of GL GH. The Report may only be reproduced and circulated in accordance with the Document Classification and associated conditions stipulated in the Agreement, and may not be disclosed in any offering memorandum without the express written consent of GL GH.

GL GH does not provide legal, regulatory, tax and/or accounting advice. The Client must make its own arrangements for consulting in these areas.

This document has been produced from information as of the date hereof and, where applicable, from information relating to dates and periods referred to in this document. The Report is subject to change without notice and for any reason including, but not limited to, changes in information, conclusion and directions from the Client.

2. This Report has been produced from information relating to dates and periods referred to herein. Any information contained in this Report is subject to change.

KEY TO DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION

Strictly Confidential	For disclosure only to named individuals within the Client's organization
Private and Confidential	For disclosure only to individuals directly concerned with the subject matter of the Report within the Client's organization
Commercial in Confidence	Not to be disclosed outside the Client's organization
GL GH only	Not to be disclosed to non-GL GH staff
Client's Discretion	Distribution for information only at the discretion of the Client (subject to the above Important Notice and Disclaimer)
Published	Available for information only to the general public (subject to the above Important Notice and Disclaimer)

© 2012 GL Garrad Hassan Canada, Inc.

Issue

	REVISION HISTORY
Issue Date	Summary

A	2 January 2012	Initial issue for review
В	17 January 2012	Client revisions; new GL Garrad Hassan Canada, Inc. template
C	27 January 2012	Update of Environmental Effects Monitoring Plan
D	22 February 2012	Client revisions
Е	23 April 2012	Update on Cultural Heritage and Natural Heritage summaries. Update Environmental Effects Monitoring Plan.
F	16 August 2012	Update Appendix F (NIA) and Appendix E (Water Bodies and Water Assessment Reports)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PRF	EAMBLE	E	1
1.1	Genera	al Project Description	1
1.2	Contac	et Information	2
	1.2.1	Project Proponent	2
	1.2.2	Project Consultant	2
SIT	E PLAN		4
2.1	Project	t Optimisation Strategy	4
2.2	Facility	y Components	5
2.3	Feature	es of the Project Area	6
	2.3.1	Cultural Heritage (Archaeological and Heritage Resources)	6
			7
			8 8
		-	0
FAC			10
			10
		-	10
		•	11
3.4	Transm	nission Line	11
3.5	Access	Roads	11
3.6	Substat	tion	12
3.7	Operat	ions and Maintenance Building	12
FAC	CILITY	OPERATIONS PLAN	13
4.1	Genera	վ	13
4.2	Use of	Meteorological Data	13
4.3	Routin	e Turbine Maintenance	13
4.4	Unplan	nned Turbine Maintenance	14
4.5	Electric	cal System Maintenance	14
4.6	Waste	Management	14
ENV	VIRONM	IENTAL EFFECTS MONITORING PLAN	15
5.1	Method	dological Approach	15
	5.1.1	Construction	16
	5.1.2	Operations	30
EM	ERGEN	CY RESPONSE AND COMMUNICATIONS PLANS	36
6.1	Emerge	ency Response	36
6.2	Ongoin	ng (Non-Emergency) Communication	37
6.3	Compla	aints Resolution Process	37
	1.1 1.2 SIT 2.1 2.2 2.3 FAC 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5 3.6 3.7 FAC 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 ENV 5.1 EMI 6.1 6.2	1.1General1.2Contact1.2.11.2.11.2.2SITE PLAN2.1Project2.2Facility2.3Feature2.3.12.3.22.3.32.3.4FACILITY 13.1Name3.2Turbin3.3Collect3.4Transm3.5Access3.6Substa3.7OperatFACILITY 04.1Genera4.2Use of4.3Routin4.4Unplan4.5Electri4.6WasteENVIRON5.15.1Methor5.1Methor5.1Emerg6.2Ongoin	 Contact Information Project Proponent Project Consultant SITE PLAN Project Optimisation Strategy Facility Components Features of the Project Area Cultural Heritage (Archaeological and Heritage Resources) Xi Vatural Heritage Natural Heritage Water Bodies Xi Water Bodies Xi Noise Receptors FACILITY DESIGN PLAN Name Plate Capacity and Classification Collector System A Transmission Line Si Collector System A Transmission Line Substation

GL®

7 **REFERENCES**

- APPENDIX B WIND TURBINE SPECIFICATIONS REPORT
- APPENDIX C HERITAGE AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL ASSESSMENTS
- APPENDIX D NATURAL HERITAGE ASSESSMENT
- APPENDIX E WATER BODY AND WATER ASSESSMENT REPORTS
- APPENDIX F NOISE IMPACT ASSESSMENT
- APPENDIX G TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS AND CONCEPTUAL PLANS OF PROJECT COMPONENTS
- APPENDIX H PROPERTY SETBACK ASSESSMENT

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Geographic coordinates of the Wind Energy Centre Study Area	2
Table 2-1: Ontario Regulation 359/05 Setback Distances	5
Table 3-1: Summary of turbine technical specifications	11
Table 5-1: Levels of residual effects and significance of effect	15
Table 5-2: Potential negative effects and mitigation measures – Construction	16
Table 5-3: Potential negative effects and mitigation measures – Operations	30

1 **PREAMBLE**

Kerwood Wind, Inc. is proposing to develop the Adelaide Wind Energy Centre (the "Project") which is subject to Ontario Regulation 359/09 (Renewable Energy Approvals (REA) [1] under Part V.0.1 of the Ontario Environmental Protection Act (EPA)) and Regulation 521/10 [2]. Kerwood Wind, Inc. was awarded a Feed-in-Tariff (FIT) Contract for this Project in July 2011 and is seeking a Renewable Energy Approval from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment (MOE). Kerwood Wind, Inc. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of NextEra Energy Canada ULC (NextEra). The parent company of NextEra Energy Canada ULC is NextEra Energy Resources, LLC, with a current portfolio of over 8,800 operating wind turbines across North America.

An ESR/EIS for the Project was previously submitted to the MOE in June 2009 by Air Energy TCI Inc. (AET), the North American subsidiary of TCI Renewables Ltd. The name of the Project at the time of the June 2009 submission was the Adelaide Wind Farm. The TCI project was subsequently purchased and transferred to Kerwood Wind, Inc.; however, TCI has remained engaged in the project development.

This Project is considered to be a Class 4 Wind Facility. The Project is located in the Township of Adelaide-Metcalfe and North Middlesex and is proposed to consist of 37, 1.62 MW turbines with a total nameplate capacity of up to 59.9 MW, though 38 turbine positions will be permitted.

This Design and Operations Report has been prepared in accordance with section 54.1 of O. Reg. 359/09 and the MOE's "Technical Guide to Renewable Energy Approvals" (2011) [3].

1.1 General Project Description

The proposed Project Study Area comprises two main sectors, the Wind Energy Centre Study Area, which contains the wind farm itself, and its associated infrastructure, and the Transmission Line Study Area. Within the transmission line study area, Kerwood wind Inc. is proposing a 115 kV transmission line to run from the Project's substation on to a switchyard and then on to a second substation (Parkhill substation) where it will be transferred to a Hydro One-owned switchyard and on to Hydro One's 500 kV transmission line at the east end of the Transmission Line Study Area. It is important to note that the 115 kV line running from the switchyard to the Parkhill substation then to the Hydro One-owned switchyard on to Hydro One's sexisting 500 kV line is common to three of NextEra's Projects, i.e. Adelaide, Bornish and Jericho Wind Energy Centres.

The Wind Energy Centre Study Area is located in south-western Ontario, in the Township of Adelaide-Metcalfe, Middlesex County, Ontario. More specifically, the wind farm components are located south of Townsend Line, west of Centre Road, north of Napperton Drive and east of Sexton Road. The total Wind Energy Centre Study Area is approximately 6,515 ha. Project components will be installed on privately-owned agricultural lots within this area, though the Project's collection system will be partially located on public rights-of-way. General geographic coordinates of the Wind Energy Centre Study Area are presented in Table 1-1.

Site	Easting	Northing
Northwest corner	436378	4767049
Northeast corner	447998	4767049
Southwest corner	447998	4756197
Southeast corner	436378	4756197

Table 1-1: Geographic	coordinates of the	Wind Fnoray	Contro Study Area
Table 1-1: Geographic	coordinates of the	while Energy	Centre Study Area

The Project also comprises a proposed transmission route which is located to the north of the Wind Energy Centre Study Area and crosses into the Municipality of North Middlesex. The proposed transmission route is to travel north from the Project substation using the existing right-of-way along Kerwood Road to a switchyard located just south of Elginfield Road. From there the transmission route is proposed to run east along Elginfield and Nairn Roads within municipal rights-of-way to a second, Parkhill, substation then to a Hydro one-owned switchyard on to an existing Hydro One 500 kV transmission line. General natural heritage information in the vicinity of the transmission line route is provided in the Natural Heritage Assessment reports, which are submitted as part of the complete REA application package.

The location of the Wind Energy Centre Study Area was defined early in the planning process for the proposed wind energy facility, based on the wind resource, approximate area required for the proposed Project, and availability of existing infrastructure for connection to the electrical grid. The Project Study Area was used to facilitate information collection and Records Review.

1.2 Contact Information

1.2.1 Project Proponent

The Project proponent is Kerwood Wind, Inc., a developer of wind energy. The primary contact for Kerwood Wind, Inc. for this Project is:

Ben Greenhouse NextEra Energy Canada, ULC North Service Road, Suite 205 Burlington, ON L7L 6W6 Phone 1-877-257-7330 Fax 905-335-5731 www.NextEraEnergyCanada.com Adelaide.Wind@NextEraEnergy.com

1.2.2 Project Consultant

GL Garrad Hassan inc., a member of the GL Group and part of the GL Garrad Hassan brand, (hereafter referred to as "GL GH") has been retained to lead the REA Process for the Adelaide Wind Energy Centre.

The Environmental and Permitting Services team of GL GH has completed mandates throughout Canada, the United States and in many other parts of the world. These mandates include permitting management, permit applications, environmental impact assessment, and various environmental studies for more than 15,000 MW of wind and solar-PV projects.

GL GH's environmental team is composed of over 20 environmental professionals, including environmental impact specialists, planners, GIS, technicians and engineers.

GL GH has no equity stake in any device or project. This rule of operation is central to its philosophy, distinguishing it from many other players and underscoring its independence.

GL GH's contact information is as follows:

Nancy O'Blenes GL Garrad Hassan Canada, Inc. 19 Carmody Lane Uxbridge, ON L9P 1A5 Tel.: (416) 801-6822 nancy.oblenes@gl-garradhassan.com

Further information about GL GH can be found at: www.gl-garradhassan.com.

2 SITE PLAN

2.1 **Project Optimisation Strategy**

The Site Plan presented in this section and found in Appendix A, details the location of facility components, natural features, noise receptors, required setbacks and lands within 300 m of the Project Location.

The Project Location, situated within the broader Project Study Area, is defined as per O. Reg. 359/09 as "...a part of land and all or part of any building or structure in, on or over which a person is engaging in or proposes to engage in the project and any air space in which a person is engaging in or proposes to engage in the project". As described therein, the Project Location boundary is the outer limit of where site preparation and construction activities will occur (i.e. Disturbance Areas described below) and where permanent infrastructure is located, including the air space occupied by turbine blades.

Disturbance Areas have been identified surrounding various Project components, which are depicted on the Project Location figure by the item "Project Location" in the legend. These denote areas where temporary disturbance during the construction phase may occur as a result of: temporary Project component laydown and storage areas, crane pad construction and turbine turnaround areas. With the exception of the Project components described above, no permanent infrastructure is proposed within these areas. Following construction activities, the land will be returned to pre-construction conditions.

The exercise of siting a wind farm is an iterative process that involves balancing several design factors, such as the wind resource, prescribed setbacks, environmental and cultural heritage constraints, engineering constraints, and landowner preferences.

The proposed Project design takes into consideration all these factors, namely the setback distances prescribed in O.Reg 359/09, as outlined in the following table, as well as several other best practice setbacks to minimise impact as much as possible. As per REA, "consultation zone" buffers are also set to indicate within which distance an EIS or a property line setback assessment may be required. Wherever possible, the Project was sited to avoid these consultation zone buffers.

Table 2-1: Ontario Regulation 359/05 Setback Distances

	Setback	Note		
Built Environment Setbacks	Built Environment Setbacks			
Point of Reception (dwelling, campground, school, church, picnic site, cemetery, Vacant Lot Receptor, etc.)*	550 m and max PSL of 40 dBA as per MOE noise guidelines	To be measured form the center of the turbine base to the noise receptor.		
Lot lines	Hub Height (80 m)	Blade length + 10 m (requires Property Setback Assessment) ¹		
Roads and railways	Blade + 10 m (60 m)	Blade length + 10 m, measured form the center of the turbine base to the boundary of the right-of-way.		
Natural Features and Water Bodies Setbacks				
Significant Natural Features	120 m	Measured from the project location boundary to the nearest point of the natural feature. Project components may be sited closer than the prescribed setback if an Environmental Impact Study is Completed.		
Water Bodies	120 m	Measured from the average annual high water mark of a lake, or permanent/intermittent stream. Components may be sited closer than the prescribed setback if a Water Body Report is prepared, note that turbines or transformers may not be sited closer than 30 m to these features).		
Petroleum Resources 75 m		Setback distances may be reduced with the submission of a Petroleum Engineer's report submission to the MNR.		

¹Can be reduced if lot abutting parcel of land is owned by the Proponent, or if landowner of abutting parcel has a written agreement with the Proponent to place a turbine closer than blade + 10 m.

The resulting Project design is presented in the detailed site plans found in Appendix A. A description of the significant features found on the site plans, including Project components, cultural heritage features, natural features and noise receptors is found in the next sub-sections.

2.2 Facility Components

The Project will include the following components, all of which have been clearly depicted in the site plans in Appendix A. It should be noted that the components are describe in more detail in the following Facility Design Plan Section below.

- Wind turbines Thirty-eight GE 1.6-100 (1.62 MW) turbines mounted on a steel reinforced concrete foundation and equipped with a transformer, located outside the base of the tower are proposed to be installed for the Project. The Wind Turbine Technical Specifications Report is found in Appendix B.
- Meteorological towers (temporary and permanent) Two 80-100 m meteorological towers, lattice type or monopole mounted on small concrete pad and supported by a number of guy wires.



- Access roads and crane pads. Access roads to each wind turbine will lead to crane pad constructed of the same material as the access roads.
- Electrical collector system, substation, switchyard and transmission line Energy generated by the Project will be collected via 34.5 kV underground cabling directed to a substation that will step-up the voltage from 34.5 kV to 115 kV. A Project-owned 115 kV transmission line will then travel north to a proponent-owned switchyard and from there will connect to a Hydro One 500 kV transmission line via a proponent-owned substation that will step-up the voltage to 500 kV.
- Operations and maintenance building A maintenance building of approximately 30 m by 15 m will be located within the fenced area of the substation. Potable water will be supplied by a well or through the municipal water system and if required, a septic bed will be constructed for the disposal of sewage.
- Water crossings Water crossings will be required for access roads and electrical cables. Water crossings are described in detail in the Water Assessment and Water Body Report.
- Laydown and storage areas (including temporary staging areas) A temporary laydown and storage area of approximately 4 ha will be constructed on privately owned land for the purpose of staging and storing equipment during the construction phase. In addition, a 122 m square area around each wind turbine will be established for the laydown and assembly of the wind turbine components.

2.3 Features of the Project Area

Desktop and field studies were undertaken to identify and describe the features in the area that may be affected by the construction and/or operation of the wind energy facility. The following sections summarize the results of these studies.

2.3.1 Cultural Heritage (Archaeological and Heritage Resources)

Detailed heritage and archaeological assessments have been prepared and submitted to the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) for acceptance and recommendation. A copy of the complete reports has been included in the complete REA Application package for this Project.

Archaeological Features

Background studies and site visits for archaeological resources (the Stage 1 archaeological assessment) were completed by Golder Associates Ltd. in 2009 and identified potentially significant archaeological resources within the Project Location. Field site investigations were undertaken under a Stage 2 archaeological assessment. The Stage 2 assessment resulted in the documentation of 29 archaeological locations. Seventeen of these locations are pre-contact sites and 12 are historic Euro-Canadian sites. Thirteen of the 29 sites have been recommended for a Stage 3 assessment. To date 6 of these sites have undergone Stage 3 archaeological assessment of which 1 has been recommended for a Stage 4 archaeological assessment. All 2011 and 2012 archaeological field work has been conducted according to the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's 2011 *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists* [4]. It should be noted that First Nations monitoring has been part of the archaeological field work program during Stage 2 and Stage 3 field assessments.

GL Garrad Hassan Canada, Inc.

A copy of the Stage 1, Stage 2 and Stage 3 Archaeological Assessments accepted into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports by the MTCS are part of the complete REA Application package for this Project.

Heritage Features

A *Heritage Resource Assessment* of the original Adelaide Project was completed by Golder Associates and submitted to the MTCS in September 2010 for review. The report indicated that 47 structures were identified to be greater than 40 years old, of which 42 (27 houses and 15 barns) were determined to have general historical significance. In summary, none of the structures that were identified on participating parcels with proposed turbines and infrastructure for this Project have been determined to have cultural value or interest. These buildings are considered Heritage Resources but are not significant enough to warrant designation or further investigation, no Protected Properties were identified by this report.

A letter from Laura Hatcher, Heritage Planner, dated 10 September 2010, further concludes that none of these structures were determined to have cultural heritage value or interest. Given that the current Adelaide Project is located on a reduced portion of the lands compared to the original Project, a letter was submitted to the MTCS requesting sign-off of the current Project on the basis that no new heritage site would be affected. The reports and letter are part of the complete REA Application package for this Project.

2.3.2 Natural Heritage

A Natural Heritage Assessment (NHA) as per the requirements in the Natural Heritage Assessment Guide for Renewable Energy Projects [7] was prepared in 4 separate reports (Records Review, Site Investigation, Evaluation of Significance and Environmental Impact Study) and submitted to the Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) for review and comment on 16 November 2011, 23 January 2012, 24 January 2012 and 23 February 2012, respectively. These NHA reports are part of the complete REA Application package for this Project.

The Adelaide Wind Energy Centre Study Area and the Transmission Line Study Area lie within the boundaries of the St. Clair Region and the Ausable Bayfield Conservation Authority. The NHA suggests that the Project's effects on natural heritage features will be limited and will generally be avoided, given that the Project design follows REA setback regulations (as per the table above) and that proper mitigation measures are applied. The majority of the habitat in the study area is composed of agricultural fields and associated farms punctuated with numerous hedgerows, isolated woodlands, and the occasional watercourse.

The NHA indicates that there is no known Provincially Significant Wetlands (PSW), Provincially Significant Valleylands, Provincially Significant ANSIs, Important Bird Areas, Bird Sanctuaries or National Wildlife Refuges within the Project Study Area.

A detailed evaluation of significance of all potentially significant natural features and wildlife habitats within 120 m of the Adelaide Wind Energy Centre Project area was completed. Of those evaluated as significant, 42 woodlands, 5 wetlands, 2 valleylands, and 3 bat maternity colonies required detailed consideration as part of the Environmental Impact Study.



In addition to wildlife habitats that have been confirmed to be significant through the completion of the evaluation of significance, several other wildlife habitats that could be considered to be significant have been identified. For the purpose of the NHA submission these habitats will be treated as significant with a commitment for additional pre-construction surveys to be undertaken during the appropriate season, prior to any construction activities. Wildlife habitats that have been treated as significant for the purpose of this EIS include, 3 raptor wintering areas, 7 bat maternity colonies, 7 Carey's Sedge habitats, 2 Yellow Stargrass habitats, and 4 amphibian breeding habitats (woodland).

2.3.3 Water Bodies

The Water Body and Water Assessment Reports, characterizing the aquatic natural features and habitats in the Project Study Area can be found as part of the complete REA Application package.

Comprehensive site investigations for the Adelaide Wind Farm project were undertaken by NRSI biologists on 19 and 22 September 2011 as well as on 2 and 3 November 2011. These site investigations included site-specific habitat assessments of water bodies throughout the project area.

Through the completion of these studies, NRSI has confirmed the presence of 19 water bodies within the project area, all of which have been identified as intermittent/permanent watercourses. A total of 28 individual locations have been identified where these water bodies are present within 120 m of the project location. No lakes, Lake Trout lakes or seepage areas were identified within the Adelaide Wind Energy Centre area.

Water takings, if required, will be conducted as outlined in the Water Body and Water Assessment Reports.

No significant impacts are anticipated on the identified water body features as a result of the development of the Adelaide Wind Energy Centre Project following the implementation of proposed mitigation measures.

2.3.4 Noise Receptors

The Project Study Area is considered to be Class 3 (rural), defined as a rural area with an ambient noise dominated by natural sounds, with little or no road traffic. Class 3 areas are often the following:

- A small community with a population of less than 1,000.
- An agricultural area; and
- A rural recreational area such as a cottage or a resort area, or a wilderness area.

As such, ambient sound levels within the Study Area and on adjacent lands are typical of rural agricultural Ontario, with sounds originating from nature, residential activities, agricultural activities (tractors and other machinery), vehicle traffic, and ambient noise induced by wind.

Buildings within 2 km of the Project location are identified in the site plans, and for the purposes of preparing the Noise Impact Assessment (NIA), Points of Reception (PoRs) within 1.5 km of the Project location were identified by way of mapping, aerial imagery and a site validation. A total of 249 PoRs

were identified for this Project, including dwellings, Vacant Lot Receptors and other buildings considered PoRs under the MOE's noise guidelines.

Wind energy projects have the potential to generate noise which may be perceived under certain circumstances in the general vicinity of the Study Area, and at specific receptor locations (i.e., residents, hospitals, schools, daycares, places of worship, etc.). A Noise Impact Assessment (NIA) was conducted to evaluate these effects. The results from the NIA show that the Project complies with the applicable MOE noise guidelines.

The point of interconnect (Parkhill Interconnect) for this Project has been evaluated separately from the Project as it is located > 5 km from the Project location. The Parkhill Interconnect consists of a switching station and a substation. The substation includes (2) 135/225 MVA -121/525 kV LTC transformer with ONAN/ONAF/ONAF cooling rating. The switching station and substation have been strategically sited on lands that the Client holds under lease options. This study includes Points of Reception found within 2,000 m of the proposed switching station location in order to present modeling results up to 40 dBA; a total of 43 PoRs were considered.

The Noise Impact Assessments are included as Appendix F.

3 FACILITY DESIGN PLAN

The present section provides a summary of the Project components. For conceptual plans and schematic diagrams, please refer to Appendix G.

3.1 Name Plate Capacity and Classification

The wind turbine generators of the Project will convert the wind's energy into electricity to feed into the Hydro One transmission system. This Project is considered to be a Class 4 Wind Facility. The Project is proposed to consist of 37, 1.62 MW turbines with a total nameplate capacity of 59.9 MW, though 38 turbine positions are being permitted.

3.2 Turbine Specifications

The wind turbine proposed for this Project is the 1.6 MW GE model wind turbine with a total Project nameplate capacity of up to 59.9 MW. The final number of turbines to be built for this Project will depend on a number of factors. These include the wind resource, siting restrictions, such as setback distances, socio-economic or natural environment constraints, the capacity of the electrical grid, and interest shown by local landowners. In addition, the type of turbine technology selected can also affect the number of turbines as some turbines generate a greater amount of electricity, and therefore, reduce the number of turbines required. The selection of turbine technology is based on its sound and power curve profiles as well as the manufacturer's ability to meet Domestic Content requirements within the Ontario Power Authority's Feed-In Tariff contracts.

The turbines will be located on leased farmlands. The turbines are 3-bladed, upwind, horizontal-axis wind turbines that are state of the art technology. The turbines have a 100 m rotor diameter with a swept area of 7,854 m; each blade is connected to the main shaft via the hub. The turbine is mounted on an 80 m tubular steel tower which contains an internal ladder provided for maintenance access. The turbine will be constructed on a foundation that is approximately 200 m². The foundation consists of a wooden frame, poured concrete and steel rebar to provide added strength.

The nacelle at the top of the tower may house the generator, gearbox, bearings, couplings, rotor, and auxiliary equipment. The nacelle typically consists of a bedplate on which all of the electro-mechanical components are mounted, surrounded by an enclosure. The nacelle is typically constructed of fibreglass, lined with sound-insulating foam, is ventilated and the interior is illuminated with electric lights. An internal ladder is provided for maintenance access. Some of the wind turbines will have external lighting in accordance with the requirements of Transport Canada (TC). Please refer to the Wind Turbine Specifications Report for more detailed information on the wind turbines proposed for the Project.

Make	General Electric
Model	1.6-100
Name plate capacity	1.62 MW
Hub height	80 m
Rotor diameter	100 m
Minimum rotational speed	9.75 rpm
Maximum rotational speed	16.2 rpm

Additional detail on the turbine is found in Appendix B.

3.3 Collector System

The 34.5 kV collector lines from each turbine to the substation will be buried on private property adjacent to the turbine access roads, where feasible. The locations of the underground cables and access roads were determined in consultation with the landowners and in accordance with the setback requirements defined in O. Reg. 359/09.

3.4 Transmission Line

The 115 kV transmission line that will be built from the Project substation to the switchyard is proposed to be located within the existing road right-of-ways along Kerwood Road. From there, the transmission line will travel east along Elginfield and Nairn Roads within the municipal rights-of-way to an existing Hydro One 500 kV transmission line. It is anticipated that the transmission line will be mounted on existing hydro poles or on new hydro poles. The local utility company may require NextEra to erect additional poles, or replace undersized poles, in order to accommodate the transmission line. The poles are proposed to be constructed of wood, concrete or steel and will be between 18 and 30 m tall.

The interconnection plan for any wind farm is subject to study, design and engineering by the Integrated Electricity System Operator which manages the province's electricity grid, Hydro One which owns the transmission lines, the local distribution company and the Ontario Energy Board, which regulates the industry through the Transmission System Code and the Distribution System Code. Details regarding the transmission lines, and the electrical substation will be developed during the Pre-Construction Design Phase of the Project.

3.5 Access Roads

On-site access roads to each turbine will be constructed to provide an access point to the properties for equipment during the construction phase and for maintenance activities during operation. Typically the access roads will be 11 m wide during the construction phase to accommodate the large cranes (with an additional 2 m clearance on each side for travel), and afterwards reduced to 6 m wide during the operating phase.

3.6 Substation

Having a total footprint of approximately 2-3ha, the electrical substation for the Project will be located on privately-held lands through a purchase or lease arrangement. The electricity collected via the 34.5 kV underground collection lines will converge at the transformer substation where the electricity will be "stepped-up" to 115 kV for transmission to the switchyard via the above-ground transmission line. The substation equipment will include an isolation switch, a circuit breaker, a step-up transformer, transmission switch gear, instrument transformers, grounding and metering equipment. All substation grounding equipment will meet the Ontario Electrical Safety Code.

A secondary containment system will be installed to capture any leaks from the transformer. Water in the containment system will be visually inspected for any evidence of oil (as oil would float to the top). If oil is present, a tank truck will be brought to site to pump the water/oil mix into it. The water/oil mix will then be disposed of off-site at a licensed facility. If no oil is detected in the water, the water will be pumped out to an adjacent swale and then allowed to infiltrate into the ground. For a diagram of the transformer substation, please refer to Appendix G.

The switchyard will be located beside the Bornish Wind Energy Centre substation and will be approximately 2-3 ha in size. The switchyard will also be located on privately held lands through a lease or purchase arrangement. The switchyard will include switches, breakers, electrical bus work, instrument transformers, grounding, metering equipment, control house and steel structures supporting incoming and outgoing transmission line circuits. Switchyard grounding will meet the Ontario Electrical Safety Code.

From the substation, the 115 kV transmission line will run east to the point of interconnection with the Hydro One grid. The substation at the point of interconnection will be approximately 2-3 ha in size and will be located on a privately-owned land adjacent to the 500 kV line.

3.7 Operations and Maintenance Building

An operations building, approximately 30 m by 15 m in size, will be constructed on privately held lands or an existing suitable structure will be purchased for the purpose of monitoring the day-to-day operations of the wind energy centre and supporting maintenance efforts. A small parking lot will be constructed to accommodate staff vehicles. Prior to the construction phase, a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Study will be conducted to address any potential effects associated with stormwater runoff.

Potable water will be supplied by a well or through the municipal water system and a septic bed will be constructed for the disposal of sewage. The septic bed will be constructed to the minimum size required for the size of the operation and maintenance building. It is the Project owner's responsibility to ensure proper maintenance of the septic system. The operations and maintenance building, septic system and water supply will be constructed in accordance with applicable municipal and provincial standards.

4 FACILITY OPERATIONS PLAN

4.1 General

The Project will require full time technical and administrative staff to maintain and operate the facility. The primary workers will be wind technicians (i.e. technicians who carry out maintenance on the turbines) along with a site supervisor.

The wind turbines will be operating (i.e. in "Run" mode and generating electricity) when the wind speed is within the operating range for the turbine and there are no component malfunctions.

Each turbine has a comprehensive control system that monitors the subsystems within the turbine and the local wind conditions to determine whether the conditions are suitable for operation. If an event occurs which is considered to be outside the normal operating range of the turbine (such as low hydraulic pressures, unusual vibrations or high generator temperatures), the wind turbine will immediately take itself out of service and report the condition to the Operations Centre. A communication line connects each turbine to the Operations Centre, which closely monitors and, as required, controls the operation of each turbine. The wind turbine system will be integrated with the electric interconnection Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) to ensure that the Project critical controls, alarms and functions are properly co-ordinated for safe, secure and reliable operation.

4.2 Use of Meteorological Data

The use of meteorological data is key to the safe and efficient operation of a wind energy centre. Some operational decisions made using meteorological data include:

- Cut-in wind speed;
- Cut-out wind speed;
- Turbine shut down during icing conditions; and
- Turbine shut down during extreme weather events.

4.3 Routine Turbine Maintenance

Routine preventative maintenance activities are scheduled at six month intervals with specific maintenance tasks scheduled for each interval. Maintenance is done by removing the turbine from service and having two to three wind technicians climb the tower to spend a full day carrying out maintenance activities.

Consumables such as the various greases used to keep the mechanical components operating and oil filters for gearboxes and hydraulic systems are used for routine maintenance tasks. Following all maintenance work on the turbine, the area is cleaned up. All surplus lubricants and grease-soaked rags are removed and disposed of as required by applicable regulations. All maintenance activities will adhere to the same spill prevention industry best practices undertaken during the construction phase.



4.4 Unplanned Turbine Maintenance

Modern wind turbines are very reliable and the major components are designed to operate for approximately 30 years. However, wind turbines are large and complex electromechanical devices with rotating equipment and many components therefore component failures may occur despite the high reliability of the turbines fleet-wide. Most commonly, the failure of small components such as switches, fans, or sensors will take the turbine out of service until the faulty component is replaced. These repairs can usually be carried out by a single technician visiting the turbine for several hours.

Events involving the replacement of a major component such as a gearbox or rotor are rare. If they do occur, the use of large equipment, sometimes as large as that used to install the turbines, may be required.

It is possible that an access road, built for construction and returned to farmland when the construction phase is completed, would need to be rebuilt to carry out repairs to a damaged turbine. Typically only a small percentage of turbines would need to be accessed with large equipment during their operating life.

4.5 Electrical System Maintenance

The collector lines and substation will require periodic preventative maintenance activities. Routine maintenance will include condition assessment for above-ground infrastructure and protective relay maintenance of the substation in addition to monitoring of the secondary containment system for traces of oil. Finally, vegetation control will be required around the transmission line to prevent any damage to the line and ensure safe operation

4.6 Waste Management

Waste generated during the operations phase will be removed from the operations and maintenance building by a licensed operator and disposed of at an approved facility. Any lubricants or oils resulting from turbine maintenance will be drummed on site and disposed of in accordance with applicable Provincial regulations. All reasonable efforts will be made to minimise waste generated and to recycle materials including returning packaging material to suppliers for reuse/recycling. The spill prevention protocols followed during construction will continue to be observed throughout the facility's operations and maintenance activities.

5 ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS MONITORING PLAN

This section presents a summary of potential effects, mitigation measures and residual effects associated with project-environment interactions during the construction phase and operations phase of the Project. For the sake of completeness, construction phase effects are also discussed and presented here, but are also found in the Construction Plan Report.

More detailed discussions relating to natural heritage impacts, archaeological and heritage impacts, noise impacts, land use impacts and water body impacts are found in the Natural Heritage Assessment reports, Archaeological Assessment Reports, Heritage Report, Noise Impact Assessment, Property Setback Assessment and Water Body Report, part of the complete REA Application package.

5.1 Methodological Approach

As requested under REA, potential effects from the construction, installation and operation and of the wind farm have to be assessed while considering applicable mitigation and compensation measures. In order to assess *residual* effects from a Project (i.e. after considering mitigation/compensation measures), GL GH uses residual effect definitions from the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency. A residual effect "level" and "significance" is then applied, as per Table 5-1 below.

Residual Effect	Level of Concern	Residual Effect Significance
Potential impact could threaten sustainability of the resource and should be considered a management concern. Research, monitoring and/or recovery initiatives should be considered.	High	Significant
Potential impact could result in a decline in resource to lower-than-baseline but stable levels in the study area after Project closure and into the foreseeable future. Regional management actions such as research, monitoring and/or recovery initiatives may be required.	Medium	Significant
Potential impact may result in a slight decline in resource in study area during the life of the Project. Research, monitoring and/or recovery initiatives would not normally be required.	Low	Not Significant
Potential impact may result in a slight decline in resource in study area during construction phase, but the resource should return to baseline levels.	Minimal	Not Significant

Table 5-1: Levels of residual effects and significance of effect

Depending on the outcome of the effects assessment, follow-up and/or monitoring programs could be proposed in order to further investigate the potential effects, or verify the significance of the effect following commissioning.

5.1.1 Construction

Table 5-2: Potential negative effects and mitigation measures – Construction

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
Cultural Heritage (Protec	cted Properties, Arc	haeological and Heritage Resources		
Disturbance or displacement of archaeological resources by any ground disturbance activity.	Avoid disturbance/loss of archaeological sites.	Conduct Archaeological Assessment and apply recommended avoidance measures and other measures from licensed archaeologist or MTCS to project design. Details of the Archaeological Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.	The Archaeological Assessment was undertaken as per MTCS guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MTCS. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	Immediate notification of the Archaeologist and the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) In the event archaeological resources are found. Apply monitoring measures as recommended by the MTCS.
Natural Heritage				
Direct vegetation removal – significant woodlands, wetlands and valleylands.	Minimize direct impacts on significant vegetation communities.	Detailed vegetation inventory of species and abundance to be removed within significant natural features or wildlife habitats to confirm no rare species will be removed. Re-planting following an area ratio of 1:1 of similar species association (native species) if area to be removed is greater than 1% of the woodland cover. Clearly delineate work area within 30 m of significant natural features or wildlife habitats using erosion fencing, or similar barrier, to avoid accidental damage to species to be retained. Maintain vegetative buffer around water bodies. Any vegetation removal required along	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	Monitor the success of any re-vegetated areas three (3) times during the first year, and once in each of the next 2 years. Any unsuccessful plantings noted on (or before) assessment within the 2 nd year will be re-planted.

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
		roadside collector lines or transmission lines should be minimized and occur completely within the road right of way where possible.		
		Any tree limbs or roots that are accidentally damaged by construction activities will be pruned using proper arboricultural techniques.		
		No vegetation removal will occur in rare plant communities.		
		Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.		
Disturbance of local wildlife- significant woodlands, wetlands and valleylands.	Avoid direct impacts on breeding birds and their habitats. Minimize impacts on species that are relatively inactive at night and not accustomed to nighttime disturbances.	Avoid vegetation removal within 30 m of a significant natural feature during the breeding bird period (May 1 st – July 15 th), or hire a biologist to conduct nest searches prior to vegetation removal, Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures and best management practices are applied.
Sedimentation and erosion - significant woodlands, wetlands and valleylands.	Maintain or restore vegetated buffers, including riparian zones.	Implement a sediment and erosion control plan within 30 m of a significant natural feature or wildlife habitat. Install, monitor, and maintain erosion and sediment control measures (i.e. silt fences) around the construction areas within 30 m of a significant natural feature or wildlife	The Natural Heritage The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and	Environmental supervision during construction as part of a routine inspection program will be implemented to ensure adherence to the prescribed mitigation measures.

Renewable Energy Approval Application – Design and Operations Report – Adelaide Wind Energy Centre, Ontario

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
		 habitat. Schedule grading to avoid times of very high runoff volumes, wherever possible. Locate entry/exit pits at least 30 m from significant natural features. Collect drill cutting as they are generated and place in a soil bin or bag for off-site deposal. Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package. 	magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	
Spills (i.e. oil, gasoline, grease, etc.) - significant woodlands, wetlands and valleylands.	Avoid contamination of significant natural features.	All maintenance activities, vehicle refueling or washing, and chemical storage will be located more than 30 m from any significant natural feature or significant wildlife habitat. Develop a spill response plan and train staff on appropriate procedures. Keep emergency spill kits on site. Dispose of waste material by authorized and approved offsite vendors. Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	Environmental supervision during construction as part of a routine inspection program will be implemented to ensure adherence to the prescribed mitigation measures. Develop a spill response plan and train staff on appropriate procedures. Keep emergency spill kits on site.
Changes in soil moisture and compaction - significant woodlands, wetlands and valleylands.	Minimise impact to soil moisture regime and vegetation species composition.	Implement infiltration techniques to the maximum extent possible. Minimize paved surfaces and design roads to promote infiltration. Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures and best management practices are applied.

GL Garrad Hassan Canada, Inc.

Final

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
		part of the complete REA application package.	effect is considered non significant.	
Changes to surface water hydrology - significant woodlands, wetlands and valleylands.	Maintain existing surface water flow patterns.	Limit changes in land contours. Maintain streams and timing and quantity of flow. Minimize grading activities to maintain existing drainage patterns where possible. Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures and best management practices are applied.
Direct vegetation removal – bat habitats.	Protection of bat roosting habitat.	Clearly delineate work area using erosion fencing, or similar barrier within 30 m of significant bat habitat, to avoid accidental damage to potentially significant bat roosting trees. Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures and best management practices are applied.
Disturbance of local wildlife-significant bat habitats.	Avoid disturbance of locally roosting bat species. Determine if local bat populations are adversely impacted by the presence of operational turbines.	Construction activities will not occur within 30 m of BMA 001 and BMA 002. Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures and best management practices are applied.

Final

Document No.: 1009-CAMO-R-06

Renewable Energy Approval Application – Design and Operations Report – Adelaide Wind Energy Centre, Ontario

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
Direct vegetation removal – significant raptor wintering areas.	Protect raptor wintering areas.	If determined to be significant, clearly delineate work areas within 30 m of significant raptor habitat using erosion fencing, or similar barrier, to avoid accidental vegetation damage within raptor wintering areas. Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application.	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures and best management practices are applied.
Disturbance of local wildlife- significant amphibian breeding habitats.	Minimise disturbance of local wildlife habitat. Determine if amphibian populations or species abundance are being impacted by Project components.	If habitat is determined to be significant, Clearly delineate work area within 30 m of habitat using erosion fencing, or similar barrier, to avoid accidental damage to potentially significant amphibian breeding habitat. Post speed limits along construction access roads, and maintain signage during the operational phase of the Project. Where amphibian movement corridor is identified as part of the pre-construction survey, an amphibian-friendly culvert where be installed where proposed access roads could act as a barrier to amphibian movement. Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application.	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	Post-construction amphibian egg mass and call surveys will be repeated at any of these habitats deemed to be significant for one (1) year following the same methods utilized during pre-construction surveys. Based on the results of the 1st year post-construction monitoring, the need for an additional monitoring (up to 2 years) will be determined in consultation with MNR. Details of the post-construction monitoring program are found in the Natural Heritage Assessment documents.
Increased species competition through introduction of invasive, non-native species- Carey's Sedge and Yellow Stargrass	Avoid introduction of invasive or non- native species into habitats.	Clearly delineate work areas within 30 m of significant habitats using erosion fencing, or similar barrier, to minimize seed transfer into suitable habitat. Regularly clean vehicles and equipment.	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR.	If determined to be significant, post- construction vegetation surveys will be completed in years 1, 3 and 5 following the same methods used during pre-construction standardized area searches of identified

GL Garrad Hassan Canada, Inc.

Final

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
Habitats		Minimize the use of vehicles in off-road and non-agricultural habitats where invasive or non-native species are concentrated.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	habitats.
Impacts to Species at Risk.	Limit impacts to Species at Risk.	The Project will require a permit under the <i>Endangered Species Act</i> (ESA), upon completion of an Approval and Permitting Requirements Document (APRD).	NA	NA
		This report will be submitted to the local district Ministry of Natural Resources to be reviewed under the authority of the <i>Ministry</i> of Natural Resources Act, and will not be submitted as part of this completed REA application.		
Water Bodies				
Water takings resulting in, Reduced stream flow rate. Increased water temperature.	Minimise impacts on stream flow water temperature.	If water takings are required, Control rate and timing of water pumping. Pump from deep wells to infiltration galleries adjacent to water bodies or wetlands. Restrict taking of water during periods of extreme low flow. Details of the Water Body Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application.	The Water Body Assessment was undertaken as per MOE guidelines and this Project is expected to receive confirmation from the MOE. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures and best management practices are applied.
Fish habitat alteration/loss	Limit fish habitat alteration/loss	Consideration of design layout to minimize number of crossings. Consider layout distances to water body features and sensitivity of those features. Crossing locations should be selected as to avoid key habitat features (i.e. refuge pool) and cross the feature within a straight reach	The Water Body Assessment was undertaken as per MOE guidelines and this Project is expected to receive confirmation from the MOE. The likelihood and	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures and best management practices are applied.

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
		of the channel as to avoid meanders etc. and cross perpendicular where possible.	magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	
		Crossing structures should be designed to reduce loss and alterations of habitat where possible (i.e. reduces affected area by cutting back from grading limit to road and install headwall, open bottom culvert etc.).	organizatio	
		Crossing structure should be properly sized and positioned appropriately (angle and embedded) as to avoid erosion issues and creation of potential fish barriers.		
		Crossing structures should be sized appropriately according to municipal engineering standards as to not result in alterations in stream hydrology, scouring or flooding crossing structures.		
		Crossing structure type should be determined in consultation with agency and municipality staff and should consider sensitivity of the water body and location of crossing.		
		Implement trenchless (i.e. directional drilling) technology at crossings where possible.		
		Any loss to the productive capacity of a watercourse must be compensated for under the <i>Fisheries Act</i> .		
		Details of the Water Body Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application.		
Erosion and sedimentation	Minimize impacts of erosion and sedimentation on	Implement trenchless (i.e. drilling) technology at crossings where possible.	The Water Body Assessment was undertaken as per MOE guidelines and	Environmental supervision during construction as part of a routine inspection program will be implemented to ensure

GL Garrad Hassan Canada, Inc.

22

Renewable Energy Approval Application – Design and Operations Report – Adelaide Wind Energy Centre, Ontario

Issue: F	Final
----------	-------

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
	water bodies	Minimize potential for soil compaction (see Soil Compaction).	this Project is expected to receive confirmation from the MOE.	adherence to the prescribed mitigation measures.
		Controlled vehicle and machinery access routes, keep away from water bodies where possible.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non	
		Schedule clearing, grubbing and grading activities to avoid times of very high runoff volumes, wherever possible.	significant.	
		Implement Flood Response Plan if on-site flooding occurs.		
		Implement Erosion and Sediment Control Plan.		
		Stabilize banks as soon as possible after construction disturbance (i.e. plantings, rock etc.), if insufficient time is available in the growing season to establish vegetative cover, an overwintering treatment such as erosion control blankets, fiber matting etc. should be applied to contain the site over the winter period.		
		Minimize disturbance by keeping construction equipment outside and away from water bodies wherever possible.		
		Work in dry conditions (i.e. low flow period) or isolate in-water work area using good engineering practices and dewatering techniques.		
		Install silt fencing in-water downstream of dewatering activities.		
		Dewatering discharge rates should be		

Renewable Energy Approval Application – Design and Operations Report – Adelaide Wind Energy Centre, Ontario

Issue: F	Final

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
		evaluated as to not result in erosion and sedimentation to receiving water body.		
		Dewatering discharge should be dissipated (i.e. sand bags, hay bales etc.) and may require to be split to more than one location		
		Implement Stormwater Management Plan		
		Details of the Water Body Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application		
Water Quality Impairment	Minimize any negative impacts to water quality	Implement Erosion and Sediment Control Plan. Implement Spill Response Plan.	The Water Body Assessment was undertaken as per MOE guidelines and this Project is expected to receive confirmation from	Environmental supervision during construction as part of a routine inspection program will be implemented to ensure adherence to the prescribed mitigation measures.
		Keep machinery clean and refuel well away from any water body (>30 m).	the MOE.	incustres.
		Fuel and other construction related chemical stored securely away from water bodies (>30 m).	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	
		Any discharges to a water body must meet MOE Policy 2 standards (at or better water quality that than of the receiving water body).		
		Adequately treat any discharge water prior to discharge as to meet MOE policy 2 standards (i.e. filer bags).		
		Implement Stormwater Management Plan.		
		Details of the Water Body Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application.		
Temporary disruption of fish habitat (in-water	Limit disruption	Restrict construction during sensitive timing windows, as indicated by local OMNR.	The Water Body Assessment was undertaken	Environmental supervision during construction as part of a routine inspection



Document No.: 1009-CAMO-R-06

Renewable Energy Approval Application – Design and Operations Report – Adelaide Wind Energy Centre, Ontario

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
work) Water Level Alteration	of fish habitat Minimize alteration of water level	 Work in the dry (i.e. low flow) or isolate work area using good engineering practices or by working in dry conditions using accepted methods to bypass flows. Machinery should be operated in a manner That minimizes disturbance to the banks and bed of the watercourse. Stabilize banks as soon as possible after construction disturbance (i.e. plantings, rock etc). Details of the Water Body Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application. Dewatering ZOI and rates should be determined prior to dewatering and assessed for impact on affected water bodies. Implement Water Level Response Plan, trigger criteria to be determined in consultation with OMNR. 	as per MOE guidelines and this Project is expected to receive confirmation from the MOE. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant. The Water Body Assessment was undertaken as per MOE guidelines and this Project is expected to receive confirmation from	program will be implemented to ensure adherence to the prescribed mitigation measures. Environmental supervision during construction as part of a routine inspection program will be implemented to ensure adherence to the prescribed mitigation measures.
		Details of the Water Body Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	
Soil Compaction		Controlled vehicle access routes. Staging areas should be located away from water bodies (i.e. 30 m). Details of the Water Body Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application.	The Water Body Assessment was undertaken as per MOE guidelines and this Project is expected to receive confirmation from the MOE. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures and best management practices are applied.

Final

Document No.: 1009-CAMO-R-06

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
			significant.	
Debris entering a water body	Limit the amount of debris entering water bodies	Construction debris should be stabilized (i.e. tarps) away from water bodies (i.e. 30 m). Refuse and other material should be appropriately disposed of off-site.	The Water Body Assessment was undertaken as per MOE guidelines and this Project is expected to receive confirmation from the MOE.	Environmental supervision during construction as part of a routine inspection program will be implemented to ensure adherence to the prescribed mitigation measures.
		Staging areas should be located away from water bodies (i.e. 30 m).Drilling shafts should be located away from water bodies (i.e. 30 m).Details of the Water Body Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	
Drilling Frac-out		Conduct appropriate geotechnical studies as to ensure directional drilling is appropriate at that location and will not result in a 'frac- out'. Develop emergency contingency plan in the unlikely event of a 'frac-out' when drilling below a water body, this plan will deal with issues associated with water level alteration, water quality and erosion & sedimentation. Details of the Water Body Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application.	The Water Body Assessment was undertaken as per MOE guidelines and this Project is expected to receive confirmation from the MOE. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures and best management practices are applied.
Emissions to Air, includi	ng Odour and Dust			
Reduction in air quality due to CAC emissions and dust.	Minimise deterioration of air quality.	Ensure proper operation and maintenance of vehicles and machinery to limit noise, CAC emissions and leaks. Use water or water-based dust suppressant to control dust on unpaved roads.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	Track all complaints and conduct follow-up monitoring (see Complaints Resolution Process in emergency Response and Communications Plan)

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
		Implement speed limits on unpaved roads.Minimize vehicular traffic on exposed soils and stabilize high traffic areas with clean gravel surface layer or other suitable cover material.Minimize mud tracking by construction 		
Noise				
Increase in noise levels in Project Study Area	Minimise noise increases for inhabited areas	Ensure proper operation and maintenance of vehicles and machinery to limit noise, CAC emissions and leaks. Implement speed limits on unpaved roads. Construction equipment will be kept in good condition and will not exceed the noise emissions as specified in MOE publication NPC-115.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	Faulty equipment resulting in increased noise levels are to be repaired in a timely fashion. Track all complaints and conduct follow-up monitoring (see Complaints Resolution Process in emergency Response and Communications Plan)
Local and Provincial Inte	erests, Land, Use an	d Infrastructure	ı	·
Reduction in usable	Minimise reduction in	Minimize length of access roads (most agricultural use only affected during	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency	
agricultural land.	useable agricultural land.	construction) where possible.	effect is considered non significant.	monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures are applied.	
Increased congestion due to increase in truck traffic and short-term lane closures on local roads during delivery of Project components.	Minimise disturbance to local community and achieve zero human safety incident.	Notify the community in advance of construction delivery schedules and installing signage to notify road users of construction activity. If required by municipal authorities develop a traffic management plan for the construction phase and submit to the Municipalities prior to construction and communicate truck routes.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	Track all complaints and conduct follow-up monitoring (see Complaints Resolution Process in Emergency Response and Communications Plan).	
Damage to local infrastructure.	Minimise damage to local infrastructure.	Adhere to the best practices regarding the operation of construction equipment and delivery of construction materials. If required by municipal authorities, undertake roads condition survey prior to construction and post-construction.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	Track all complaints and conduct follow-up monitoring (see Complaints Resolution Process in Emergency Response and Communications Plan). If required by local authorities, return damaged infrastructure to original condition (or better) where appropriate.	
Areas Protected under Provincial Plans and Policies					
N/A					
Public Health and Safety					
Effects on public health and safety during construction have been described above under Emissions to air, including Odour and					

GL Garrad Hassan Canada, Inc.

28

Document No.: 1009-CAMO-R-06

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
Dust, Noise and Local and Provincial Interests Land Use and Infrastructure.				
Other Resources	1			
The presence of petroleum wells have been identified through consultation with the OGSR database to be within 75 m of project infrastructure	No negative effects on petroleum resources or the renewable energy project	As part of the Approval and Permitting Requirements Document and as per the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) "Template for Renewable Energy Projects: Setbacks from Petroleum Operations" a site validation of all petroleum wells and facilities identified by the OGSR Library to be within 75 m of the Project location was conducted and confirmed that there are <u>NO</u> petroleum wells or facilities existing within 75 m of the Project location. Notice of the findings has been reported to the Aylmer District MNR.	N/A	N/A

5.1.2 Operations

Table 5-3: Potential negative effects and mitigation measures – Operations

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency			
Cultural Heritage	Cultural Heritage						
Alteration of the visual character of a cultural heritage sites.	Minimise visual impact of recognized heritage sites.	Conduct a Heritage Assessment and apply measures recommended by the heritage specialist or by MTCS. Details of the Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.	The Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MTCS guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MTCS. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures are applied.			
Natural Heritage							
Application of herbicides.	Protection of native vegetation species. Minimize impacts to local wildlife and their habitats.	No herbicides will be used within significant features or wildlife habitats. Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures are applied.			
Direct mortality due to operational wind turbines – bat habitats.	Limit direct mortalities to bats.	Propose obstruction lighting scheme that minimises risk to bat collisions while fulfills Transport Canada requirements. If impacts to bats are observed to be above provincial thresholds, operational	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR.	Conduct post construction mortality monitoring according to the document <i>Bat and Bat Habitats:</i> <i>Guidelines for Wind Power Projects</i> , dated July 2011. Details of the post-construction monitoring			

Renewable Energy Approval Application – Design and Operations Report – Adelaide Wind Energy Centre, Ontario

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
		mitigation will be implemented. Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.t.	program are found in the Natural Heritage Assessment documents.
Disturbance impact of operational turbines on significant bat maternity roosts.	Assess the impact of operational turbines on significant bat maternity roosts within 120 m of a turbine.	If habitat is evaluated to be significant, Propose obstruction lighting scheme that minimises risk to bat collisions while fulfills Transport Canada requirements. If impacts to bats are observed to be above provincial thresholds, operational mitigation will be implemented. Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	If determined to be significant, post-construction exit counts and acoustic bat monitoring will be repeated at any of these habitats deemed to be significant for three (3) years following the same methods utilized during pre-construction surveys according to the document <i>Bat and Bat Habitats:</i> <i>Guidelines for Wind Power Projects</i> , dated July 2011. Details of the post-construction monitoring program are found in the Natural Heritage Assessment documents.
Disturbance of local wildlife- raptor wintering areas.	Minimise disturbance of local wildlife habitat. Monitor habitat to determine if raptors are still using these habitats in similar numbers to pre- construction results.	If habitat is evaluated to be significant, Use underground cabling or single- wooded overhead poles where feasible. Propose obstruction lighting scheme that minimises risk to bird or bat collisions while fulfills Transport Canada requirements. Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	If habitat is evaluated to be significant, post- construction winter raptor surveys will be repeated at this habitat, for one (1) year following the same methods utilized during pre-construction surveys. Details of the post-construction monitoring program are found in the Natural Heritage Assessment documents.
Direct mortality of birds with operational wind turbines	Limit direct mortality to birds due to operational turbines.	Use underground cabling or single- wooded overhead poles where feasible. Propose obstruction lighting scheme that minimises risk to bird or bat collisions while fulfills Transport Canada requirements.	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR.	Bird mortality monitoring will be carried out according to the document <i>Bird and Bird</i> <i>Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects</i> , The first year results and overall program will be discussed with MNR/CWS at the end of the first year. Mitigation measures in the event of

GL Garrad Hassan Canada, Inc.

Final

Issue: F

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
		Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	demonstrated significant impact to bird populations will be proposed. Details of the post-construction monitoring program are found in the Natural Heritage Assessment documents.
Direct mortality of dispersing amphibians along access roads – significant amphibian breeding habitats	Limit direct mortalities to amphibians	Post speed limits along construction access roads within 30 m of significant amphibian habitats, and maintain signage during the operational phase of the ProjectWhere amphibian movement corridor is identified as part of the pre-construction survey, an amphibian-friendly culvert where be installed where proposed access roads could act as a barrier to amphibian movement.Details of the Natural Heritage Assessment can be found in the reports	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	Post-construction amphibian egg mass and call surveys will be repeated at any of these habitats deemed to be significant for one (1) year following the same methods utilized during pre- construction surveys. Based on the results of the 1st year post-construction monitoring, the need for an additional monitoring (up to 2 years) will be determined in consultation with MNR. Details of the post-construction monitoring program are found in the Natural Heritage Assessment documents.
Soil or water contamination.	Avoid contamination of significant natural features.	 on this subject as part of the complete REA application package. Implement best management practices. Develop a spill response plan and train staff on appropriate procedures. Keep emergency spill kits on site. Vehicle washing, refueling stations, and chemical storage will be located more than 30 m from natural features or water bodies. Dispose of waste material by authorized and approved offsite vendors. Details of the Natural Heritage 	The Natural Heritage Assessment was undertaken as per MNR guidelines and this Project has received confirmation from the MNR. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures are applied.
		Dispose of waste material by authorized	effect is considered non	

GL Garrad Hassan Canada, Inc.

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
		on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.		
Impacts to Species at Risk.	cies at Limit impacts to Species at Risk. The Project will require a permit under the <i>Endangered Species Act</i> (ESA), upon completion of an Approval and Permitting Requirements Document (APRD). This report will be submitted to the local district Ministry of Natural Resources to be reviewed under the authority of the <i>Ministry of Natural Resources Act</i> , and will not be submitted as part of this completed REA application.		NA	NA
Water Bodies				
Water quality impairment	No impairment of water quality	Implement Spill Response Plan Address any impacts resulting from design or construction phases Details of the Water Body Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.	The Water Body Assessment was undertaken as per MOE guidelines and this Project is expected to receive confirmation from the MOE. The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures are applied.
Emissions to Air, including	ng Odour and Dust			
Emissions of contaminants from maintenance vehicles.	Limit impact of maintenance vehicles on local air quality.	Ensure proper maintenance and operations of vehicles and machinery to limit noise, CAC emissions and leaks.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	Track all complaints and conduct follow-up monitoring (see Complaints Resolution Process in Emergency Response and Communications Plan).
Noise				
Increase in noise levels	Minimise noise level increases in the Project area.	Apply the minimum REA setback distance of 550 m for all turbines Calculate noise levels at PoRs and design project to comply with MOE noise guidelines.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	Implement the communications plan and address noise complaints during operations (see Complaints Resolution Process in Emergency Response and Communications Plan). Faulty equipment resulting in increased noise

Final

Issue: F

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
	Comply with MOE's permissible sound limits at all identified Points of Reception. Receive limited complaints	Details of the Noise Impact Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the complete REA application package.		levels are to be repaired in a timely fashion.
Local and Provincial In	terest, Land Use and	l Infrastructure		
Reduction of farmland	Minimise reduction of farmland	Design project to minimise loss of farmland, namely by placing turbines at lot boundaries where possible. Implement Site Reclamation Plan at the end of construction, namely to re-instate initial conditions on temporary areas used during construction. Limit road width during operations to 6 m. Compensate landowners on Project Location as per land lease agreement.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures are applied.
Impacts to abutting parcels of land	Avoid impacts to abutting parcels of land	Design Project with setback distance of hub height to lot lines. For turbines under hub height distance to lot lines, prepare a Property Setback Assessment (PSA) and provide measures to minimise impact, if required. Details of the Property Setback Assessment can be found in the reports on this subject as part of the competed REA application package.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures are applied.

Potential Effect	Performance Objective	Mitigation/Compensation Measures	Residual Effect	Monitoring / Contingency
Stray voltage	No stray voltage events affecting livestock	Project will be built and maintained as prescribed by the Distribution System Code and the Electrical Safety Authority to minimise the risk of stray voltage.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures are applied.
Areas Protected under P	rovincials Plans and	d Policies	1	
N/A				
Public Health and Safety	7			
Incidents resulting from ice shed	No public health and safety incidents.	Design turbine layout to respect a 20 m setback from any building. Implement Communications Plan namely to inform local community of icing events and place signs in areas with safety concern, when applicable.	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	Track all complaints and conduct follow-up monitoring (see Complaints Resolution Process in Emergency Response and Communications Plan). In most cases, turbines automatically shut-down during icing events. Operation of turbine is resumed only after appropriate confirmation of safety.
Radio communication ar	nd Radar Systems			
Interference to systems from turbines	Avoid interference to all identified and registered systems	Design turbine layout to avoid radio communication systems (towers and microwave links) as per best practice setbacks indicated in Table 2-1: Ontario Regulation 359 /05 Setback Distances	The likelihood and magnitude of this residual effect is considered non significant.	The magnitude of the residual effect is considered non significant therefore no monitoring or contingency is required provided the recommended mitigation/compensation measures are applied.
		Notify and receive clearance from NavCan, RCMP, GMCO and DND.		

6 EMERGENCY RESPONSE AND COMMUNICATIONS PLANS

This Emergency Response and Communication Plan (the Plan) for the Project has been prepared in accordance with Table 1 of O.Reg. 359/09. The purpose of the Plan is to define an avenue for ongoing communication throughout the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Project. This will ensure that members of the community, Aboriginal communities, local municipalities and government Ministries are kept apprised of pertinent Project activities, in addition to any emergencies in the unlikely event that one should occur. The Emergency Response and Communication Plan will also be filed with the Ministry of the Environment, the Township of Adelaide-Metcalfe, Township of North Middlesex and Middlesex County.

The following sections outline NextEra's communication commitments in relation to emergency responses, ongoing communication and complaint management.

6.1 Emergency Response

NextEra Energy Resources, the parent company of NextEra, maintains standard Emergency Action Plans for all of its operating facilities. Throughout the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Project, an up-to-date Emergency Action Plan will be maintained in the Project office at the Operations and Maintenance building. The Emergency Action Plan will contain current contact information for emergency responders, including local police and fire departments, and will outline the chain of communication between on-site employees, NextEra, emergency contacts, the local community and other pertinent stakeholders in the event that an emergency situation should arise. NextEra's Emergency Action Plans typically include the following information:

- Designation of facility emergency co-ordinators;
- Process description for responding to emergencies;
- Objectives for emergency response and communication;
- Local emergency response contact phone numbers;
- Regulatory references;
- Required health and safety training for employees;
- Facility information, including exact location;
- Facility emergency procedures;
- Immediate site evacuation procedures and routes;
- Delayed site evacuation procedures;
- Process for documenting personnel injuries/serious health conditions;
- Fire response plan;
- Process for documenting chemical/oil spills and releases;
- Material Safety Data Sheets (MSDS) for all chemicals used in construction and maintenance; and
- Weather-related emergency procedures.



The Emergency Action Plan's communication protocol will be finalized in consultation with the local municipalities and will include the following steps:

- The person observing the emergency will contact first responders immediately via a 911 operator, as required by the site Emergency Action Plan.
- A NextEra representative will then contact the Ministry of the Environment, including the Spills Action Centre, if required, in accordance with Section 92 of the Environmental Protection Act and the local municipalities.

Depending on the level of risk associated with the incident, local community members will be notified at the discretion of NextEra. Employees will be trained on the Emergency Action Plan's procedures and the Plan will be maintained on-site and updated when required to ensure it contains current information throughout the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Project.

6.2 Ongoing (Non-Emergency) Communication

NextEra will maintain communication with the local municipalities, members of the community and Aboriginal communities, where appropriate, throughout the construction, operation and decommissioning phases of the Project.

Broad community relations activities are seen as essential to the implementation of a successful project. To this end, the following activities will be undertaken:

- On-site tours with community leaders, local media and other interested parties during construction and periodically during operations; and,
- Installation of construction signage notifying community members of construction activity.

In addition, letters will be mailed to pertinent stakeholders to inform them of:

- Commencement of construction activities;
- Commencement of decommissioning activities; and
- Any other activities that NextEra would like to share with the local community.

A Project email address and phone number will be maintained and monitored by the operations manager and will be used to respond to stakeholder questions and/or complaints. Contact information for the operations manager will be provided on all notifications.

6.3 Complaints Resolution Process

NextEra acknowledges that some members of the community may have concerns regarding construction activities and long-term wind farm operations. To resolve disputes in a collaborative manner NextEra will follow the complaints resolution process described below.

• Should any complaints arise throughout the course of the construction, operation and decommissioning phases, a NextEra representative will contact the complainant within 24 hours of receiving the complaint to understand and seek a resolution. NextEra will notify the local MOE



district office of the complaint and prepare / file an initial Complaint Record and include the following:

- Name, address and phone number of the complainant;
- Date and time of the complaint;
- Details of the complaint;
- Follow-up action to be taken;
- Steps taken to prevent the situation from occurring in the future, where applicable.
- If the complaint cannot be resolved through a phone call, a face-to-face meeting will be scheduled with the complainant.
- An updated Complaint Record will be maintained to describe the proposed resolution of the complaint.
- Complaint Records will be maintained at the Project office in the Operations and Maintenance Building and will be made available to MOE field inspection staff should a request be made.

The Construction Manager will be responsible for the implementation of the complaints resolution process during the construction phase and the Operations Manager will take on this responsibility during the operations phase.

7 **REFERENCES**

- [1] Ontario Regulation 359/09, made under the *Environmental Protection Act*, Renewable Energy Approvals under Part 1.0 of the Act.
- [2] Ontario Regulation 521/10, made under the *Environmental Protection Act*, Renewable Energy Approvals under Part 1.0 of the Act.
- [3] Technical Guide to Renewable Energy Approvals, Ontario Ministry of the Environment, July 2011.
- [4] Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists, Ontario Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's, January 2011
- [5] *Bird and Bird Habitats*: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects, Ministry of Natural Resources, October 2010.
- [6] *Bat and Bat Habitats*: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects, Ministry of Natural Resources, July 2011.
- [7] Natural Heritage Assessment Guide for Renewable Energy Projects, Ministry of Natural resources, December 2010

APPENDIX A SITE PLANS



Habitat ID	Natural Feature	Distance	Closest (Natural I		Closest Point (Project Location)		
		[m]	X	Y	X	Y	
	Amphibian						
AWO-004	Breeding Habitat	0	-	-	-	-	
BMA-001	Bat Maternity Areas	0	-	-	-	-	
BMA-006	Bat Maternity Areas	0	-	-	-	-	
BMA-014	Bat Maternity Areas	0	-	-	-	-	
BMA-017	Bat Maternity Areas	0	-	-	-	-	
BMA-019	Bat Maternity Areas	0	-	-	-	-	
CAS-005	Carey's Sedge	0	-	-	-	-	
	Raptor Wintering						
RWA-002	Area	0	-	-	-	-	
	Raptor Wintering						
RWA-003	Area	0	-	-	-	-	
VAL-020	Significant Valleyland	0	_	-	_	_	
VAL-020	Significant	0	-	-	-	-	
VAL-048	Valleyland	0	-	-	-	-	
WET-001A	Significant Wetland	0	_	_	-	_	
WET-037	Significant Wetland	0	-	_	-	-	
WET-042	Significant Wetland	0	-	_	-	-	
WET-049	Significant Wetland	0	-	_	-	_	
WET-049	Significant Wetland	0	-	-	-	-	
WOD-002	Significant Woodlot	0	-	_	-	_	
WOD-003	Significant Woodlot	0	_	_	<u> </u>	_	
WOD-004	Significant Woodlot	0	_	_	_	_	
WOD-009	Significant Woodlot	0	-	_		_	
WOD-014	Significant Woodlot	0	_	-	_	_	
WOD-015	Significant Woodlot	0	_		_	_	
WOD-020	Significant Woodlot	0	-	-	_	_	
WOD-033	Significant Woodlot	0	_	_	_	_	
WOD-040	Significant Woodlot	0	-	-	-	-	
WOD-042	Significant Woodlot	0	-	-	-	-	
WOD-042	Significant Woodlot	0	_	_	-	-	
WOD-040	Significant Woodlot	0	_		_	_	
WOD-048	Significant Woodlot	0		_	_	_	
WOD-049	Significant Woodlot	0	-	-	-	_	
WOD-049 WOD-052	Significant Woodlot	0		_	_	_	
YSG-001	Yellow Stargrass	0	_		_	_	
100-001	Amphibian	0				-	
AWO-005	Breeding Habitat	1	-	-	-	-	
BMA-002	Bat Maternity Areas	1	-	-	-	-	
BMA-011	Bat Maternity Areas	1	-	_	-	-	
BMA-012	Bat Maternity Areas	1	-	-	-	-	
BMA-020	Bat Maternity Areas	1	-	-	-	-	
CAS-002	Carey's Sedge	1	-	_	-	-	
WOD-005	Significant Woodlot	1	-	-	-	-	

Table A-1: Significant natural heritage features and distance to project location

Habitat ID	Natural Feature	Distance	Closes	t Point	Close	est Point	
	Natural Feature	[m]	(Natural	Feature)	(Project	Location)	
WOD-006	Significant Woodlot	1	-	-	-	-	
WOD-008	Significant Woodlot	1	-	-	-	-	
WOD-010	Significant Woodlot	1	-	-	-	-	
WOD-016	Significant Woodlot	1	-	-	-	-	
WOD-050	Significant Woodlot	1	-	-	-	-	
WOD-057	Significant Woodlot	1	-	-	-	-	
BMA-016	Bat Maternity Areas	2	-	-	-	-	
CAS-001	Carey's Sedge	2	Ι	-	-	-	
	Raptor Wintering						
RWA-004	Area	2	-	-	-	-	
WOD-001	Significant Woodlot	2	-	-	-	-	
WOD-011	Significant Woodlot	2	-	-	-	-	
WOD-013	Significant Woodlot	2	-	-	-	-	
WOD-027	Significant Woodlot	2	-	-	-	-	
WOD-045	Significant Woodlot	2	-	-	-	-	
WOD-026	Significant Woodlot	3	441502	4757502	441502	4757505	
	Amphibian						
AWO-001	Breeding Habitat	4	445127	4762908	445123	4762906	
BMA-016	Bat Maternity Areas	4	445127	4762908	445123	4762906	
CAS-001	Carey's Sedge	4	445127	4762908	445123	4762906	
CAS-007	Carey's Sedge	4	446133	4759961	446129	4759958	
WOD-037	Significant Woodlot	4	446133	4759961	446129	4759958	
WOD-051	Significant Woodlot	4	446674	4775340	446675	4775344	
WOD-041	Significant Woodlot	10	439984	4769142	439972	4769144	
WOD-044	Significant Woodlot	11	440321	4770777	440333	4770774	
WOD-053	Significant Woodlot	11	452973	4774362	452962	4774364	
WOD-035	Significant Woodlot	12	444896	4760405	444908	4760406	
WOD-043	Significant Woodlot	13	440244	4770251	440232	4770254	
YSG-002	Yellow Stargrass	44	441441	4757465	441443	4757509	
	Amphibian						
AWO-002	Breeding Habitat	55	442497	4759763	442480	4759710	
CAS-003	Carey's Sedge	55	442497	4759763	442480	4759710	
WOD-017	Significant Woodlot	55	442497	4759763	442480	4759710	
CAS-004	Carey's Sedge	80	437530	4760272	437530	4760191	
WOD-012	Significant Woodlot	80	440595	4759975	440595	4759895	
WOD-019	Significant Woodlot	80	437530	4760272	437530	4760191	
WOD-038	Significant Woodlot	80	439336	4766363	439416	4766362	
CAS-006	Carey's Sedge	95	446110	4760221	446110	4760126	
WET-034	Significant Wetland	95	446110	4760221	446110	4760126	
WOD-036	Significant Woodlot	95	437408	4760283	437436	4760191	
WOD-056	Significant Woodlot	95	440915	4773689	441007	4773668	

WID	Distance [m]	x	Y
W01	49	437832	4759848
W02	80	438349	4759285
W03	25	437955	4757490
W04	58	452012	4774041
W05	65	448594	4774828
W06	40	443225	4776231
W07	18	441352	4775243
W08	81	441011	4773239
W09	66	443157	4762717
W10	108	445041	4761478
W11	91	442210	4758960

Table A-2: Water bodies and distance to project location