

**SCHEDULE B TO WALPOLE ISLAND FIRST NATION
CONSULTATION AND ACCOMMODATION PROTOCOL**

Work-Plan for Consultations / Negotiations

STAGE	TASK	DESCRIPTION / COMMENTS	WHO TO COMPLETE	DATE TO BE COMPLETED	STATUS / DATE ACTUALLY COMPLETED
WORKPLAN & BUDGET	Identification of WIFN needs for participation	WIFN Representatives to work with Crown Designate and Proponent to determine WIFN needs to participate in an informed and meaningful way	WIFN Representatives	20 days after receipt of Notification	
	Provision of further information to WIFN	All further information to be provided by Crown Designate and Proponent to WIFN Representatives	Crown Designate		
	WIFN to prepare draft workplan and budget	WIFN Representatives to review all information to determine technical advisors and internal requirements and draft workplan and budget	WIFN Representatives	30 days after receipt of Notification	
	Agreement on Workplan	Parties to negotiate and agree on workplan and budget	Parties	40 days after receipt of Notification	

CONSULTATION PRE-MEETING 1	Pre- Meeting; Engage Technical Advisors	WIFN to engage technical and legal advisors and experts, provide with agendas, workplan, and terms of engagement (including to interview traditional users to determine potential impacts of Activity, if required)	WIFN Representatives	Prior to First Meeting	
CONSULTATION MEETING 1	Meeting 1	All Parties (or Crown and WIFN if WIFN so requests) to meet to share and review information, raise questions for need for further information, and discuss potential impacts. Deadlines for work and next steps to be determined	All Parties. WIFN Representatives to arrange for meeting.		
CONSULTATION POST MEETING 1	Further questions and answers	Further information shared to respond to questions raised at meeting 1.	All Parties	Within X days of Meeting 1 (and ongoing)	
	Technical reports	WIFN technical advisors and experts undertake technical research and analysis and issue reports. Distribute reports to Crown Designate and Proponent.	WIFN Representatives to oversee and manage	Within X days of Meeting 1	
CONSULTATION MEETING 2	Meeting 2	Discussion of all information shared post meeting 1, and determine any need for further information. Discuss options to ensure that WIFN's concerns are substantially addressed, and measures to ensure this.	All Parties. WIFN Representatives to arrange meeting.		

CONSULTATION POST MEETING 2	Share proposals	Parties to share proposals on ways to address WIFN's concerns, which might include MOA or IBA.	All Parties	X days after meeting 2	
NEGOTIATION	Negotiate MOA or IBA, if applicable	WIFN Representatives, likely with assistance of legal advisor, to prepare draft MOA or IBA and distribute to Proponent and Crown if applicable. Parties to exchange drafts to negotiate MOA or IBA, via email/fax or at in-person meeting(s).	WIFN Representatives	X days after meeting 2	
	Agree on MOA or IBA, if applicable	Parties to negotiation to come to agreement on MOA or IBA terms, possibly with assistance of Crown if WIFN so requests.	All Parties to MOA or IBA	X days after meeting 2	
COMMUNITY CONSULTATION	Meetings in WIFN community	WIFN Representatives to prepare information and present it in community meetings to get input and in some cases the final decision re Activity. Might be more than one meeting required. Crown and Proponent Reps might be requested to attend.	WIFN Representatives		
	Sharing of Community Response	WIFN Representatives prepare and present response re community reaction or decision to Crown and Proponent	WIFN Representatives		

<p>CONSULTATION MEETING 3 3</p>	<p>If required, meeting 3 if outstanding issues</p>	<p>If agreement not reached between parties by this stage, hold another meeting(s) to determine if agreement can be reached and how, and next steps for doing this.</p>	<p>All Parties. WIFN Representatives to arrange for meeting(s)</p>		
<p>CONSULTATION AND NEGOTIATION POST MEETING 3</p>	<p>Agreement reached if possible</p>	<p>Steps required to ratify MOA or IBA, or for WIFN to send letter approving Activity or otherwise for agreement between Crown and WIFN re Activity</p>	<p>All Parties. WIFN Representatives to oversee.</p>		

**SCHEDULE C TO WALPOLE ISLAND FIRST NATION
CONSULTATION AND ACCOMMODATION PROTOCOL**

BUDGET

This Budget may be divided into two parts -- one for Consultation and one for Negotiation – if the Crown pays for Consultation costs and the Proponent pays for costs to negotiate MOA or IBA or the like.

CONSULTATION & NEGOTIATION BUDGET		
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	EXPECTED COST
Administrative Expenses	Copying fees, postage, long distance fees, %age overhead for WIFN administration	
WIFN Representative Fees / Per Diems	Salary recovery, fee, or per diem for each WIFN representative (itemize separately)	
WIFN Representative Expenses	Travel and other expenses	
Consultation / Negotiation Meetings	Meeting rooms, refreshments, etc (list for each projected consultation meeting)	
Community Meetings Expenses	Copying and dissemination of material, meeting rooms, refreshments, elder or other honoraria (list for each community meeting)	
Internal Technical Advisor/ Expert Fees	Describe which kinds of WIFN technical advisors/experts required, their deliverables and their fees	
Internal Technical Advisor/Expert Expenses	Travel and other expenses	
Outside Technical Advisor/Expert Fees	Describe which kinds of outside experts or technical advisors required, their deliverables and their fees	
Outside Technical Advisor/Expert Expenses	Travel and other expenses	
Legal Fees	Describe type of work required and fees for consultations	

Legal Expenses	Travel and other expenses	
TOTAL		



Appendixes

Appendix G Tables of Concordance



G.1 Kettle and Stony Point First Nation

Appendixes

Issue/Value	Response	Corresponding Report and Section
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concern about impacts to terrestrial harvest species (deer mentioned) and how to assess impacts post-construction, during operations stage 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential effects to terrestrial species are addressed through the Natural Heritage Assessment. Within the Records Review Report, NextEra identified and assessed Seasonal Concentration Areas including Winter Deer Yards. Winter Deer Yards are identified by the MNR and were not identified within 120 metres of the Project Location, and as such none were carried forward to site investigation. Negative effects to deer are not anticipated as a result of the project and no post-construction monitoring is required. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Natural Heritage Records Review Report: Table 2 – Summary of Seasonal Concentration Areas Identified Near the Adelaide Wind Energy Centre
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will want archaeological observer in fieldwork and for ground disturbance/excavation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> During field work, a fully independent First Nations monitor was supported by NextEra, who reported directly to four interested First Nations governments. The monitor was a licensed archaeologist and First Nations person. To date, the monitor's reports of field work have been positive and no concerns identified. In May 2012, the monitor participated in the field work component of a Stage 4 archaeological assessment of a pre-contact Aboriginal site recommended for Stage 4 field work in 2010. The monitor will also be notified prior to any other Stage 3 or Stage 4 field work activities, should they occur. NextEra also agreed with the monitor's recommendation to have archaeological monitoring in place for archaeological sites as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Archaeological sites recommended for Stage 3 archaeological assessment that have been fenced with a 20 m buffer will have construction activities monitored within an additional 50 m zone outside of that buffer; and Archaeological sites recommended for Stage 4 avoidance that have been fenced with a 10 m buffer will also be monitored in case additional archaeological resources are present outside of that buffered area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interest in bird/bat mortality and monitoring 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NextEra will develop and implement a monitoring program for bird and bat mortality consistent with <i>Birds and Bat Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects</i> (MNR, 2011) and <i>Bats and Bat Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects</i> (MNR, 2011). The first year results and overall program will be discussed with MNR at the end of the first year. Operational mitigation measures in the event of demonstrated significant impact to bird/bat populations will be proposed in consultation with the MNR. Construction mitigation measures to address potential bird/bat mortality include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoid placing turbines within blade length of significant natural features. Use underground cabling or single-wooded overhead poles where feasible. Propose obstruction lighting scheme that minimises risk to bird collisions while fulfilling Transport Canada requirements. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Design and Operations Report: Table 5-3 – Potential Negative Effects and Mitigation Measures – Operation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerns with impact for raptors ("birds that look down") 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential effects to raptors are assessed in the Evaluation of Significance Report, as part of the Natural Heritage Assessment. Within the Report, NextEra identified and assessed Candidate Significant Wildlife Habitats including Raptor Winter Feeding and Roosting, Raptor Nesting, Bald Eagle Nesting and Osprey Nesting Habitats. Three areas with potential habitat for Raptor Wintering Areas were identified within 120 metres of the Project Location. Pre-construction surveys were completed using MNR approved protocol and none of the three habitats were found to be significant. Post construction monitoring for potential raptor mortality is required for all wind farms and will be completed in accordance with Ministry of Natural Resource Guidelines. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Natural Heritage Evaluation of Significance Report
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mentioned seismic activity in vicinity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wind turbines are constructed to meet Canadian Standards Association (CSA) and technical standards and therefore any impacts from seismic activity are extremely remote. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A

Issue/Value	Response	Corresponding Report and Section
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about turbine vibrations affecting wildlife behaviours, especially reptiles 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential effects to reptiles are assessed in the Evaluation of Significance Report, as part of the Natural Heritage Assessment. Within the Report, NextEra identified and assessed Candidate Significant Wildlife Habitats including Snake Hibernacula, Turtle Nesting and Overwintering Habitats. Two features that had potential habitat for snake hibernacula were identified through the Site Investigation and evaluated during the Evaluation of Significance. These sites were surveyed following protocol accepted by the MNR and determined to not be significant. These areas did not warrant specific consideration within the Environmental Impact Study. Site-specific field surveys were conducted to examine habitat types within 120 m of the Project Location. No suitable turtle nesting or overwintering habitats were identified, and therefore specific mitigation measures are not required. In general, turbines are designed to avoid creating vibration as this would affect long term structural stability. Therefore, it is not anticipated that any vibrations would be transmitted at any appreciable distance that would impact wildlife. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Natural Heritage Evaluation of Significance: Table 12 – Evaluation of Significance for Wildlife Habitat within 120 m of the Adelaide Wind Energy Centre
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concerned about visual impacts for their golf course operations Show Stony Point and Ipperwash as separate communities on mapping. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The closest turbine from the Adelaide Wind Energy Centre is approximately 28 km from the Indian Hills Golf Club. As such, no visual effects from the Project are anticipated. Any required mapping will show separate communities. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concern about presence of transformers if in proximity to residences 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The transformer substation location will abide by noise level limits (less than 40 dBA at non-participating receptors) prescribed by the Ministry of the Environment. Also, the closest turbine is approximately 30 kilometres away from Kettle and Stony Point First Nation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise Impact Assessment: Section 5.3 – Noise Emission Rating of the Substation Transformer and Section 7.1 – Results
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Experience with Sky Generation wind farm (immediately adjacent to community) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Interference with TV reception (mitigation - cable TV paid for) Concerns about interference with emergency services radio, cell phones and community radio Shadow flicker in some homes (mitigation - heavy curtains) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> In discussions with Kettle and Stony Point, it was confirmed that most or all concerns were mitigated by the wind farm operator. The closest turbine for the Adelaide project is approximately 30 km to the Chippewas of Kettle and Stony Point community, as such; effects from shadow flicker are not anticipated. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Many interruptions to Hydro service during Sky Gen construction were seen as related - concern for a community member who is on a respirator 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No interruptions in electrical service are anticipated during the construction of the facility. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will the lights on the turbines cause confusion for lake fishermen who use shoreline lights for navigation? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Turbine lighting will likely be visible by fishermen on the lake. It is expected that the turbine lighting can be distinguished from other navigational lighting. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A



G.2 Munsee-Delaware First Nation

Appendixes

Issue/Value	Response	Corresponding Report and Section
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential Impacts to Terrestrial Species, with the following mentioned specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Deer ▪ Squirrels and chipmunks ▪ Raccoons ▪ Rabbit 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential effects to terrestrial species are discussed in the rows below with corresponding Report/Section references provided. • Potential effects to terrestrial species are addressed through the Natural Heritage Assessment. Within the Records Review Report, NextEra identified and assessed Seasonal Concentration Areas including Winter Deer Yards, Winter Deer Yards are identified by the MNR and were not identified within 120 metres of the Project Location, and as such none were carried forward to Site Investigation. Negative effects to deer are not anticipated as a result of the project and no post-construction monitoring is required. • In general, the effects to terrestrial species are anticipated to be non-significant with the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures and monitoring commitments for the following effects: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Direct vegetation removal – significant woodlands, wetlands and valleylands</u>: Mitigation measures include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Detailed vegetation inventory of species and abundance to be removed within significant natural features or wildlife habitats to confirm no rare species will be removed. ▪ Re-planting using area ratio of 1:1 of similar species association (native species) if removed was greater than 1% of the woodland cover. ▪ Clearly delineate work area within 30 m of significant natural features or wildlife habitats using erosion fencing, or similar barrier, to avoid accidental damage to species to be retained. ▪ Any vegetation removal required along roadside collector lines or transmission lines should be minimized and occur completely within the road right of way where possible. ▪ Any tree limbs or roots that are accidentally damaged by construction activities will be pruned using proper arboricultural techniques. ▪ No vegetation removal will occur in rare plant communities. • <u>Disturbance of local wildlife – significant woodlands, wetlands and valleylands</u>: Mitigation measures include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Avoid vegetation removal within 30 m of a significant natural feature during the breeding bird period (May 1st – July 15th), or hire a biologist to conduct nest searches prior to vegetation removal. ▪ Construction activities within 30 m of significant natural features or wildlife habitats should occur during daylight hours to avoid excessive noise and/or light at night. • <u>Sedimentation and erosion – significant woodlands, wetlands and valleylands</u>: Mitigation measures include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Implement a sediment and erosion control plan within 30 m of a significant natural feature or wildlife habitat. ▪ Install, monitor, and maintain erosion and sediment control measures (i.e., silt fences) around the construction areas within 30 m of a significant natural feature or wildlife habitat. ▪ Schedule grading to avoid times of very high runoff volumes, wherever possible. • <u>Spills (i.e., oil, gasoline, grease, etc.) – significant woodlands, wetlands and valleylands</u>: Mitigation measures include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ All maintenance activities, vehicle refuelling or washing, and chemical storage will be located more than 30 m from any significant natural feature or wildlife habitat. ▪ Develop a spill response plan and train staff on appropriate procedures. ▪ Keep emergency spill kits on site. ▪ Dispose of waste material by authorized and approved off-site vendors. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A • Natural Heritage Records Review Report: Table 2 – Summary of Seasonal Concentration Areas Identified Near the Adelaide Wind Energy Centre • Construction Plan Report: Table 3-2 – Potential Negative Effects and Mitigation Measures – Construction • Design and Operations Report: Table 5-3: Potential Negative Effects and Mitigation Measures – Operations • Environmental Impact Study: Section 9.0

Issue/Value	Response	Corresponding Report and Section
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential Impacts to Avian Species, with the following mentioned specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Turkey 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Application of herbicides. Mitigation measures include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Only apply herbicides when wind speeds are low and no significant precipitation is expected. Only use herbicides approved for use adjacent to water bodies, riparian buffers, or woodland edges. Only hand spraying of herbicides will be permitted within riparian buffer areas. Potential effects to avian species, including turkey, are discussed in the rows below with corresponding Report/Section references provided. Six Wild Turkeys were observed during the 2011 field studies, all during the summer surveys. Effects to turkeys from direct collision with turbines are not anticipated as they generally travel by ground or fly well below blade height. NextEra will develop and implement a monitoring program for bird mortality consistent with <i>Birds and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects</i> (MNR, 2010). The first year results and overall program will be discussed with MNR at the end of the first year. Operational mitigation measures in the event of demonstrated significant impact to bird/bat populations will be proposed in consultation with the MNR. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction mitigation measures to address potential bird/bat mortality include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoid placing turbines within blade length of significant natural features. Use underground cabling or single-wooded overhead poles where feasible. Propose obstruction lighting scheme that minimises risk to bird collisions while fulfilling Transport Canada requirements. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A N/A Design and Operations Report: Table 5-3 – Potential Negative Effects and Mitigation Measures – Operation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential Impacts to Avian Species, with the following mentioned specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Turkey 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential effects to avian species, including turkey, are discussed in the rows below with corresponding Report/Section references provided. Six Wild Turkeys were observed during the 2011 field studies, all during the summer surveys. Effects to turkeys from direct collision with turbines are not anticipated as they generally travel by ground or fly well below blade height. NextEra will develop and implement a monitoring program for bird mortality consistent with <i>Birds and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects</i> (MNR, 2010). The first year results and overall program will be discussed with MNR at the end of the first year. Operational mitigation measures in the event of demonstrated significant impact to bird/bat populations will be proposed in consultation with the MNR. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction mitigation measures to address potential bird/bat mortality include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoid placing turbines within blade length of significant natural features. Use underground cabling or single-wooded overhead poles where feasible. Propose obstruction lighting scheme that minimises risk to bird collisions while fulfilling Transport Canada requirements. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A N/A Design and Operations Report: Table 5-3 – Potential Negative Effects and Mitigation Measures – Operation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential Impacts to watercourses that may support harvestable aquatic species 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Effects on fish habitat were assessed as part of the Water Assessment and Water Body Report. With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures, residual effects are anticipated to be non-significant. Mitigation measures to address potential fish habitat alteration/loss/disruption include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consideration of design layout to minimize number of crossings. Consideration of layout distances to water body features and sensitivity of those features. Crossing locations should be selected as to avoid key habitat features (i.e., refuge pool) and cross the feature within a straight reach of the channel as to avoid meanders etc. and cross perpendicular where possible. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction Plan Report: Table 3-2 – Potential Negative Effects and Mitigation Measures – Construction

Munsee-Delaware First Nation

Issue/Value	Response	Corresponding Report and Section
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential impact to habitat that may support harvestable plant species or to the plants themselves. Getting species information was not possible but we were referred to the Kettle and Stony Point Native Women's Workshop proceedings of Southern Ontario Aboriginal Health (forum?) that produced information on medicinal plants. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Crossing structures should be designed to reduce loss and alterations of habitat where possible (i.e., reduces affected area by cutting back from grading limit to road and install headwall, open bottom culvert etc.). Crossing structure should be properly sized and positioned appropriately (angle and embedded) as to avoid erosion issues and creation of potential fish barriers. Crossing structures should be sized appropriately according to municipal engineering standards as to not result in alterations in stream hydrology, scouring or flooding crossing structures. Crossing structure type should be determined in consultation with agency and municipality staff and should consider sensitivity of the water body and location of crossing. Implement trenchless (i.e., directional drilling) technology at crossings where possible. Any loss to the productive capacity of a watercourse must be compensated for under the Fisheries Act. Restrict construction to warmwater timing windows (July 1– March 3), as indicated by local MNR. Work in the dry (i.e., low flow) or isolate work area using good engineering practices or by working in dry conditions using accepted methods to bypass flows. Machinery should be operated in a manner that minimizes disturbance to the banks and bed of the watercourse. Stabilize banks as soon as possible after construction disturbance (i.e., plantings, rock, etc.). The Project is sited predominantly on agricultural fields. However, where vegetation removal is required, NextEra will restore temporary work areas following the construction phase. Stripped topsoil will be replaced and recontoured in the construction areas and disturbed areas will be re-seeded, as appropriate. Once the turbines are constructed, farming practices can continue up to within a few metres of the turbine base. Detailed vegetation inventory of species and abundance to be removed within significant natural features or wildlife habitats to confirm no rare species will be removed. Re-planting using an area ratio of 1:1 of similar species association (native species) if the area removed was greater than 1% of the woodland cover. Clearly delineate work area within 30 m of significant natural features or wildlife habitats using erosion fencing, or similar barrier, to avoid accidental damage to species to be retained. Any vegetation removal required along roadside collector lines or transmission lines should be minimized and occur completely within the road right of way where possible. Any tree limbs or roots that are accidentally damaged by construction activities will be pruned using proper arboricultural techniques. No vegetation removal will occur in rare plant communities. NextEra will also monitor the success of any re-vegetated areas three (3) times during the first year, and once in each of the next 2 years. Any unsuccessful plantings noted on (or before) assessment within the second year will be re-planted. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction Plan Report: Section 2.13 – Clean-up and Reclamation Strategy Construction Plan Report: Table 3-2: Potential Negative Effects and Mitigation Measures – Construction
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Archaeology 	<p>The archaeological studies were conducted by licensed archaeologists according to Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport standards. Two reports have reported upon the Stage 2 field work conducted, one covering between September 2008 and May 2010 and the other covering between July 2011 and January 2012. A total of 29 archaeological sites were identified during the archaeological assessment and included 17 pre-contact Aboriginal sites and 12 historic Euro-Canadian sites. Thirteen of the sites were recommended for further Stage 3 archaeological assessments.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Report: Section 1.2.2 – Previously Known Archaeological Sites and Surveys; Section 4.0 – Analysis and Conclusions; Section 5.0 – Recommendations

Issue/Value	Response	Corresponding Report and Section
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • During the 2008 to 2010 field work, three pre-contact Aboriginal sites and three historic Euro-Canadian sites were recommended for further archaeological studies. Those Stage 3 archaeological assessments were conducted between November 2009 and March 2010. As a result of this work one of the pre-contact Aboriginal sites was recommended for Stage 4 archaeological assessment given the number of artifacts recovered during the Stage 3 field work. • During the 2011 and 2012 field work, one of the sites identified was an Early Archaic projectile point which warrants further study on the site in conjunction with the Aboriginal Engagement Program. The other six sites where further study was recommended consist of Euro-Canadian sites where more than 20 artifacts dating prior to 1900 were identified at the locations thus lending cultural heritage value or interest to the site. The cultural heritage value or interest of the remaining sites was considered to be sufficiently documented and as such, no further studies were recommended. • First Nations monitors were present during the 2009 and 2010 Stage 3 field work. The monitors' reports of field work were positive and no concerns were identified. • From 2011 onwards during field work, a fully independent First Nations monitor was supported by NextEra, who reported directly to four interested First Nations governments. The monitor was a licensed archaeologist and First Nations person. To date, the monitor's reports of field work have been positive and no concerns identified. • In May 2012, the monitor participated in the field work component of the Stage 4 archaeological assessment of the pre-contact Aboriginal site recommended for Stage 4 field work in 2010. The analysis and reporting on that site has now commenced. The monitor will also be notified prior to any other Stage 3 or Stage 4 field work activities, should they occur. • NextEra also agreed with the monitor's recommendation to have archaeological monitoring in place for archaeological sites as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Archaeological sites recommended for Stage 3 archaeological assessment that have been fenced with a 20 m buffer will have construction activities monitored within an additional 50 m zone outside of that buffer; and ▪ Archaeological sites recommended for Stage 4 avoidance that have been fenced with a 10 m buffer will also be monitored in case additional archaeological resources are present outside of that buffered area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A



G.3 Walpole Island First Nation

Appendixes

Issue/Value	Response	Corresponding Report and Section
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No net loss approach, if not habitat/species enhancements - Walpole Island FN seen as a central "hub", for enhancement/ restoration/ protection within the TT 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NextEra will restore temporary work areas following the construction phase. Stripped topsoil will be replaced and recontoured in the construction areas and disturbed areas will be re-seeded, as appropriate. Once the turbines are constructed, farming practices can continue up to within a few metres of the turbine base. Detailed vegetation inventory of species and abundance to be removed within significant natural features or wildlife habitats to confirm no rare species will be removed. Re-planting using an area ratio of 1:1 of similar species association (native species) if the area removed was greater than 1% of the woodland cover. Clearly delineate work area within 30 m of significant natural features or wildlife habitats using erosion fencing, or similar barrier, to avoid accidental damage to species to be retained. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any vegetation removal required along roadside collector lines or transmission lines should be minimized and occur completely within the road right of way where possible. Any tree limbs or roots that are accidentally damaged by construction activities will be pruned using proper arboricultural techniques. NextEra will also monitor the success of any re-vegetated areas three (3) times during the first year, and once in each of the next 2 years. Any unsuccessful plantings noted on (or before) assessment within the second year will be re-planted. While not explicitly stated in the archaeological reporting, Golder Associates Ltd. has a copy of the Walpole Island archaeological master plan. The master plan's values and approach complement those outlined in the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport's 2011 Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists which is the document that Golder's archaeologists follow. Golder also follows the 2011 draft technical bulletin <i>Engaging Aboriginal Communities in Archaeology</i>. NextEra consulting archaeologists are also required to comply with the NextEra Energy Canada, ULC Ontario Projects Archaeological Protocol. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction Plan Report: Section 2.13 – Clean-up and Reclamation Strategy Construction Plan Report: Table 3-2: Potential Negative Effects and Mitigation Measures – Construction
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Walpole has an archaeology master plan. They would like to see it's values and approach extended throughout TT 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The archaeological studies were conducted by licensed archaeologists according to Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport standards. Two reports have reported upon the Stage 2 field work conducted, one covering between September 2008 and May 2010 and the other covering between July 2011 and January 2012. A total of 29 archaeological sites were identified during the archaeological assessment and included 17 pre-contact Aboriginal sites and 12 historic Euro-Canadian sites. Thirteen of the sites were recommended for further Stage 3 archaeological assessments. During the 2008 to 2010 field work, three pre-contact Aboriginal sites and three historic Euro-Canadian sites were recommended for further archaeological studies. Those Stage 3 archaeological assessments were conducted between November 2009 and March 2010. As a result of this work one of the pre-contact Aboriginal sites was recommended for Stage 4 archaeological assessment given the number of artifacts recovered during the Stage 3 field work. During the 2011 and 2012 field work, one of the sites identified was an Early Archaic projectile point which warrants further study on the site in conjunction with the Aboriginal Engagement Program. The other six sites where further study was recommended consist of Euro-Canadian sites where greater than 20 artifacts dating prior to 1900 were identified at the locations thus lending cultural heritage value or interest to the site. The cultural heritage value or interest of the remaining sites was considered to be sufficiently documented and as such, no further studies were recommended. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Report: Section 1.0 – Project Context; Section 2.0 – Field Methods; and Supplementary Document C – Aboriginal Engagement
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Archaeology monitors to be used and archaeologists to speak with Director of Walpole Heritage Centre prior to work 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> During the 2008 to 2010 field work, three pre-contact Aboriginal sites and three historic Euro-Canadian sites were recommended for further archaeological studies. Those Stage 3 archaeological assessments were conducted between November 2009 and March 2010. As a result of this work one of the pre-contact Aboriginal sites was recommended for Stage 4 archaeological assessment given the number of artifacts recovered during the Stage 3 field work. During the 2011 and 2012 field work, one of the sites identified was an Early Archaic projectile point which warrants further study on the site in conjunction with the Aboriginal Engagement Program. The other six sites where further study was recommended consist of Euro-Canadian sites where greater than 20 artifacts dating prior to 1900 were identified at the locations thus lending cultural heritage value or interest to the site. The cultural heritage value or interest of the remaining sites was considered to be sufficiently documented and as such, no further studies were recommended. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment Report: Section 1.2.2 – Previously Known Archaeological Sites and Surveys; Section 4.0 – Analysis and Conclusions; Section 5.0 – Recommendations

Issue/Value	Response	Corresponding Report and Section
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Focus on SAR 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> First Nations monitors were present during the 2009 and 2010 Stage 3 field work. The monitors' reports of field work were positive and no concerns were identified. From 2011 onwards during field work, a fully independent First Nations monitor was supported by NextEra, who reported directly to four interested First Nations governments, including Walpole Island First Nation. The monitor was a licensed archaeologist and First Nations person. To date, the monitor's reports of field work have been positive and no concerns identified. In May 2012, the monitor participated in the field work component of the Stage 4 archaeological assessment of the pre-contact Aboriginal site recommended for Stage 4 field work in 2010. The analysis and reporting on that site has now commenced. The monitor will also be notified prior to any other Stage 3 or Stage 4 field work activities, should they occur. NextEra also agreed with the monitor's recommendation to have archaeological monitoring in place for archaeological sites as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Archaeological sites recommended for Stage 3 archaeological assessment that have been fenced with a 20 m buffer will have construction activities monitored within an additional 50 m zone outside of that buffer; and Archaeological sites recommended for Stage 4 avoidance that have been fenced with a 10 m buffer will also be monitored in case additional archaeological resources are present outside of that buffered area. NextEra has confirmed that the consulting archaeologist and independent First Nations Archaeology monitor have spoken with the Director of the Heritage Centre. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential impacts to Tundra Swans 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Due to the sensitive nature of Species at Risk, they are not identified in the Natural Heritage Assessment. Species At Risk and their habitats potentially occurring within the Study Area are being identified through site investigations, background research and in consultation with the Ministry of Natural Resources. To date, three Species At Risk, all birds, were identified during the 2011 field studies, while breeding habitat for two of these bird species exists within the Project Area. Potential effects to these species will be addressed through a Species at Risk Report and submitted to the Ministry of Natural Resources to determine if a permit is required. An Endangered Species Act permit is required if the proposed work will have an adverse effect on a protected species or its habitat, as determined by the Ministry of Natural Resources. Any required permits will be obtained from the Ministry of Natural Resources prior to commencing construction and any required mitigation measures or monitoring commitments will be implemented. A wide variety of background information has been reviewed as part of this project, including information from the Ministry of Natural Resources, Conservation Authorities, Municipality, and previous studies conducted within the Project Area. Waterfowl Staging Areas, which include those used by Tundra Swans, have been considered as part of the Natural Heritage Assessment conducted for this project. For specific details and corresponding report/section regarding Tundra Swan, please refer to the row below. Potential habitat for staging Tundra Swans was identified within the Project Area; however, these habitats were determined to be of insufficient size to support the numbers of waterfowl that would represent a significant habitat. No significant waterfowl staging areas, including those for Tundra Swan, have been identified within 120 m of the Project Location, and therefore do not require specific consideration in the Environmental Impact Study. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A

Issue/Value	Response	Corresponding Report and Section
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential impacts to migratory bird or fish species that may be harvested or support tourism (hunting and fishing) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NextEra will develop and implement a monitoring program for bird mortality consistent with <i>Birds and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects</i> (MNR, 2011). <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The first year results and overall program will be discussed with MNR at the end of the first year. Operational mitigation measures in the event of demonstrated significant impact to bird populations will be proposed. Effects on fish habitat were assessed as part of the Water Assessment and Water Body Report. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> With the implementation of the recommended mitigation measures, residual effects are anticipated to be non-significant. Mitigation measures to address potential fish habitat alteration/loss/disruption include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consideration of design layout to minimize number of crossings. Consideration of layout distances to water body features and sensitivity of those features. Crossing locations should be selected as to avoid key habitat features (i.e. refuge pool) and cross the feature within a straight reach of the channel as to avoid meanders etc. and cross perpendicular where possible. Crossing structures should be designed to reduce loss and alterations of habitat where possible (i.e. reduces affected area by cutting back from grading limit to road and install headwall, open bottom culvert etc.). Crossing structure should be properly sized and positioned appropriately (angle and embedded) as to avoid erosion issues and creation of potential fish barriers. Crossing structures should be sized appropriately according to municipal engineering standards as to not result in alterations in stream hydrology, scouring or flooding crossing structures. Crossing structure type should be determined in consultation with agency and municipality staff and should consider sensitivity of the water body and location of crossing. Implement trenchless (i.e. directional drilling) technology at crossings where possible. Any loss to the productive capacity of a watercourse must be compensated for under the Fisheries Act. Restrict construction to warmwater timing windows (July 1– March 3), as indicated by local MNR. Work in the dry (i.e. low flow) or isolate work area using good engineering practices or by working in dry conditions using accepted methods to bypass flows. Machinery should be operated in a manner that minimizes disturbance to the banks and bed of the watercourse. Stabilize banks as soon as possible after construction disturbance (i.e. plantings, rock, etc.). Potential effects to migratory birds are addressed through the Natural Heritage Assessment and Environmental Impact Study Report. Within the Report, NextEra identified and assessed Candidate Significant Wildlife Habitats including Waterfowl Stopover and Staging Areas and Shorebird Migratory Stopover Areas. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No Significant Migratory Stopover and Staging Areas were determined to be located within 120 m of the Project Location, and as per MNR guidelines, these areas were not carried forward to the Environmental Impact Study. Construction mitigation measures to address potential bird mortality include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoid placing turbines within blade length of significant natural features. Use underground cabling or single-wooded overhead poles where feasible. Propose obstruction lighting scheme that minimises risk to bird collisions while fulfilling Transport Canada requirements. Post-construction mortality studies are required for all wind energy projects in Ontario. Details of the monitoring protocol will follow the MNR's document <i>Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects</i>. Should the mortality studies indicate significant impacts to bird populations, operational mitigation measures will be proposed, in consultation with the MNR. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Design and Operations Report: Table 5-3 – Potential Negative Effects and Mitigation Measures – Operation Construction Plan Report: Table 3-2 – Potential Negative Effects and Mitigation Measures – Construction
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential effects to migratory birds are addressed through the Natural Heritage Assessment and Environmental Impact Study Report. Within the Report, NextEra identified and assessed Candidate Significant Wildlife Habitats including Waterfowl Stopover and Staging Areas and Shorebird Migratory Stopover Areas. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No Significant Migratory Stopover and Staging Areas were determined to be located within 120 m of the Project Location, and as per MNR guidelines, these areas were not carried forward to the Environmental Impact Study. Construction mitigation measures to address potential bird mortality include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Avoid placing turbines within blade length of significant natural features. Use underground cabling or single-wooded overhead poles where feasible. Propose obstruction lighting scheme that minimises risk to bird collisions while fulfilling Transport Canada requirements. Post-construction mortality studies are required for all wind energy projects in Ontario. Details of the monitoring protocol will follow the MNR's document <i>Bird and Bird Habitats: Guidelines for Wind Power Projects</i>. Should the mortality studies indicate significant impacts to bird populations, operational mitigation measures will be proposed, in consultation with the MNR. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Design and Operations Report: Table 5-3 – Potential Negative Effects and Mitigation Measures – Operation



Appendixes

Appendix H Chronologies of Contacts with Aboriginal Communities



H.1 Aamjiwnaang First Nation

Appendixes

Contact Record

Projects: **Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho Wind Energy Centres**

Community: **Chippewas of Aamjiwnaang** Main Contact: Chief Chris Plain
Sharilyn Johnston,
Environmental Officer

NextEra Energy Canada ULC (“NEEC”) proposes to develop, construct and operate a number of wind energy projects in Ontario. This report details all communications with the Aboriginal community identified above, in support of the Ontario Regulation 359/09 Renewable Energy Approval requirement for consulting with Aboriginal peoples. Information is current to the date shown at the bottom of this report. Please contact NEEC for clarification or to receive any available updates.

The projects for which this Aboriginal community has expressed interest, or that have been confirmed by the Director of Renewable Energy Approvals “list of aboriginal communities” are identified above. Please refer to the Project Description Reports and supporting reports as set out in Table 1 of Ontario Regulation 359/09 for information about these projects, potential impacts and proposed mitigation. All available reports may be found at:

<http://www.NextEraEnergyCanada.com>

- **Adelaide** Wind Energy Centre
- **Bluewater** Wind Energy Centre
- **Bornish** Wind Energy Centre
- **Goshen** Wind Energy Centre
- **Jericho** Wind Energy Centre

*Please note that this report summarizes all communications related to this Aboriginal Community, which may include more than one NEEC project. Such an overview is important because information-sharing and knowledge-building about wind energy, as well as relationship building with communities takes place across all projects. This report is therefore an overall summary of contacts with this Aboriginal community, their purpose and content. **Issues that are specific to a single project, however, are identified, discussed and reported in the individual project Aboriginal Consultation report, which forms part of NEEC’s Renewable Energy Application under Ontario Regulation 359/09.***

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
Late 2007	NEEC	Band Administration	Initial contact to introduce NEEC (then FPLE) and its projects in Lambton, Middlesex and Huron Counties. This would have included Bornish and the then current Canadian Green Power Projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial contact 	Meeting planned for January 24, 2008 at the Four Winds Centre, Kettle and Stoney Point First Nation.
2008-01-29	M. DeBock, Project Director, NEEC (then FPLE Canadian Wind LP)	Chief Plain	Letter sent to Chief Plain: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> enclosing copy of presentation intended to be given at the January 24th meeting; offering to continue dialogue; Enclosing public notices and inviting attendance at Public Information Centres of February 19 and 20, 2008 and offering to meet on those dates, or at another time. Advising that a second public information centre will be held after completion of the environmental studies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	An introductory meeting was scheduled for January 24, 2008 at the Four Winds Centre, Kettle Point First Nation. The invited First Nations representatives were not able to attend. Jason Henry (Genivar) to follow-up to schedule another meeting.
2009-06	Golder/TCi	Chief Plain	Copy of Notice of Completion for Adelaide sent.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Regulatory compliance 	
2009-10-26	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing copies of draft REA Table 1 reports and executive summary as per s. 17 REA, requesting comments on the Bornish project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2009-10-27	David Miller, MWA	Sharilyn Johnston, Environment Officer	Delivery of 2009-10-26 letter re. Bornish to Chief Plain and enclosures. Discussed the possibility of a presentation to Environmental and Health Committee at its scheduled meeting on November 9, 2009. Discussion regarding third party review of Table 1 documents for each component and whether a joint review with other communities who assert traditional territory is possible.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Process 	Aamjiwnaang Health and Environment Committee is interested in hiring a 3 rd party to conduct a review of the REA documents.
2009-10-30	Sharilyn Johnston	David Miller	Submission of quote from Sharilyn re: 3rd party external review for Bornish . Concerned with a shared review between communities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process 	Forwarded quote to Ben Greenhouse (2009-11-16).
2009-11-09	Ben Greenhouse, NEEC Merve McLeod, MWA David Miller, MWA Sunil Kumar, Genivar	Aamjiwnaang Health and Environment Committee	Presentation to Health and Environment Committee about Bornish Wind Farm and NEEC in general.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	
2009-11-20	Tom Bird, NEEC	Chief Plain	Letter mailed enclosing Bornish notice of application for Renewable Energy Approval and December 7 Public Information Centre.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory compliance • Communication 	

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2009-11-25	David Miller, MWA	Sharilyn Johnston	Called to discuss the ongoing stage 2 archaeological work. Concerns about lack of First Nations participation in the work.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	Set up a meeting with ASI so Sharilyn could speak directly with the field team about the work.
2009-12-09	David Miller, MWA	Sharilyn Johnston	E-mail explaining NEEC is concerned about the high cost of the independent consultant review.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	
2010-12-22	Ben Greenhouse	Sharilyn Johnston	E-mail concerning the misunderstanding about costs for an independent review of the Bornish REA documentation. Lack of pre-approval for third party review, no terms of reference and costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Scope of work and budget agreed to 2010-01-06
2010-01-06	David Miller, Bob Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston	Met in person to discuss Ben Porchuk's review of Bornish . His report included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Health issues impacts on birds, bats, and bees role of First Nations people in the project <p>We clarified the process for reviewing and accepting Ben Porchuk's report.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Process 	A meeting between project experts, Bob, David, Sharilyn, and Ben Porchuk is being arranged (Jan 15 tentative). The meeting would be to ensure a common understanding of the issues and provide any additional information that may be required.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-01-18	David Miller, Bob Waldon Dave Martin Allison Featherstone	Sharilyn Johnston Courtney Jackson Ben Porchuk, Wilson Plain Jr.	Meeting to review the Ben Porchuk draft report on Bornish , clarify any information, provide additional information and agree on next steps. Additional information was provided on environmental evaluations by Allison Featherstone of LGL and Dave Martin (see 2010-01-28, below). After the report review, the forthcoming Adelaide Public Open House was discussed with Sharilyn Johnston.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing • Communication 	Ben Porchuk report to be updated as a final, submitted to NEEC through Aamjiwnaang and the Health and Environmental Committee will make recommendations to Council.
2010-01-25	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Plain	Letter requesting comments on the Bornish Wind Energy Centre, as per s. 17 of REA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory compliance 	Letter delivered electronically 2010-02-01
2010-01-28	Terrence Rasmussen	Ben Porchuk	Letter from GENIVAR to follow-up meeting of 2010-01-18 about Bornish with additional information on avian natural heritage assessment report.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-02-17	David Miller, MWA	Sharilyn Johnston, Environment Officer	<p>Received the final Ben Porchuk report on Bornish and called Sharilyn to discuss it.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> As a result of the final report on the Bornish project, the Health and Environment Committee will not be making a submission to Chief and Council. As a result, a community meeting is not likely required. The Health and Environment committee may also review the Adelaide project through the framework outlined in the Porchuk report. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process - (Bornish not being taken to Council) 	<p>NEEC to set up a meeting to hold discussion on issues outside of the REA requirements, such as employment.</p> <p>Continue to discuss archaeological protocol.</p> <p>All Aboriginal communities also have access to make comments, if any, through the REA approval process when a decision is posted on the EBRO.</p>
2010-02-24	Sharilyn Johnston	Ben Greenhouse	<p>Letter explaining that as a result of the 3rd party review report:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Aamjiwnaang ("AFN") wishes to be fully engaged in the REA. AFN submitted comments through the independent reviewer's report and at this time have no further concerns regarding the documentation but expect continued consultation and engagement and to be fully informed during the process. We would also expect the opportunity to be involved in any archeological work that continues in the area or in any area that AFN has expressed their interest in. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Communication 	<p>NEEC will advise Aamjiwnaang of the schedule for the archaeological field work and determine if a community observer or designated liaison person is required by the community.</p> <p>NEEC will maintain ongoing communications with all Aboriginal communities who wish to be kept informed about the Project.</p>
2010-03-25	David Miller, MWA	Sharilyn Johnston	<p>E-mail offering to arrange a meeting with the archeologists for Bornish and asking if Aamjiwnaang wishes to have a field monitor/observer.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Communication 	

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-03-31	David Miller, MWA	Sharilyn Johnston	Met in person and asked about Aamjiwnaang's interest in sending a field monitor/observer.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Communication 	Sharilyn to contact David and discuss on April 6th.
2010-04-01	David Miller, MWA	Sharilyn Johnston	E-Mail providing contact information for archeology field manager and Walpole Island observer.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	
Dated as of 2010-06-04	Nicole Geneau	Sharilyn Johnston	Community Reference binder with draft project description reports for Bluewater , Goshen and Jericho and a map of all current NEEC southwestern Ontario projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The binder and letter were delivered at a meeting with Sharilyn Johnson on June 15, 2010.
2010-06-15	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston	Initial meeting to deliver Community Resource Binder and introduce Bluewater , Goshen and Jericho projects. Discussions of potential improvements to successful process followed for Bornish to be considered on further projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bluewater, Jericho and Goshen were discussed in very general terms, with ideas shared for planning the procedural consultation including how Aboriginal values and interests may be reflected in the natural heritage and archaeological work.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-06-16	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Environment Officer	<p>E-mail confirming follow-up actions from 2010-06-15 meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> We reviewed the NextEra Community Reference Binder. Sharilyn felt it will be a useful basic information source. Council elections to take place in July. Current council members on Health and Environment Committee are Vicki Ware, Darren Henry and Ron Simon. A new consultation and accommodation protocol has been adopted in principle, but is subject to final approval (possibly June 22). The final approved version will be made available for proponent use. Archaeology for Bluwater, Goshen and Jericho: Sharilyn suggested a one day orientation meeting for Aamjiwnaang Health and Environment Committee members. If other communities send a full time observer, NextEra will ask permission to share their wrap up report with all interested communities. No specific Aboriginal values to be reported to Golder to address in REA studies, at this time. An Aamjiwnaang Values document may be available in future. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Process Information-sharing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sharilyn will look at the Adelaide ESR prepared by Golder for TCi and advise of any questions or additional information requirements. Bob agreed to recommend Archaeology field day to NextEra, including if it can be offered to all interested communities. (Done) Agreed with concept of plain language summaries to accompany REA studies. (Required by REA) Sharilyn to send Aamjiwnaang traditional territory map. Bob to ensure archeology observer's report(s) from Bornish are forwarded to Sharilyn. (Permission requested.) For community notices, keep the weekly Aamjiwnaang Tribune in mind
2010-08-10	Sharilyn Johnston	R. Waldon	<p>Consultation and Accommodation Protocol received. It is a new protocol outlining Aamjiwnaang process for consultation and important principles to be upheld, including the roles of the three main parties: Aamjiwnaang; Crown and proponent.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review process for Adelaide, Bluwater, Goshen and Jericho.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-10-19	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston	<p>Update e-mail to confirm status of projects and follow-up on items from June 15th meeting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Awaiting permission from Chief Cloud to release Brandy George observer's report. • Bornish and Adelaide filings pending agency sign-offs of studies. • Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho archaeology next season. Orientation day idea still planned. • Requested an update on Aamjiwnaang Aboriginal values statement. • Is any further information needed for Adelaide? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	N/A
2010-10-26	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston	<p>Update on Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho archaeology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Possibility of field work in 2 weeks • Does Aamjiwnaang want to have a field day arranged? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Process 	No reply was received.
2010-11-03	Sharilyn Johnston	R. Waldon	<p>Follow-up e-mail contact to confirm where we are in Adelaide consultation process.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Has Aamjiwnaang made a determination under Step 2 of their protocol, whether further consultation is required? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process 	See 2011-03-24
2010-11-10	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing Adelaide draft Addendum report and EIA as per s. 17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory compliance 	See 2011-03-24
2011-03-14	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston	E-mail concerning archaeological work for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho . Invitation to attend a field meeting prior to work and to confirm monitoring arrangements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	No reply was received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-03-24 2011-04-28	Sharilyn Johnston	Ben Greenhouse	Letter dated March 24, 2011 received April 28, 2011 thanking Ben for information concerning Adelaide wind project and that advising that the information will be forwarded to Chief and Council over the next few weeks and after receiving their direction NextEra will be contacted with advice on the next steps. The letter further stated Aamjiwnaang First Nation continue to assert their Aboriginal and treaty rights over their reserve and traditional territory.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	See "Joint Assessment Committee" process described below (2012-04-23).
2011-05-24	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston	E-Mail advising that the turbine layout for Adelaide and Bornish has had to be changed and that REA field studies will be re-done, including archaeology.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	No reply was received.
2011-06-02	R. Waldon	Wilson Plain Jr.	E-Mail explaining that the Health and Environment Committee extend an invitation to meet at Aamjiwnaang to discuss NextEra projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	2011-06-02 R. Waldon replied with a map of Ontario project locations seeking clarification of which projects the Health and Environment Committee wished to discuss.
2011-06-08	R. Waldon	Wilson Plain Jr.	E-mail to seek clarification of which projects the Health and Environment Committee wished to review.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Due to a death in the community, Mr. Plain has not been able to reply. R. Waldon provided information that Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho projects are at the field study stage, and that Adelaide and Bornish projects field studies are being redone due to layout changes.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-06-09	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Wilson Plain Jr.	E-Mail advising that the archaeology for Adelaide and Bornish will begin next week because ground conditions are now suitable. The proposed monitoring arrangements were also explained.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	No reply was received.
2011-06-20	R. Waldon	Wilson Plain Jr.	Exchange of e-mails confirming that Conestogo and East Durham are NOT in Aamjiwnaang traditional territory and that Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho ARE of interest to the Health and Environment Committee.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	N/A
2011-06-27	R. Waldon	Wilson Plain Jr.	Exchange of e-mails to finalize arrangements for July 19th presentation to Health and Environment Committee.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	N/A
2011-07-19	B. Greenhouse L. Cantave T. Bird R. Waldon	Aamjiwnaang Health and Environment Committee	This meeting included a presentation by NEEC covering all current projects in Ontario and general company information. Discussion included schedules, regulatory process and their status. The Committee raised their concern that First Nations should see benefits from energy developments within their Traditional Territories to create "a better place" for their communities, but they do not. A discussion took place on the general areas that the Committee felt proponents should consider.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEEC to provide a copy of the Epsilon Study NEEC to return to further discuss potential initiatives that could foster "a better place" for Aboriginal communities.
2011-08-09	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Wilson Plain Jr.	E-mail enclosing a summary of Fall 2011 archaeological work plans for all current Ontario projects and offering a field meeting with community representatives.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-10-16	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Wilson Plain Jr.	E-mail enclosing a notice of public meeting for November 10, 2011 transmission line open house for Adelaide, Bornish and Jericho projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Communication 	Sharilyn Johnston attended the open house.
2011-10-24	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Wilson Plain Jr.	E-mail enclosing copy of Epsilon Study Report mentioned at the 2011-07-19 meeting with Health and Environment Committee, and providing web site address for additional health study information.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	No reply was received.
2011-11-02	Tom Bird	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing copy of a notice for the November 10, 2011 transmission line open house for Adelaide, Bornish and Jericho projects, as well as a notice for the December 7 and 8, 2011 Bluewater and Goshen public open houses.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance. 	No reply was received.
2011-11-03	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Wilson Plain Jr.	E-mail mentioning the 2011-11-02 letter to Chief Plain and asking if any additional information is available to be addressed as part of the Renewable Energy Application studies for Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater or Goshen projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply was received.
2011-11-09	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston	Letter enclosing updates to Community Reference Materials Binder containing general project, industry and issues information.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	Delivered to Sharilyn Johnston at November 10, 2011 public open house in Ailsa Craig.
2011-11-11	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Wilson Plain Jr.	E-mail providing information on timing, REA studies and process for Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater and Goshen projects and to explore the possibility of a coordinated review process with other First Nations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Information sharing 	See 2012-01-12 meeting, below.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-11-29	Tom Bird	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing a notice and copy of the site plan, and an updated PDR for the Adelaide project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2011-12-19	Tom Bird	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing a notice and copy of the site plan for the Blewater project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2011-12-21	Tom Bird	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing a notice and copy of the site plan for the Bornish project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2011-12-23	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Wilson Plain Jr., Jared Macbeth, Suzanne Bresette	E-Mail to staff at Aamjiwnaang, Walpole and Kettle & Stoney Point, asking about the possibility of a meeting to discuss a potential collaborative approach for review of the five projects they have in common, namely, Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Blewater and Goshen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	See 2012-01-12
2012-01-12	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette and Phyllis George	Meeting with staff from Aamjiwnaang, Walpole and Kettle & Stoney Point to discuss a potential collaborative approach for review of the five projects they have in common, namely, Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Blewater and Goshen . See meeting notes on file.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Information-sharing 	The current timing of each project was discussed and the reports that will be produced to support their REA applications. Further discussion is required among the communities and additional information from NEEC to advance this initiative.
2012-02-08	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	Phone call to discuss new NextEra First Nation and Métis Relationship policy and progress towards coordinating third party reviews of Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Blewater and Goshen .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Information-sharing 	Draft meeting notes and follow-up information sent to the attendees 2012-01-18. I was only able to reach Jared Macbeth for a discussion and had to leave voicemails for Sharilyn Johnston and Suzanne Bressette. See 2012-02-09.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-02-09	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-mail enclosing new NextEra First Nation and Métis Relationship policy and requesting opportunity to discuss it as well as plans for third party reviews of Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater and Goshen .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Information-sharing 	See 2012-02-12
2012-02-08	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	Follow-up e-mail about coordinating third party reviews of Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater and Goshen including a list of key dates for producing reports and filing the REA's, as well as a list of all reports.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Information-sharing 	See 2012-02-28
2012-02-28	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-mail enclosing a draft capacity funding agreement to support the third party reviews for Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater and Goshen and requesting a meeting to make arrangements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Information-sharing 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.
2012-03-15	Tom Bird	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing copies of all REA reports required to be delivered under Ontario regulation 359/09 for Bluewater .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.
2012-03-19	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-mail to follow-up 2012-0315 letter for Bluewater and proposed coordinated third party review arrangements for Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater and Goshen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.
2012-03-22	Ben Porchuk, Consultant	R. Waldon	E-mail advising that Walpole, Aamjiwnaang and Kettle & Stoney Point have agreed to work together and have Ben Porchuk review the REA reports for Adelaide, Bornish and Bluewater projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	2012-03-22 replied by e-mail asking that the letter-agreement to document scope and terms be completed prior to work beginning.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-03-23	Jared Macbeth	R. Waldon	Jared Macbeth sent a copy of the Ben Porchuk proposal to R. Waldon on behalf of the three communities, now working together as the "Joint Assessment Committee" ("JAC"). The proposal was much broader than a third party review of the REA reports for Adelaide, Bornish and Bluewater .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	2012-03-23 R. Waldon replied to the JAC representatives asking for clarification of the proposal and offering to have the third party reviews begin upon signing the capacity funding letter-agreement.
2012-03-24	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-mail asking for suggestions about a possible "opportunities outreach" initiative for all NextEra projects .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	No reply received.
2012-03-26	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston Wilson Plain Jr.	E-mail enclosing NextEra's Spring 2012 Archaeology Communique with information about field work for all NextEra projects and offering to old a filed orientation meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	No reply received.
2012-03-28	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-Mail to follow-up for letter-agreement to fund capacity for third party reviews of Adelaide, Bornish and Bluewater .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.
2012-04-11	T. Bird	Chief Plain	Letter to follow-up 2012-03-15 enclosing updated NHA, Archaeology reports, Shadow Flicker, Turbine Visualization reports, MTCS and MNTR sign-off letters and Notice of Public Meeting for Bluewater .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Compliance 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-04-11	Sharilyn Johnston	Tom Bird	Acknowledgment letter for receipt of Bluewater REA reports and 2012-03-15 letter advising that it will be reviewed in accordance with Aamjiwnaang's consultation and accommodation protocol and capacity funding may be required.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	See 2012-04-12 The letter was also acknowledged 2012-04-20 as part of the discussion of coordinated third party reviews through the JAC.
2012-04-23	T. Bird	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing a Notice of Public Meeting for the Goshen transmission line and a copy of the updated Goshen PDR.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No response was received.
2012-04-12	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-mail requesting a meeting to discuss: the Ben Porchuk proposal; consultation steps and supporting budgets; and, "Principle 5" of the NextEra First Nations and Métis Relationship Policy, exploring broader relationships for Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	2012-03-12 telephone call with Jared Macbeth, Sharilyn Johnston and Wilson Plain Jr. to discuss the Porchuk proposal and possible approaches to it. Recommendations were sent to NextEra 2012-04-17 for discussion of a possible response.
2012-05-02	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-mail to provide update on response to Porchuk proposal or Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho and request meeting to finalize the scope and funding arrangements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	See meeting 2012-05-08
2012-05-04	T. Bird	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing draft Adelaide Project REA Table 1 Reports, Turbine Visualizations, sign-off letters from MTCs and MNR and Notices of Adelaide Final Open Houses and requesting information as set out in s. 17 of Ontario Regulation 359/09.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	The Adelaide draft REA Table 1 Reports are being reviewed by the Joint Assessment Committee of Aamjiwnaang, Kettle & Stony Point and Walpole.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-05-07	T. Bird	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing Bornish draft REA Table 1 reports, Turbine Visualization, MTCs and MNR sign-off letters and Notice of Public Meeting and requesting comments as per O/Reg 359/09, s. 17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Compliance 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.
2012-05-08	R. Waldon Adam Camp, NextEra	Sharilyn Johnston, Wilson Plain Jr., Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette, Ben Porchuk	Meeting with JAC and consultant Ben Porchuk to discuss and confirm the scope and costs for his proposal. The result was agreement on a third party review of REA reports for Adelaide, Bornish and Bluwater and development of an "Issues List report" to address Ontario Regulation 359/09 s. 17(1)4 for Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Regulatory compliance 	Two draft letter-agreements setting out the two terms of reference and costs were prepared and sent to Walpole Island First Nations, as administrator on behalf of the JAC on 2012-05-14.
2012-05-15	R. Waldon	Jared Macbeth	E-mail enclosing signed copies of the two letter-agreements or Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho for final review and signature on behalf of Walpole Island First Nation as administrator for the JAC.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	Porchuk reviews and reports pending receipt of signed letter-agreements.
2012-05-22	R. Waldon	Dean Jacobs	E-mail offering to assist with processing the two letter-agreements or Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho during Jared Macbeth's absence this week.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	
2012-05-22	T. Bird	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing updated (venue change) Notice of July 11-12 Public Meeting for Adelaide .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2012-05-30	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston	Forwarded draft text for Bluwater Aboriginal consultation report.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply as of date of this report.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-05-30	F. Allen Wiley, VP Development	Allen Deleary, Walpole Island FN, for JAC	Fully signed letter-agreements received for capacity funding of two Joint Assessment Committee ("JAC") third party reports, namely: (a) REA review of Adelaide, Bluewater, Bornish, and Jericho , and (b) Issues List for Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consultation 	Consultant proceeding with scope of work.
2012-07-06	T. Bird	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing notice of second change of venue for Adelaide final public meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Compliance 	No reply was received.
2012-07-24	T. Bird	Chief Plain	Letter enclosing notice of third change of venue for Adelaide final public meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Compliance 	No reply was received as of 2012-08-20.
2012-07-24	R. Waldon	Jared Macbeth (re. Joint Assessment Committee)	E-mail to ask for status update on Ben Porchuk (two) reports for the joint Assessment Committee process on Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater (REA reviews and Issues List), Goshen and Jericho (Issues List only).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	Jared replied that the JAC was meeting with Ben Porchuk on 2012-0727 and would have more information then.
2012-08-01	File Notation		At a meeting with Aamijwnaang Health and Environment department staff that was unrelated to NextEra projects, R. Waldon was given a copy of the "Aamijwnaang First Nations Health and Environment Committee Comments and Concerns on Wind Projects" document. Aamijwnaang staff explained the list of comments and concerns should have been sent as an attachment to previous letters sent to NextEra and is now a standard attachment in response letters. As such, it may apply to all NextEra projects in Aamijwnaang Traditional Territory, namely: Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	A copy of the comments and concerns was forwarded to NextEra and is being reviewed by NextEra and its environmental consultants. Draft responses highlighting available information in the draft Project REA Table 1 Reports will be highlighted and a meeting arranged with Health and Environment Committee to discuss their concerns and seek resolution.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-08-15	Jared Macbeth	R. Waldon (re. Joint Assessment Committee)	E-Mail and phone conversation to confirm that a draft Issues List Report for Adelaide, Bornish, Bluestwater, Goshen and Jericho had been received and would be available for discussion with NextEra after review by JAC, sometime in early September. The status of the Adelaide, Bornish and Bluestwater REA Review Report was not available.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	Follow-up meeting with JAC and NextEra to be arranged in early September.



H.2 Chippewa of the Thames First Nation

Appendixes

Contact Record

Projects: **Adelaide, Bornish and Jericho Wind Energy Centres (Bluewater and Goshen to be confirmed)**

Community: **Chippewas of the Thames** Main Contact: Chief Vaughan Albert (<2009)
Chief R. K. "Joe" Miskokomon

NextEra Energy Canada ULC ("NEEC") proposes to develop, construct and operate a number of wind energy projects in Ontario. This report details all communications with the Aboriginal community identified above, in support of the Ontario Regulation 359/09 Renewable Energy Approval requirement for consulting with Aboriginal peoples. Information is current to the date shown at the bottom of this report. Please contact NEEC for clarification or to receive any available updates.

The projects for which this Aboriginal community has expressed interest, or that have been confirmed by the Director of Renewable Energy Approvals "list of aboriginal communities" are identified above. Please refer to the Project Description Reports and supporting reports as set out in Table 1 of Ontario Regulation 359/09 for information about these projects, potential impacts and proposed mitigation. All available reports may be found at:

<http://www.tcirenewables.com/default.aspx?lang=en&page=projects-ontario>

- **Adelaide** Wind Energy Centre
- <http://www.canadianwindproposals.com>
- **Bluewater** Wind Energy Centre
- **Bornish** Wind Energy Centre
- **Goshen** Wind Energy Centre
- **Jericho** Wind Energy Centre
- (Potential and to be confirmed) **Summerhaven** Wind Energy Centre

*Please note that this report summarizes all communications related to this Aboriginal Community, which may include more than one NEEC project. Such an overview is important because information-sharing and knowledge-building about wind energy, as well as relationship building with communities takes place across all projects. This report is therefore an overall summary of contacts with this Aboriginal community, their purpose and content. **Issues that are specific to a single project, however, are identified.***

discussed and reported in the individual project Aboriginal Consultation report, which forms part of NEEC's Renewable Energy Application under Ontario Regulation 359/09.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
Late 2007	NEEC	Band Administration	Initial contact to introduce NEEC (then FPLE) and its projects in Lambton, Middlesex and Huron Counties. This would have included Bornish and the then current Canadian Green Power Projects. Attempt to set-up initial meeting for a project overview.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Introductory contact 	Meeting planned for January 24, 2008 at the Four Winds Centre, Kettle and Stoney Point First Nation.
2008-01-29	M. DeBock, Project Director, NEEC (then FPLE Canadian Wind LP)	Chief Albert	Letter sent to Chief Albert: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> enclosing copy of presentation intended to be given at the January 24th meeting; offering to continue dialogue; Enclosing public notices and inviting attendance at Public Information Centres of February 19 and 20, 2008 and offering to meet on those dates, or at another time. Advising that a second public information centre will be held after completion of the environmental studies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Information-sharing 	An introductory meeting was scheduled for January 24, 2008 at the Four Winds Centre, Kettle Point First Nation. The invited First Nations representatives were not able to attend. Jason Henry (Genivar) to follow-up to schedule another meeting.
2008-03-02	TCi Renewables	General	Adelaide Notice of Commencement of ESR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply on record.
2008-09-25	Mark Gallagher, TCi	Chief Vaughan (s/b Albert)	Letter enclosing a project brief and map for Adelaide and requesting the community's participation in the environmental screening process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information-sharing 	No reply on record.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2009-02-23	Brett O'Connor, TCi	Chief Miskokomon	Letter advising Adelaide was not successful in RES-III but that the ESR will be prepared. Comments on project requested and a meeting to discuss the project was offered.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply on record.
2009-06-08	TCi Renewables	General	Notice of Completion for Adelaide ESR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply on record.
2009-10-26	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Miskokomon	Letter delivered enclosing draft project description reports and executive summary as per s. 17 REA for Bornish .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply on record.
2009-11-02	David Miller	Dwayne Kechego, Economic Development Officer	Draft REA documents delivered in person. Discussion about the project in general. Dwayne indicated the project is within the Chippewas traditional territory, but explained that his interest in the project was focused on the potential opportunities for employment, contracting, and capacity development.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	David Miller to arrange an introductory meeting between Dwayne Kechego and Ben Greenhouse.
2009-11-20	Tom Bird, NEEC	"Chief Albert" [Note: Misaddressed. s/b Chief Miskokomon]	Letter mailed enclosing notice of application for Bornish Renewable Energy Approval and December 7 Public Information Centre.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	The letter was not re-issued because there was ongoing communication with Dwayne Kechego and because a follow-up letter, correctly addressed, was sent 2010-01-25.
2010-01-14	Mark Gallagher, TCi	"Chief Vaughan" [Note: Misaddressed. s/b Chief Miskokomon]	E-mail explaining the transition to the REA process and advising of the forthcoming public open house.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Information-sharing 	Incorrect name of Chief brought to TCi's attention. No reply on record.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-01-25	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Miskokomon	Letter requesting a response in accordance with s. 17 of the REA for Bornish	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	Letter delivered electronically 2010-02-01
2010-02-18	David Miller	Dwayne Kechego, Economic Development Officer	Phone call to follow-up on the 2010-01-25 letter. Mr. Kechego requested to make a presentation at an upcoming Economic Development meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Meeting tentatively scheduled for April 2010.
2010-02-19	David Miller	Dwayne Kechego, Economic Development Officer	Follow-up	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Message left to try and confirm April meeting.
2010-03-10	David Miller	Dwayne Kechego, Economic Development Officer	E-mail requesting confirmation of a meeting date to make presentation requested by Chippewas of the Thames Economic Development committee.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply.
2010-03-22	Mark Gailgher, TCi	Chief "Albert Vaghaun" [In-correctly addressed.]	Joint TCi NEEC letter advising that the Adelaide REA would be filed in a few weeks and requesting any information that should be addressed in the REA documentation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	Brought name error to TCi's attention and they acknowledged it.
2010-03-23	David Miller	Dwayne Kechego, Economic Development Officer	E-mail requesting confirmation there are no comments on Bornish REA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply on record.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
Dated as of 2010-06-04	Nicole Geneau	Raymond Deleary	Community Reference Binder that included draft project description reports for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho and a map of all current NEEC projects in southwestern Ontario	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	Delivered 2010-06-15
2010-06-04	Bob Waldon	Raymond Deleary	Eldon French Band Manager explained that Raymond Deleary is handling all consultation files. An e-mail was sent to Mr. Deleary to request a meeting to review the NEEC Community Reference binder and determine which projects are of interest to Chippewas of the Thames.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply received.
2010-06-14	Bob Waldon	Raymond Deleary	Two calls made to Band office and one to Mr. Deleary's cell number to attempt to arrange a meeting to deliver the Community Reference binder and clarify the extend of Chippewas of the Thames traditional territory.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Mr. Deleary was booked in meetings but requested that Community Reference Binder be dropped off and that we would meet sometime thereafter.
2010-06-15	Bob Waldon	Raymond Deleary	Community Reference Binder delivered to Band Administration office with draft project descriptions of Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information-sharing 	E-mailed Raymond Deleary on 2010-06-16 as a follow-up to ask for a telephone conversation to go through the Community Reference Binder and clarify the Chippewas of the Thames interests in all NEEC projects.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-06-17	Raymond Deleary	R. Waldon	<p>In a telephone call, Mr. Deleary advised that the Bornish, Adelaide and Jericho projects appeared to be within traditional territory ("TT") of the Chippewas of the Thames. He described the TT as running from the mouth of the Thames River to its source, between Lakes Erie and Huron. A TT map is not yet available. He advised that work is underway respecting a land claim for future reserve land but was not at liberty to describe the area. He described the key interests in the project:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential land claim pending • Archaeological information is of high importance. Need to know what, if any artifacts are found, and their disposition. • There would be concern if migratory bird species that are harvested by community members are affected by project. • Not aware of any cultural or spiritual values to be considered in REA studies. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	<p>Advise NEEC concerning the issues identified for consideration as part of natural heritage and archaeology. (Done June 21)</p> <p>Ray Deleary to confirm only Adelaide, Bornish and Jericho are of interest within their traditional territory.</p>
2010-08-13 (Receipt date)	Raymond Deleary, Policy Analyst	Mark Gallagher	<p>Undated letter from Chippewas of the Thames confirming interest in Adelaide. Letter explained the Chippewas of the Thames reside on lands never ceded to the Crown and their traditional territory prior to european contact stretched from the eastern states to the Great Lakes.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing • Process 	<p>Chippewas of the Thames consultation staff to review Adelaide documentation and were to follow-up with a letter indicating their interest.</p>

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-10-26	R. Waldon	Chief Miskokomon Raymond Deleary	E-mail to explain intention to commence archaeological field work for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho projects in 2 weeks and asking if Chippewas of the Thames wish to participate in a field meeting and the possibility of a single monitor for all interested communities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Communication 	No reply received.
2010-11-04	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	Follow-up e-mail to ask if further information was forthcoming in regard to the 2010-08-13 letter to Mark Gallagher. Chippewas of the Thames consultation staff were to review Adelaide documentation and were to follow-up with a letter indicating their interest. Also inquired about interest in using a single independent monitor for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho archaeology.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Communication 	See 2010-11-15 entry
2010-11-10	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Miskokomon	Letter enclosing Adelaide draft Addendum report and EIA as per s. 17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information-sharing 	No reply received.
2010-11-15	Raymond Deleary	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary replied and requested possible dates for a meeting. R., Waldon replied same date by e-mail asking what topics to be covered to ensure correct people are in attendance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply received to 2010-11-15 e-mail.
2010-12-09	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	E-mail follow-up to 2010-11-15 asking for a meeting date.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	See 2010-12-12 and 13

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-01-12	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	E-Mail exchange to try and schedule meeting to discuss Bornish and Adelaide and also anticipated archaeology work for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	2010-12-13 Raymond Deleary replied with proposed December 20th or 21st, which was not possible. R. Waldon suggested a 2010-12-17 telephone call to make a start. No reply received.
2011-03-14	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	E-mail enclosing description for Spring 2011 archaeology work in particular reference to Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information-sharing 	No reply received.
2011-05-24	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	E-mail explaining that Adelaide and Bornish projects have had site plan changes and REA studies are being amended. Offered to meet and discuss process and third party review.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information-sharing 	No reply received.
2011-05-25	Raymond Deleary	Ben Greenhouse	Identical letter to 2010-08-13 but addressed for Bornish project and advising that Chippewas of the Thames would review the application and advise NEEC of their interests.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	See 2011-06-01
2011-06-01	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	E-mail following up on the 2011-05-25 letter to Ben Greenhouse offering to meet or provide additional information.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	No reply received.
2011-06-08	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	E-mail to confirm archaeology work for Adelaide and Bornish will proceed next week and that independent monitoring will be provided.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	No reply received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-08-09	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	E-mail and communique describing Fall 2011 archaeology work for Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen, Jericho Conestogo, East Durham and Summerhaven and inviting participation in a field meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	No reply received.
2011-08-09	Raymond Deleary	Tom Bird, NEEC	Three letters received individually addressed for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho with identical text to the 2010-08-13 and 2011-05-25 letters stating that Chippewas of the Thames would confirm any interest in the projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	See the following record of communications.
2011-09-08	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	E-Mail in reply to the three letters to Tom Bird asking if an information update and/or meeting would be possible to discuss all current NEEC projects .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	No reply received.
2011-10-16	R. Waldon	Chief Miskokomon Raymond Deleary	E-mail forwarding a copy of the Notice of Open House for the transmission line route change in respect of Adelaide, Bornish and Jericho	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory compliance 	No reply received.
2011-10-17	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	Voicemail and E-mail to asking if Raymond was available 2010-10-19 to discuss all NextEra projects of interest to Chippewas of the Thames.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	No reply received. I also dropped in to the Chippewas of the Thames Administration office on 2012-10-19 but Raymond was unavailable.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-11-02	T. Bird	Chief Miskokomon	Letter enclosing copies of: 1. Notice of Public Meetings for transmission line changes for Adelaide, Bornish and Jericho 2. Notice of Open House 1 in Bluewater and Huron East for Goshen and Bluewater 3. Updated PDR's for Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance. 	See replies 2012-03-22
2011-11-03	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	E-Mail to follow-up on 2011-11-02 letter and offering to meet and plan for review of the draft REA Table 1 reports. Suggested working together with Waipole, Aamjwnaang and Kettle and Stony Point who were considering a committee, and explained that NextEra will provide capacity assistance for technical reviews.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Process 	No reply received.
2011-11-29	T. Bird	Chief Miskokomon	Letter enclosing Notice of Site Plan and draft Site Plan for Adelaide .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply received.
2011-12-19	T. Bird	Chief Miskokomon	Letter enclosing Notice of Site Plan and draft Site Plan for Bluewater .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply received.
2011-12-23	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary, Jared Macbeth, Sharilyn Johnston and Suzanne Bressette	Joint e-mail to four First Nations concerning the possibility of working together to review the five projects they have in common, namely, Adelaide, Bluewater, Bornish, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply received from Chippewas of the Thames
2011-12-21	T. Bird	Chief Miskokomon	Letter enclosing Notice of Site Plan and draft Site Plan for Bornish .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-01-10	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	E-mail forwarding copy of 2012-01-06 request to Jared Macbeth, Sharilyn Johnston and Suzanne Bressette for a meeting to discuss timing of REA reports for Adelaide, Bluewater, Bornish, Goshen and Jericho , the possibility of a joint review and capacity assistance.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply received.
2012-01-25	Raymond Deleary	Derek Dudek	Five identical (form) response letters in regard to Notices received for Adelaide, Bluewater, Bornish, Goshen and Jericho explaining the projects fall within traditional territory and requesting that Raymond Deleary be contacted to discuss the proposals.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	See 2012-02-06
2012-02-06	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	Phone call in response to letters sent to Derek Dudek. Raymond was not available so I had to leave a voicemail explaining the reason for my call and asking that he contact me to discuss all NextEra projects .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	See 2012-02-28

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-02-28	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	<p>Telephone call for Adelaide, Bluewater, Bornish, Goshen and Jericho.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Chippewas of the Thames is increasing its consultation staff Raymond was open to the idea of joining the other three First Nations in the technical review. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Process 	<p>I followed by e-mail up with additional information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NextEra project location map Copy of NextEra First nation and Métis Relationship Policy List of projects and key milestone dates for delivery of REA reports, etc. <p>I also copied Raymond on 2012-02-28 and 2012-03-19 e-mails to the three other First Nations concerning the joint review, including a copy of a proposed capacity funding agreement.</p>
2012-03-15	T. Bird	Chief Miskokomon	Letter enclosing draft REA Table 1 Reports for Bluewater	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Compliance 	No reply received.
2012-03-22	Raymond Deleary	T. Bird (1 letter) and derek Dudek (2 letters)	<p>Three identical (form) response letters received dated 2012-01-30 in regard to Notices received for Adelaide, Bluewater and Bornish explaining the projects fall within traditional territory and requesting that Raymond Deleary be contacted to discuss the proposals. The letters were identical to those received by Derek Dudek 2012-01-25.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	2102-03-24 R. Waldon e-mailed Raymond Deleary in response to the three letters asking what assistance can be provided to move things forward.
2012-03-24	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	E-Mail asking for advice on how to approach a proposed "Opportunities Outreach" program for all NextEra projects with Chippewas of the Thames	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-03-26	R. Waldon	Raymond Deleary	E-Mail enclosing copy of NextEra Spring 2011 Archaeological Communique and offering a field orientation meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information sharing 	No reply received
2012-03-30	Rolanda Elijah	NextEra Toll Free line	Rolanda Elijah contacted NextEra to advise that she was the new consultation coordinator.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	2012-03-30 R. Waldon returned Rolanda Elijah's call but she was not available. A message was left explaining my role and asking to speak with Rolanda about all NextEra projects .
2012-04-02	Rolanda Elijah	R. Waldon	Telephone call discussing: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rolanda's new role • Overview of Adelaide, Bluewater, Bornish, Goshen and Jericho status 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Process 	A follow-up e-mail was sent 2012-04-02 enclosing NextEra First Nation and Métis Relationship Policy and proposed agenda for 2012-05-02 meeting.
2012-04-11	T. Bird	Chief Miskokomon	Letter to follow-up 2012-03-15 enclosing updated NHA, Archaeology reports, Shadow Flicker, Turbine Visualization reports, MTCS and MNTR sign-off letters and Notice of Public Meeting for Bluewater	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory Compliance 	No reply was received.
2012-04-23	T. Bird	Chief Miskokomon	Letter enclosing a Notice of Public Meeting for the Goshen transmission line and a copy of the updated Goshen PDR .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory compliance 	No response was received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-05-02	R. Waldon	Rolanda Elijah Valin Bud Danny Deleary Clint Couchie	Meeting with Chippewas of the Thames new consultation team to discuss Adelaide, Bluewater, Bornish, Goshen and Jericho and specifically: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • REA process • Current project status and timelines for key milestones • NextEra policy • Possibility of joint review with Walpole, Aamjiwnaang and Kettle & Stony • Community reference Materials binder • Chippewas of the Tames staff question about potential cumulative effects from may wind farms • Upcoming community events in June that will be a priority • Potential Chippewas of the Thames traditional territory interest in Summerhaven (pending research) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Process 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Forward pdf copy of NextEra project location map (done) • Chippewas of the Thames to provide any information on exiting or potential claims vis-a-vis project locations • Forward copy of Director's List for Summerhaven (done) • Send copies of Summerhaven REA reports to Rolanda (requested from NextEra) • Rolanda to contact Walpole, Aamjiwnaang and Kettle & Stony Point re joint assessment.
2012-05-04	T. Bird	Chief Miskokomon	Letter enclosing Adelaide draft REA Table 1 reports, Turbine Visualization, MTCS and MNR sign-off letters and Notice of Public Meeting and requesting comments as per O/Reg 359/09, s. 17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory Compliance 	No reply received
2012-05-07	T. Bird	Chief Miskokomon	Letter enclosing Bornish draft REA Table 1 reports, Turbine Visualization, MTCS and MNR sign-off letters and Notice of Public Meeting and requesting comments as per O/Reg 359/09, s. 17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory Compliance 	No reply received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-05-15	R. Waldon	Rolanda Elijah	E-Mail follow-up to confirm that the Waipole, Aamjiwnaang, Kettle & Stony joint review process for Adelaide, Bluewater, Bornish, Goshen and Jericho will be proceeding and that Chippewas of the Thames would be very welcome to participate.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply received.
2012-05-22	T. Bird	Chief Miskokomon	Letter enclosing updated (venue change) Notice of July 11-12 Public Meeting for Adelaide .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2012-05-30	R. Waldon	Rolanda Elijah	E-mail enclosing a copy of the draft text for the Bluewater Aboriginal consultation report for review and comment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Rolanda replied confirming how busy she was and that she would try to reply as soon as possible.
2012-06-06	Phyllis Rauws-George	R. Waldon	E-mail explaining that Phyllis has been hired as COTTFN's renewable energy representative. Her role is to ensure COTTFN's interests are protected and specifically asked about status of Bluewater project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	R. Waldon replied by e-mail the same day, enclosing a map showing all NextEra projects and offering to meet or speak by phone to discuss their status. Asked how much information had been passed on from Rolanda Elijah and if COTTFN would consider joining the Aamjiwnaang-Waipole-Kettle & Stony Point Joint Assessment Committee. No reply received as of June 22, 2012.
2012-07-06	T. Bird	Chief Miskokomon	Letter enclosing notice of second change of venue for Adelaide final public meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Compliance 	No reply was received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-07-24	T. Bird	Chief Miskokomon	Letter enclosing notice of third change of venue for Adelaide final public meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory Compliance 	No reply was received as of 2012-08-20.
2012-07-30	Phyllis Rauws-George, COTTFN	R. Waldon	Telephone call from Phyllis Rauws-George asking what consultation action have been taken with COTTFN to date for all NextEra projects .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing • Process 	<p>During the telephone call, R. Waldon provided a brief overview of all efforts to consult COTTFN to date and current project status. The possibility of COTTN joining the Walpole-Kettle and Stony - Aamjiwnaang Joint Assessment Committee for Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho was also discussed. As a follow-up, R. Waldon sent an e-mail with a map of all NextEra Ontario FIT projects and the table of key dates, requesting Phyllis' advice on the next steps. No reply has been received as of August 20, 2012.</p>



H.3 Haudenosaunee Confederacy Chiefs Council

Appendixes

Contact Record

Projects: Adelaide, Bluewater, Bornish, Conestogo, East Durham, Goshen, Jericho and Summerhaven Wind Energy Centres

Communities:	Six Nations Haudenosaunee Confederacy Council (Haudenosaunee)	Main Contacts:	Chief A. MacNaughton Haudenosaunee Confederacy Council; Chief Bomberry, Haudenosaunee Confederacy Council Leroy Hill, Secretary Six Nations Confederacy Council; Hazel Hill, Acting Secretary Haudenosaunee Development Institute; Aaron Detlor, Haudenosaunee Development Institute
---------------------	--	-----------------------	---

NextEra Energy Canada ULC (“NEEC”) proposes to develop, construct and operate a number of wind energy projects in Ontario. This report details all communications with the Aboriginal community identified above, in support of the Ontario Regulation 359/09 Renewable Energy Approval requirement for consulting with Aboriginal peoples. Information is current to the date shown at the bottom of this report. Please contact NEEC for clarification or to receive any available updates.

The projects for which this Aboriginal community has expressed interest, or that have been confirmed by the Director of Renewable Energy Approvals “list of aboriginal communities” are identified above.

Please note that contacts with **Tyendenaga Mohawk (Mohawk of the Bay of Quinte), Mohawk of Akwesasne and Wahta Mohawk**, who were identified on the Director’s List for **Summerhaven**, are included in this summary. **Oneida Council of Chiefs or Onyota a:ka Lotiyaneshu** are summarized within the Oneida of the Thames contact report.

Please refer to the Project Description Reports and supporting reports as set out in Table 1 of Ontario Regulation 359/09 for information about these projects, potential impacts and proposed mitigation. All available reports may be found at:

<http://www.NextEraEnergyCanada.com>

- **Adelaide** Wind Energy Centre
- **Bluewater** Wind Energy Centre
- **Bornish** Wind Energy Centre
- **Conestogo** Wind Energy Centre

- **East Durham** Wind Energy Centre
- **Goshen** Wind Energy Centre
- **Jericho** Wind Energy Centre
- **Summerhaven** (formerly **Nanticoke**) Wind Energy Centre

*Please note that this report summarizes all communications related to this Aboriginal Community, which may include more than one NEEC project. Such an overview is important because information-sharing and knowledge-building about wind energy, as well as relationship building with communities takes place across all projects. This report is therefore an overall summary of contacts with this Aboriginal community, their purpose and content. **Issues that are specific to a single project, however, are identified, discussed and reported in the individual project Aboriginal Consultation report, which forms part of NEEC's Renewable Energy Application under Ontario Regulation 359/09.***

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2007-02-07	Sunil Kumar, Genivar	Rhonda Jamieson	<p>Fax of a marked up map in response to a request to show the Conestogo and East Luther study areas in relation to the Six Nations claim of the Halidimand Tract.</p> <p>Sunil Kumar requested a meeting on behalf of NEEC with Leroy Hill, Secretary, Six Nations Confederacy during the week of February 20, 2007.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	See 2007-03-03
2007-02-20	Nancy Cown, NEEC Sunil Kumar, GENIVAR	Leroy Hill and other members of Haudenosaun ee Confederacy Council	Meeting to discuss Conestogo and East Luther projects (East Luther project was later withdrawn).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General information and introduction. 	N/A
2007-02-23	Nancy Cowan NEEC	Leroy Hill, Secretary Faxed to Kate Cave March 6, 2007	Letter sent thanking Mr. Hill for February 20th meeting and requesting a meeting with the full Confederacy Council on March 3, 2007 about Conestogo .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	See 2007-03-03

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2007-03-03	Nancy Cowan Michael DeBock	Six Nations Confederacy Council	<p>Presentation made to Six Nations Confederacy Council for Conestogo and East Luther.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Confederacy Council and Elected Council are separate authorities. Agreed that the presentation did not constitute formal consultation or approval from Six Nations to proceed with our project. This meeting was only the beginning of the requirement to respectfully address the land rights and concerns of the Six Nations. Request made for NEEC to support their land claim efforts, which could not be agreed to. NEEC to remain neutral and independent to their current efforts. First Nations are not a stakeholder, given their position that they are the landowner in this process. Council was considering a document that would eventually be published which would indicate how developers would need to support the Six Nations. Invitation made for NEEC presentation in concert with the March 7 PIC. NEEC asked if they would be willing to study the wind in Ohsweken 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Follow-up with elected council and make the same presentation. Obtain document on how to support Six Nations once available from Confederacy Council
2007-03-12	Michael DeBock NEEC	Leroy Hill, Secretary Copied to Kate Cave (SNEC)	<p>Letter e-mailed thanking Six Nations Confederacy Council for allowing presentation on March 3 and offering continued dialogue. Copy of presentation dealing with Conestogo and East Luther enclosed with letter.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply on record.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2008-01-24	Not recorded	Not recorded	Presentation to Council [NOTE: entry based on 2008-01-24 power point presentation titled, "Presentation To Six Nations of the Grand River", but PPT file name is "Six Nations Confederacy"] Presentation given on all current Canadian Green Power projects (Bornish , Strathroy A, B and C) and the Phase II lands.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	None on record.
2008-09-30	AET TCi Renewables	Six Nations Elected Council Six Nations Confederacy Council	Notice of Commencement for Nanticoke (now named Summerhaven) mailed for information purposes. The notice included an invitation to participate in the upcoming environmental screening process, an overview of AET TCi Renewables, the Nanticoke Project and its study area.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Information-sharing 	No reply on record.
2009-06-02	Mark Gallagher, TCi	Leroy Hill	Telephone call to request discussion about TCi's Nanticoke project. Leroy Hill was not available and Mark Gallagher left a voice mail.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply on record.
2009-07-10	Ben Greenhouse NEEC	Leroy Hill, Secretary Six Nations Confederacy Council	Letter asking for an opportunity to introduce NEEC projects in southwestern Ontario (Summerhaven) and discuss how members of the community might be involved in development of the farm [farms?]. Offer made to speak to Council and/or hold a community meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Follow-up for reply to offer for meeting and open house.
2009-07-28	Mark Gallagher, TCi	Leroy Hill	In a telephone call with Leroy Hill, Mark Gallagher was directed to speak to Aaron Detlor and Hazel Hill of Haudenosaunee Development Institute ("HDI") about the TCi Nanticoke Project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	See entry next line.
2009-07-28	Mark Gallagher, TCi	Hazel Hill	Call made by Mark Gallagher to ask if HDI had an interest in the Nanticoke Project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply on record.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2009-07-31	Hazel Hill, HDI	Mark Gallagher, TCI	Hazel Hill called to discuss the TCI Nanticoke Project and advised she would sent him a consultation process document for developers.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	The process was followed-up by NextEra including third party reviews and numerous meetings concerning all NextEra projects .
2009-09-29	AET TCI Renewables	Clan Mothers	Letter sent advising Clan Mothers that TCI had scheduled a meeting with HDI about the TCI Nanticoke project and requesting a meeting about the project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply on record.
2009-10-08	AET TCI Renewables	Director, REA MOE	Request for the Director's List or confirmation of list previously provided by INAC (enclosed with request).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	
2009-10-09	Mark Gallagher, TCI Renewables Inc.; Juli Aouchar, Wilms Shier; Tuesday Johnson-MacDonald, TAP Resources	Hazel Hill, Haudenosaunee Development Institute ("HDI") Chief Bomberry Aaron Detlor	Meeting to discuss TCI Renewables Inc. Nanticoke (called " Summerhaven " by NEEC). Discussion included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> HDI development application process HDI claims to Nanticoke Project Area Archaeological assessment work HDI assert claim to harvest and hunting rights in Project Area under 1701 Nanfan Treaty 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Process 	No record that follow-up was required
2009-10-21	Mark Gallagher, TCI	Hazel Hill, HDI	Call or message left to provide an update on archaeology work for TCI's Nanticoke project. Two observers from Six Nations Eco-Centre have been recruited to participate in field work.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Hazel Hill was requested to advise if HDI had any concern with this approach
2009-11-19	Mark Gallagher, TCI Renewables Inc.	Hazel Hill, HDI	Letter sent enclosing a notice of Public Open House for TCI's Nanticoke project (Summerhaven), including a map of the study area, which extends into the Haldimand Tract at the northeast corner. Mark Gallagher requested written comments on Nanticoke (Summerhaven) project as is required under the Ontario REA process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Regulatory compliance 	The process was followed-up by NextEra including third party reviews and numerous meetings concerning all NextEra projects .

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2009-11-03	Mark Gallagher	Hazel Hill, HDI	E-mail to follow-up from 2009-10-21 message, asking if there was someone HDI wished TCi to liaise with concerning Nanticoke archaeological field work.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	An independent Haudenosaunee monitor was arranged in 2011.
2009-11-19	AET TCi Renewables	Hazel Hill, HDI	Letter mailed enclosing a copy of the combined Notice of Public Meeting and Proposal to Engage in a Renewable Energy Project together with a copy of the draft Project Description Report. The letter requested any information the community had available that should be considered in preparing the Project Application.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory compliance • Information-sharing 	No reply was received.
2009-12-10	Hazel Hill, Interim Director, HDI	Mark Gallagher Brett O'Connor, TCi Renewables	Letter explaining HDI development application process and enclosing a sample Memorandum of Understanding to be signed by TCi and returned along with application and review fees.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process 	Technical level meeting held March 8, 2010 as a follow-up.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2010-03-03	Howard Elijah, Secretary, Oneida Council of Chiefs	Ben Greenhouse	<p>Letter from Oneida Council of Chiefs on behalf of the Haudenosaunee and stating with support of the elected of Council Oneida of Thames.</p> <p>The letter stated that, "The Oneida Council of Chiefs is communicating with you about this proposal on behalf of the Haudenosaunee (Iroquois) Confederacy. In doing so, we are supported by the elected council of the Oneida Nation of the Thames. We intend to ensure there is no confusion about our authority to work with you, and that you will not be required to duplicate your efforts with other Haudenosaunee communities or governments."</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Council strongly support use of sustainable resources and recognized the project as consistent with their views on conservation and respect for the natural world. • Council of Chiefs' assert a treaty right under 1701 Nantfan Treaty and subsequent 1726 and 1755 clarifications. • The Treaty sets apart an area, including the Project area, for what today would be termed economic activities. • The Duty to Consult and accommodate rests with the Crown and cannot be delegated • The Haudenosaunee have a right to participate in any benefits that result from the Project <p>The letter was copied to Assistant Deputy Ministers at MOE, MOEI and MAA, but not to Oneida Nation or Six Nations elected councils.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Rights assertion • Information-sharing • Process 	<p>See 2010-06-03.</p> <p>It was not clear if the letter only related to Bornish or whether other NextEra projects were intended to be included. A clarification was requested by return correspondence.</p>

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2010-03-08	Mark Gallagher Ben Grenhouse David Miller Bob Waldon	Chief Mike Bomberry Chief Alfred Day Aaron Detlor, HDI Elder Brian Dolittle Hazel Hill, HDI Chief Ron Thomas	Meeting arranged by Willms Shier, counsel to TCI Renewables with Haudenosaunee Development Institute ("HDI"), to discuss various TCI and NEEC projects and proposed application process and fees. It was agreed to be held as a technical level information-sharing meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	After the meeting, the 2010-03-03 letter (above) was received from the Oneida Council of Chiefs stating that they would be taking the lead on behalf of all Haudenosaunee governments and communities. It was assumed that the letter referred to the Bornish project and a confirmation was requested on 2010-06-03.
2010-03-24	Doris Dumais, MOE	Tom Bird, NEEC	<p>Director's letter received confirming the Communities to be consulted for Bornish</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Six Nations Confederacy Council and Oneida Council of Chiefs were NOT on the Director's list of communities to be consulted. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	Six Nations Confederacy Council and Oneida Council of Chiefs have asserted their interests in the Nanfan Treaty lands, which encompasses all current NEEC projects. Oneida have further claimed a treaty right for economic activities in Nanfan. NEEC is continuing to engage with both governments, and have been directly advised by Haudenosaunee Development Institute to submit an application to HDI in this regard, as the delegated staff secretariat to the Confederacy Council.
2010-06-03	Ben Greenhouse	Secretary Howard Elijah, Oneida Council of Chiefs	Requesting confirmation that the 2010-03-03 letter was intended to apply only to Bornish , which Haudenosaunee governments Oneida takes the lead for, and also to clarify what impact Bornish may have on Haudenosaunee asserted treaty rights for economic activities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information-sharing 	No reply received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2010-06-04	Nicole Geneau, NEEC	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing NEEC Community Reference Binder that included map showing all projects in southwestern Ontario as well as draft Project Description Reports for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2010-06-04	Nicole Geneau, NEEC	Hazel Hill	Letter enclosing NEEC Community Reference Binder that included map showing all projects in southwestern Ontario as well as draft Project Description Reports for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2010-09-03	Nicole Geneau, NEEC	Hazel Hill	Letter enclosing NEEC Community Reference Binder that included map showing all projects in southwestern Ontario as well as draft Project Description Reports for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2010-09-03	Nicole Geneau, NEEC	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing NEEC Community Reference Binder that included map showing all projects in southwestern Ontario as well as draft Project Description Reports for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	No reply was received.
2010-09-14	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Telephone call to confirm courier address for the Confederacy Council to deliver Conestogo REA documents. Ms Hill definitively stated: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any project in the 1701 Nantana Treaty area is to be submitted to HDI for review A \$7,000 application fee is required There is a new application process and form 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	Hazel Hill to forward new HDI process document and application form.
2010-09-14	T. Rasmussen, GENIVAR	Chief McNaughton	Letter delivered enclosing all REA studies for Conestogo and requesting response as per s. 17	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	A third party review of the REA studies was arranged by HDI, but the reviewer's report was not provided to NextEra. See below.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2010-09-24	Hazel Hill, HDI	R. Waldon	Letter enclosing new HDI Development Protocol, accompanying map and HDI Application for Consideration and Engagement for Development. Ms. Hill explained that HDI has been legislated to represent the interests of the Haudenosaunee Confederacy Chiefs Council in development of lands over which Haudenosaunee have rights, including but not limited to the Haldimand Tract.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	Review application and take appropriate action to address the information and process it requires.
2010-09-29	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail to advise Summerhaven REA studies will be delivered and requesting a call to clarify information requested in the HDI application.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Process 	See 2010-11-02
2010-10-01	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Telephone call to obtain further clarification on HDI fee and process and left a message on Ms. Hill's voicemail to explain the reason for my call.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	See 2010-11-02
2010-10-01 Delivered 2010-10-04	T. Bird, NEEC	Chief McNaughton	Letter delivered enclosing all REA studies for Summerhaven and requesting response as per s. 14 and s. 17 of O/Reg 359/09	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2010-10-06	Tom Bird	Chief R. Donald Maracle	Letter delivered to Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte enclosing all REA studies for Summerhaven and requesting response as per s. 14 and s. 17 of O/Reg 359/09	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory assurance 	No reply was received.
2010-10-08	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail to follow-up voicemail from 2010-10-01 asking for clarification of what activities are supported by the HDI fee.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	See 2010-11-02
2010-10-18	R. Waldon	Leroy Hill	Telephone call to Haudenosaunee Resource Centre as a result of information received that there may be a change in the review process involving HDI.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply was received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2010-10-25	Doris Dumais, MOE	Tom Bird, NEEC	Director's List received confirming the communities to be consulted for Summerhaven. The List included Six Nations Confederacy Council, Mohawk of Akwesasne, Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte and Wahta Mohawks as well as the Oneida of the Thames (an elected Band council).	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	Follow-up with MOE staff provided explanation that Mohawk communities included Contacts made with Mohawk governments (staff level) to clarify extent of their interests in Summerhaven .
2010-10-27	Tom Bird	Chief Donald Maracle	Letter to Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte enclosing Summerhaven REA documents and requesting response as per s. 14 and s. 17 of Ontario Regulation 359/09.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information-sharing 	No reply was received.
2010-10-27	Tom Bird	Chief Michael Mitchell	(Undated) Letter to Mohawks of Akwesasne enclosing Summerhaven REA documents and requesting response as per s. 14 and s. 17 of Ontario Regulation 359/09.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information-sharing 	No reply was received.
2010-10-27	Tom Bird	Chief Blaine Commandant	Letter to Wahta Mohawks enclosing Summerhaven REA documents and requesting response as per s. 14 and s. 17 of Ontario Regulation 359/09.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information-sharing 	See 2010-12-14
2010-10-28	Tom Bird	Chief Michael Mitchell	Letter to Mohawk of Akwesasne enclosing paper copies of the Summerhaven REA reports.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory assurance 	No reply was received.
2010-10-28	Tom Bird	Chief Donald Maracle	Letter to Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte enclosing paper copies of the Summerhaven REA reports.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory assurance 	No reply was received.
2010-10-28	Tom Bird	Chief Blaine Commandant	Letter to Wahta Mohawk enclosing paper copies of the Summerhaven REA reports.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory assurance 	See 2010-12-14

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2010-11-01	R. Waldon	Chief McNaughton	Telephone call to Haudenosaunee Confederacy Council office as a result of information received that there may be a change in the review process involving HDI.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process question 	No answer and no reply received.
2010-11-02	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Ms. Hill explained the HDI fee covers costs for their review and reporting on proponents projects including external review of studies by technical experts, a community meeting and reporting to the confederacy council. Ms. Hill also indicated Oneida Council of Chiefs may take the lead on the Bornish and Adelaide projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	Capacity funding arranged.
2010-11-08	R. Waldon	H. Lickers, Mohawk of Akwesansne Department of Environment	Phone call and e-mail to clarify Mohawk of Akwesansne interest in Summerhaven . Subject to leadership review, the file may be referred back to Six Nations Confederacy Council. Although Mohawks may have an interest in the Nanfan Treaty lands, they may not have an interest in the project, per se. It is too far away.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information-sharing 	No further reply was received.
2010-11-08	R. Waldon	Kristin Maracle, Environment Officer, Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte	Phone call and e-mail to clarify Mohawk of Bay of Quinte interest in Summerhaven . Subject to leadership review, the file may be referred back to Six Nations Confederacy Council. Although Mohawks may have an interest in the Nanfan Treaty lands, they may not have an interest in the project, per se. It is too far away.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information-sharing 	No further reply was received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2010-11-08	R. Waldon	Linda Maracle, Council Executive Assistant, Whata Mohawk	Phone call and e-mail to clarify Wahta Mohawk interest in Summerhaven . Subject to leadership review, the file may be referred back to Six Nations Confederacy Council. Although Mohawks may have an interest in the Nanfan Treaty lands, they may not have an interest in the project, per se. It is too far away.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information-sharing 	See 2010-12-14.
2010-11-11	Tom Bird	Chief Blaine Commandant, Wahta Mohawk	Letter to Wahta Mohawk enclosing all draft REA reports for Conestogo and requesting comments as per s. 17 of the REA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory assurance 	Wahta Mohawk were not on the Director's List of November 23, 2010.
2010-11-11	Tom Bird	Grand Chief Michael Mitchell, Mohawk Council of Akwasasne	Letter to Mohawk of Akwasasne enclosing all draft REA reports for Conestogo and requesting comments as per s. 17 of the REA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory assurance 	Mohawk of Akwasasne were not on the Director's List of November 23, 2010.
2010-11-11	Tom Bird	Chief Donald Maracle, Mohawk of the Bay of Quinte	Letter to Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte enclosing all draft REA reports for Conestogo and requesting comments as per s. 17 of the REA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory assurance 	Mohawk of the Bay of Quinte were not on the Director's List of November 23, 2010.
2010-11-24	R. Waldon	Chief Blaine Commandant, Whata Mohawk	E-mail to follow-up for a reply from Wahta Mohawk as to which Haudenosaunee community may take the lead on Summerhaven .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	See 2010-12-14
2010-11-25	H. Lickers	R. Waldon	E-mail confirming receipt of Summerhaven REA draft reports and that a reply will be forthcoming soon.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No further reply was received.
2010-11-26	B. Greenhouse	H. Hill	Letter enclosing reports and information forms for HDI review of Adelaide, Bornish, Conestogo and Summerhaven projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	Delivered by R. Waldon at meeting of same date with H. Hill and B. Doolittle (see below).

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2010-11-26	R. Waldon J. Muir, Golder Associates	Hazel Hill Brian Dolittle (HDI Board member)	<p>The meeting was to provide updates as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An update of archaeology work for Summerhaven was given by J. Muir including general results of finds to date. Discussion about how to share information on archaeology with the community. Update on Conestogo and Summerhaven final open house and filing schedules. Share NEEC Aboriginal Relations Canadian Projects Framework and Archaeological Principles for further discussion. Provide the information requested by HDI to review the Conestogo, Summerhaven, Bornish and Adelaide projects including arranging an external review. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Communication 	HDI to arrange external consultant to commence review of REA reports.
2010-12-08	R. Waldon	Kristin Maracle, Environment Officer, Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte	E-mail to follow-up for a reply from Mohawk of the Bay of Quinte as to which Haudenosaunee community may take the lead on Summerhaven .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2010-12-08	R. Waldon	Chief Blaine Commandant, Wahta Mohawk	E-mail to follow-up for a reply from Wahta Mohawk as to which Haudenosaunee community may take the lead on Summerhaven .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	See 2010-12-14.
2010-12-10	Hazel Hill	R. Waldon	Telephone request to confirm which reports should have been included in the CD's HDI requested for Conestogo, Summerhaven, Bornish and Adelaide .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Information-sharing 	R. Waldon provided a summary of reports on 2010-12-10 that should have been included and offered to facilitate any missing information.
2010-12-14	Chief Blaine Commandant, Wahta Mohawk	R. Waldon	E-mail exchange confirming Wahta Mohawk defer review of Summerhaven to Six Nations Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	See 2011-12-16 below.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2010-12-20	Tom Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter to confirm that Summerhaven REA has been filed and describing the approval process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information-sharing 	No reply was received.
2010-12-20	Tom Bird	Chief R. Donald Maracle	Letter to Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte to confirm that Summerhaven REA has been filed and describing the approval process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information-sharing 	No reply was received.
2010-12-21	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail confirming letter sent to Chief McNaughton 2010-12-20 and that a public meeting was to be held for Summerhaven .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	No reply was received.
2010-12-21	R. Waldon	Kristin Maracle, Environment Officer, Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte	E-mail to Mohawk of the Bay of Quinte explaining he reason for the letter to Chief Maracle and that another public meeting would be held January 10, 2011 for Summerhaven .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information sharing 	No reply was received
2010-10-22	Hazel Hill	R. Waldon	E-mail providing CV's for HDI thrid party reviewers for Summerhaven and Conestogo .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	Information noted.
2010-12-16	Chief Blaine Commandant, Wahta Mohawk	R. Waldon	E-mail to confirm the Wahta Mohawk defer review of Summerhaven to Six Nations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory compliance 	Information noted in Consultation Report.
2010-12-20	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Michael Mitchel, Mohawk Council of Akwesasne	Letter to Mohawk of Akwesasne confirm that Summerhaven REA has been filed and describing the approval process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	No reply was received.
2011-12-21	R. Waldon	H. Lickers, Mohawks of Akwesasne Department of Environment	E-mail to Mohawk of Akwesasne follow-up to 2011-12-20 letter to Chief Mitchel asking for confirmation that Akwesasne will defer to Six Nations on all NextEra Projects .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process 	No reply was received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-01-04	Todd Williams, P. Eng. (HDI third party reviewer)	R. Waldon	E-mail requesting detailed design and engineering information on wind farm components for Summerhaven and Conestogo .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	2011-01-07 R. Waldon acknowledged request and confirmed information was being compiled and will be delivered. Due to the technical nature of the information requested a Non-Disclosure Agreement was required and signed on 2010-02-04.
2011-01-12	R. Waldon	Kristin Maracle, Environment Officer, Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte	E-mail to following up on previous requests to confirm Mohawk of the Bay of Quinte interests. A map was enclosed showing all NEEC projects in southwestern Ontario and requesting clarification which projects, if any, Bay of Quinte should be consulted about or if they defer to Six Nations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Process 	No reply was received.
2011-01-26	R. Waldon	H. Lickers, Mohawks of Akwasansne Department of Environment	E-mail enclosing a map of all NEEC projects in southwestern Ontario and asking for confirmation if Akwasansne wish to be consulted for any of them, or defer to Six Nations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Process 	No reply was received.
2011-02-14	Ben Greenhouse NEEC	Todd Williams	Letter enclosing an information package as per Todd Williams's 2011-01-04 request for Summerhaven and Conestogo .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	2011-02-15 exchange of information between Todd Williams and R. Waldon to clarify the status of Conestogo and Summerhaven project REA applications are pending MOE acceptance. Todd made an additional request for copies of the Public Consultation reports.
2011-02-18	T. Rasmussen, GENIVAR	R. Waldon	Confirmation that the Conestogo consultation reports have been sent to Todd Williams.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	Todd acknowledged receipt of information 2011-02-16 and that an e-mail will be forthcoming. Information note.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-02-22	Todd Williams	R. Waldon	E-mail to request 8 additional design and materials specifications.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	The requested detail was provided.
2011-02-23	Nicole Geneau, NEEC	Chief McNaughton	Letter confirming the Conestogo REA application had been filed and outlining MOE process for approval.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication sharing 	No reply was received.
2011-03-01	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Telephone conversation with Hazel that Todd Williams' 1st information request was fulfilled and that archaeology would resume once ground conditions are clear. Both Six Nations and Mississauga of New Credit monitors will be involved.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication sharing 	Hazel Hill stated HDI will require its own monitor and a budget will also be requested.
2011-03-02	Ben Greenhouse NEEC	Chief McNaughton	Letter to provide notice of Ontario Energy Board Leave to Construct Hearing for the Summerhaven Transmission Line. A copy of the required notice was included.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2011-03-03	Ben Greenhouse NEEC	Chief Michael Mitchell Akwesasne	Letter to Akwesasne to provide notice of Ontario Energy Board Leave to Construct Hearing for the Summerhaven Transmission Line. A copy of the required notice was included.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2011-03-03	Ben Greenhouse NEEC	Chief R. Donald Maracle, Mohaks of the Bay of Quinte	Letter to Mohawks of Bay of Quinte provide notice of Ontario Energy Board Leave to Construct Hearing for the Summerhaven Transmission Line. A copy of the required notice was included.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2011-03-07	Todd Williams	R. Waldon	Inquiry on status of additional information requested for Conestogo .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	R. Waldon replied on the same date that some of the information was compiled and can be sent. T. Williams advised to send the information once the entire package was complete and inquired about changes being planned for Conestogo .

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-03-14	Hazel Hill, HDI Chief AI Day, Oneida Council of Chiefs	R. Waldon	E-mail enclosing summary of Sprin 2011 archaeological work plans for Conestogo, Summerhaven, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho projects and inviting attendance at a field meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information-sharing 	No reply was received.
2011-03-15	R. Waldon	Kristin Maracle, Environment Officer, Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte	Telephone call to follow-up on requests for confirmation that Mohawk of the Bay of Quinte either defer to Six Nations or do not require consultation for Summerhaven .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	Kristin was not available and I left a voice mail to explain the reason for my call and a request that she call me to discuss.
2011-03-15	R. Waldon	H. Lickers, Mohawk of Akwesansne Department of Environment	Telephone call to follow-up on requests for confirmation that Mohawk of Akwesasne either defer to Six Nations or do not require consultation for Summerhaven, Conestogo, and all other NextEra projects in Nantán Treaty area .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	H. Lickers responded by telephone that these questions will be replied to as soon as possible and explaining that workloads are exceptionally high.
2011-03-15	R. Waldon	H. Lickers, Mohawk of Akwesansne Department of Environment	Telephone call to follow-up on requests for confirmation that Mohawk of the Bay of Quinte either defer to Six Nations or do not require consultation for Summerhaven .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	Henry was not available and I left a voice mail to explain the reason for my call and a request that he call me to discuss.
2011-03-17	R. Waldon	H. Lickers, Mohawk of Akwesansne Department of Environment	Telephone call and e-mail asking for clarification about which NextEra projects Akwesasne may want to be consulted on or if they defer to Six Nations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Process 	Given the distance to the projects it was felt Six Nations leadership would take the lead.
2011-03-17	R. Waldon	B. George, Chief AI Day, Chief Liz Cloud	E-mail to confirm Brandy Geroge Archaeology Consultants as independent monitor for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho and to confirm that her monitoring report can be shared with all interested Aboriginal communities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	Brandy George attended to monitor field work and provided quarterly reports.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-03-17	N. Geneau	Chief McNaughton	Letter to advise of modifications to Conestogo design including a notice showing the changes and date, location and time of drop in centre.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information-sharing 	No reply was received.
2011-03-26	Chief Day	R. Waldon	E-mail to clarify that project notifications going forward should be sent to both Oneida Council of Chiefs and Haudosaunee Development Institute	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Process 	Project correspondence addressed as requested by Chief Day.
2011-03-31	R. Waldon	Todd Williams	E-mail enclosing draft copy of the Conestogo Public Consultation report.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	
2011-04-05	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Telephone conversation about archaeological monitoring on Summerhaven . HDI requires their own monitor, Wayne Hill.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Process 	Mr. Hill attended field work as often as he deemed necessary.
2011-04-06	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail follow-up to 2011-04-05 call confirming arrangements for, and requesting cost estimate for Wayne Hill monitoring services on Summerhaven .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	Mr. Hill attended field work as often as he deemed necessary.
2011-04-11	Wayne Hill	R. Waldon	Exchange of e-mails to confirm arrangements and contact information for archaeological monitoring on Summerhaven .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	Mr. Hill attended field work as often as he deemed necessary.
2011-04-12	Wayne Hill	R. Waldon	E-mail setting our daily rate for monitoring on Summerhaven and asking if the archaeological work can be delayed until Mr. Hill is available in about one month.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	Arrangements made for Wayne Hill and Golder archaeologist, Jeffrey Muir, to speak by telephone to discuss the work plan and how to keep Mr. Hill informed until he can be on site.
2011-04-15	Ben Greenhouse Nicole Geneau	Todd Williams	Letter enclosing specifications sheets and MSDS information requested by Todd Williams in connection with his review of the Summerhaven and Conestogo projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	Todd acknowledged receipt on 2011-04-25.
2011-04-20	R. Waldon Jeffrey Muir, Golder Associates	Wayne Hill	Telephone call to discuss the archaeological work on Summerhaven and to make arrangements to keep Mr. Hill advised of progress and findings until he can be available on site as HDI's monitor.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process • Information-sharing 	Mr. Hill attended field work as often as he deemed necessary.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-05-03	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Telephone call to provide updates on Bornish, Adelaide, Summerhaven and Conestogo projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	Hazel Hill is to schedule a debrief meeting with Todd Williams concerning his review of Summerhaven and Conestogo .
2011-05-24	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail to provide an explain layout changes on Bornish and Adelaide and that revised draft REA reports would be available later this summer.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	E-mail acknowledged and offer to speak later next month.
2011-05-25	Shannon McNeil, MOE	Chief McNaughton	Notification letter from MOE that the Conestogo REA application has been posted on the EBR for a 60 day public comment period and inviting comments from Six Nations Confederacy.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	Haudenosaunee Development Institute appealed the Conestogo approval to the Environmental review Tribunal, and later withdrew it.
2011-06-08	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Telephone call to discuss various issues. I requested an update on HDI's review of Conestogo and Summerhaven explaining that the former was on the EBR for comment and the latter would also be posted once MOE deems the application complete. We also discussed upcoming archaeology work for all projects. Hazel insists that a specific Haudenosaunee monitor be involved and Wayne Hill is being arranged for Adelaide, Bornish and Summerhaven . Hazel also mentioned a need for information to address community questions about potential health impacts and wind turbines.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Information-sharing 	2011-06-09 forwarded copies of the Ontario Chief Medical Officer of Health and CanWEA studies on health questions about turbines.
2011-06-17	Adria Grant, Golder Associates (archaeology consultants)	Wayne Hill, Two Row Archaeology (HDI monitor)	E-mail to confirm field work arrangements for Adelaide and Bornish and welcoming Mr. Hill to attend.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	
2011-06-30	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail to advise that East Durham had been put on hold due to a grid connection issue.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-07-05	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail to advise that I was advised today that East Durham is back on active status.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	No reply received.
2011-07-05	R. Waldon	Wayne Hill	Exchange of e-mails re. Billing arrangements for monitoring services for Summerhaven, Adelaide and Bornish .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	N/A
2011-07-07	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail inquiring about status of Todd Williams' 3rd party reviews of Conestogo and Summerhaven and confirming previous advice that Adelaide and Bornish layouts had changed, requiring updates to field studies and REA documents.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	HDI has not provided a copy of the report to date (May 22, 2012).
2011-07-18	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Exchange of e-mails concerning MOE request for an update to the Aboriginal Consultation report submitted with the draft REA studies and application for Conestogo .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	Hazel Hill wanted to know the nature of the update to be provided to MOE. R. Waldon provide text of the update to be provided, assuring her that it did not constitute a formal submission about consultation with the Haudenosaunee, only a status report.
2011-07-18 and 19	Wayne Hill	R. Waldon	Invoices received for Adelaide, Bornish and Summerhaven archaeological monitoring.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	Dates of work a durations did not match the Golder Associates work schedule and were reconciled in a series of emails and phone calls, concluding 2011-09-07. <u>Invoices paid.</u>
2011-07-29	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Phone call to establish the status of HDI's 3rd party review (Todd Williams) and process to meet and discuss the reports. The reports on Adelaide and Bornish were described as generic comments, so the layout changes may not be of concern. I explained we anticipate "application complete" status for Summerhaven soon.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	R. Waldon to canvass NEC for possible meeting dates and H. Hill to forward copies of the draft reports prior to the meeting but the HDI Board will review them first.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-08-09	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail enclosing communique describing archaeological field work for all current NEEC projects and inviting attendance at a field meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	No reply received.
2011-09-01	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Voicemail left to follow-up on possible meeting dates to review Todd Williams' reports for Conestogo, Summerhaven and if appropriate Adelaide and Bornish .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	No reply received.
2011-09-07	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail to follow-up on possible meeting dates to review Todd Williams' reports for Conestogo, Summerhaven and if appropriate Adelaide and Bornish .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	No reply received.
2011-09-14	H. Hill	R. Waldon	Exchange of e-mails proposing September 28th for a meeting with HDI's Board and asking to confirm the agenda plus receive Todd Williams' reports for Conestogo, Summerhaven and if appropriate Adelaide and Bornish .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	N/A
2011-09-15	H. Hill	R. Waldon	E-mails about available meeting dates and agreeing on October 14th to review Conestogo, Summerhaven and if appropriate Adelaide and Bornish . Hazel also explaining Todd Williams' reports are considered internal but that a summary will be forwarded today or tomorrow with an agenda.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	N/A
2011-09-15	Ben Greenhouse	Chief McNaughton	Letter to advise that the Summerhaven REA application has been "deemed complete" by MOE, enclosing copies of the final REA reports and explaining that all reports are also available on www.CanadianWindProposals.com	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	N.B. The letter was also copied to Confederacy Secretary L. Hill, HDI, Secretary Deleary (Oneida Council of Chiefs), Wahta Mohawk and Oneida elected Council
2011-09-15	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Mitchell, Mohawk of Akwesasne	Letter to Mohawk of Akwesasne to advise that the Summerhaven REA application has been "deemed complete" by MOE, enclosing copies of the final REA reports and explaining that all reports are also available on www.CanadianWindProposals.com	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply received

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-09-15	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Maracle Mohawks of the Bay of Quinte	Letter to Mohawk of the Bay of Quinte advise that the Summerhaven REA application has been "deemed complete" by MOE, enclosing copies of the final REA reports and explaining that all reports are also available on www.CanadianWindProposals.com	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply received
2011-09-16	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail to explain that three small layout changes had been made to the Conestogo project and also explaining the Sumerhaven "application deemed complete" letter from ben Greenhouse. Requested copies of the summaries for Conestogo, Summerhaven and if appropriate Adelaide and Bornish and agenda for October 14th.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	See 2011-09-21
2011-09-16	R. Waldon	H. Lickers, Akwesasne Department of Environment	E-mail asking if a clarification of Akwesasne's interests, or no interests in Summerhaven is possible.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply received.
2011-09-16	R. Waldon	K. Maracle, Mohawk of the Bay of Quinte Department of Public Works	E-mail asking if a clarification of Tyendinaga's (Mohawk of the Bay of Quinte) interests, or no interests in Summerhaven is possible.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply received.
2011-09-20	H. Hill	R. Waldon	Documents forwarded outlining HDI expectations for next steps in the HDI process and a relationship framework that included payment and funding an archaeology centre in respect of Conestogo, Summerhaven, Adelaide and Bornish .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	R. Waldon replied asking to confirm the agenda items for the October 14 meeting related to the documents and HDI review of REA studies.
2011-09-21	H. Hill	R. Waldon	Hazel replied with proposed agenda for meeting about Conestogo, Summerhaven, Adelaide and Bornish : <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Opening Relationship Framework Closing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	Forwarded for NEEC review and discussion. Acknowledged receipt of document to H. Hill asking if additional clarification is possible.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-09-23	R. Waldon	Aaron Detlor	Telephone call to clarify expectations for next steps in the HDI process and Relationship Framework for Conestogo, Summerhaven, Adelaide and Bornish .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	Discussed process and proposed document (12:15 p.m.) but Aaron needed to have copy of document and will resume call then. Voicemail reply from Hazel Hill to do so at end of day (4:55 p.m.)
2011-09-26	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill Aaron Detlor	Call to resume discussion from 2011-09-23.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	Hazel not available so I left a voicemail asking that she and Aaron call when they are available.
2011-09-28	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill Aaron Detlor	E-mail to follow-up from 2011-09-23 call.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	See 2011-10-04
2011-10-03	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill Aaron Detlor	E-mail to follow-up from 2011-09-23 call and the 2011-09-20 draft Relationship Agreement. I explained that there was information missing from the Agreement that seemed important to understand before the upcoming meeting of October 14th on Conestogo, Summerhaven, Adelaide and Bornish .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	See 2011-10-04
2011-10-04	Hazel Hill	R. Waldon	E-mail reply copied to HDI Board members explaining that the discussion of any information would take place at the October 14th meeting about Conestogo, Summerhaven, Adelaide and Bornish so that no one is excluded and asking what information is felt to be missing.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	Replied by e-mail that the intent was not to exclude anyone from a discussion but to obtain a copy of the archaeological resosource centre proposal referred to in the proposed Relationship Agreement, but it was not included with the draft.
2011-10-04	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill Aaron Detlor	E-mail to explain that Ministry of Environment had requested a written update on Aboriginal consultation for Conestogo and enclosing the proposed wording to ask if there were any concerns with it.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	See 2011-10-12.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-10-12	Hazel Hill	R. Waldon	Hazel replied to the 2011-10-04 e-mail about the MOE update as follows: "Hi Bob, I was asked for a copy of the final REA reports of the 4 projects [Conestogo, Summerhaven, Adelaide and Bornish] we are dealing with. Have you sent them out yet? Hazel"	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	I replied the same day explaining that Summerhaven REA documents had been delivered to the HDI office last month, and Todd Williams had reviewed the final Conestogo document. I offered to have copies delivered if needed. I further explained that Bornish and Adelaide REA reports are being updated due to site layout changes. Hazel acknowledged this and stated she would review the reports on NEEC's web site.
2011-10-13	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	Letter and updates for NEEC's Community Reference Materials binder of industry and general information about wind energy and NEEC, together with a chart outlining the location, capacity, timing and status of all NEEC projects in southwestern Ontario .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	Delivered to Hazel Hill at the October 14, 2011 meeting.
2011-10-14	B. Greenhouse R. Waldon	HDI Board, including Aaron Detlor Hazel Hill	Meeting to discuss proposed HDI Relationship Agreement and assertion that Haudenosaunee treaty rights will be significantly impacted by Conestogo, Summerhaven, Adelaide and Bornish . There was no explicit consent given to document the meeting for the consultation record. Meeting notes are on file.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Process 	Significant impact to Haudenosaunee treaty rights under 1701 Fort Albany (Nanfan) treaty asserted.
2011-10-14	Aaron Detlor	R. Waldon	Reply to 2011-10-04 e-mail to Hazel and Aaron about the MOE update. Aaron advised not to use the term Aboriginal when referring to Haudenosaunee.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	I acknowledged the advice and confirmed that the consultation report submitted to MOE will refer to Haudenosaunee or their specific Nations in the body of the report. The term Aboriginal is only used in the way set out in the Ontario Regulation 359/09 and in s. 35 of the Constitution Act.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-10-16	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	General e-mail to community staff at the all communities with interests in Jericho, Adelaide and Bornish , enclosing a copy of the public notice for the open house of November 10, 2011. The e-mail was sent for general information purposes and to comply with O/Reg 359/09.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing • Regulatory compliance 	Aaron Detlor replied by e-mail on 2011-10-17 copied to the HDI Board, expressing uncertainty why HDI would receive the public notice, and concern that NEEC might rely on it as part of the consultation record, when it is not relevant to the HDI consultation process. In closing Aaron Detlor stated: "We trust that these emails will not be provided to the Ministry of Environment as evidence of any consultation process and look forward to receiving an email from you confirming any emails phone calls etc will not be presented to the Ministry of Environment."
2011-10-18	Hazel Hill	B. Greenhouse	Letter from HDI enclosing a speech made by (former) Minister of Aboriginal Affairs concerning the Haudenosaunee treaty rights and a letter from Six Nations Confederacy Council Secretary Hill to Minister Bentley. The HDI letter quoted from the 1701 Nanfan Treaty as follows: "-provided and it is hereby expected that we are to have free hunting for us and the heirs and descendants from us the Five nations for ever and that free of all disturbances expecting to be protected therein by the Crown of England..." The HDI letter went ton to confirm the view explained at the October 14th meeting that the Adelaide, Bornish, Conestogo and Summerhaven projects will encroach and interfere with those rights with the effect of removing some 90,000 acres from the Haudenosaunee hunting territory.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information-sharing 	See 2011-10-19 for reply.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-10-19	R. Waldon	Aaron Detlor	E-mail acknowledging concerns expressed by Aaron Detlor on 2011-10-17 and explaining that the notice was circulated as required by the O.Reg. 359/09 process and also to ensure staff and leadership are aware of a public process that may result in questions from their community. As requested by Aaron, I responded that the content of the consultation reports, which are required to be submitted for an REA will continue to be discussed with HDI and any confidentiality required will be adhered to.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	<p>Aaron Detlor replied that he does not understand this or other e-mails and is concerned they are an attempt to “shoe-horn” HDI into a process that is not their own. As legal counsel he requests no further communication with HDI until Ben Greenhouse has responded to the HDI Relationship Agreement proposal and communication protocol is decided then. His e-mail was copied to the HDI Board.</p> <p>I replied only to Aaron to ensure he understood that “staff and leadership” in my message did not refer to Haudenosaunee staff and leadership, but to all communities with interests in these projects, and I confirmed that his wishes about communications will be respected.</p>
2011-10-20	Hazel Hill	Ben Greenhouse	E-mail enclosing a copy of an HDI Archaeological Study Centre proposal that funding is being requested for, and re-sending proposed relationship agreement in respect of Adelaide, Bornish, Conestogo and Summerhaven.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Negotiation 	
2011-10-24	Adam Hossack, Golder Associates	R. Waldon	Information note received from Adam Hossack, Golder Associates archaeology crew supervisor for Summerhaven that Wayne Hill had responded to Adam’s phone messages from the previous week. Wayne is satisfied tat Adam keep him advised of field work and he will decide if his presence is required in the field.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Internal communication Administrative 	

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-10-28	Ben Greenhouse	Hazel Hill	Letter in response to the HDI letters (Hazel Hill) of September 20 and October 18, 2011 in respect of Adelaide, Bornish, Conestogo and Summerhaven . The reply was to confirm NEEC's willingness to continue negotiation of a relationship agreement or the assumption that HDI continues to represent the Confederacy. The request for a copy of the Todd Hill third party review (of the REA documents) reports completed for Conestogo and Summerhaven was reiterated.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process • Authorities for negotiations • Information-sharing 	
2011-10-31	Hazel Hill	Ben Greenhouse	Letter in reply to Greenhouse letter of 2011-10-28 in respect of Adelaide, Bornish, Conestogo and Summerhaven . The letter reiterated aspects of the 2011-10-14 meeting and assertion of significant impact to treaty rights. As there was no explicit consent given to document the meeting for the consultation record, meeting notes and the letter are on file.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process • Information-sharing 	
2011-11-02	Tom Bird	Chief McNaughton Copied to HDI	Letter enclosing copies of notices for public open houses for Adelaide, Bornish and Jericho (November 10) and Bluewater (December 7) and Goshen (December 8) in compliance with O. Reg. 359/09 and enclosing updated PDRs.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory compliance 	
2011-11-17 Information note	Mayor Hewitt, Haldimand	Premier McGuinty, and other officials	E-mail expressing concerns that obstacles had been placed in front of renewable energy developers in Haldimand in respect of HDI and the Confederacy.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information note 	
2011-11-21	Wayne Hill, Two Row Archaeology	NextEra Accounts Payable	Invoice for independent Haudenosaunee archaeology monitoring on Adelaide and Bornish projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process 	Invoice paid.
2011-11-25	Chief Montour, SNEC	Premier McGuinty and other officials	E-mail stating the Six Nations elected council is recognized legally as the governing body of Six Nations of the Grand River based on SCC Davey et. al v. Isaac et. al.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Information note for context 	N/A

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2011-11-29	Tom Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing updated PDR plus a copy of the public notice of, and draft site plan for Adelaide , in compliance with O. Reg. 359/09.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No response was received
2011-12-19	T. Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing copy of Notice of Site Plan for Bluewater and a copy of the site plan and confirming that ongoing communication about the project will continue through NextEra's representative.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No response was received.
2011-12-21	T. Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing copy of Notice of Site Plan for Bornish and a copy of the site plan and confirming that ongoing communication about the project will continue through NextEra's representative.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No response was received.
2011-12-23	Aaron Dettlor, HDI	Environmental Review Tribunal	Appeal of Conestogo filed with Environmental review Tribunal on behalf of Haudenosaunee Development Institute	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory matters 	ERT Tribunal hearing date deferred pending outcome of a judicial review by a party unrelated to HDI.
2012-01-26	Hazel Hill	Environmental Review Tribunal	Affidavit filed in support of appeal of Conestogo . The affidavit provided an extensive description of the concerns of the appellant, and among them objected to NextEra submitting records of communications to Ministry of Environment as part of a consultation report. The HDI does not consider the communications to be consultation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory matters 	ERT Tribunal hearing date deferred pending outcome of a judicial review by a party unrelated to HDI.
2012-03-08	Amy Shepherd	Hazel Hill	Letter from Amy Shepherd of IBI Group as facilitator of the Conestogo Community Liaison Committee inviting HDI's participation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received from HCCC, but a reply was received from Oneida Council of Chiefs, advising that all notices should be sent to HDI.
2012-03-15	T. Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter (copied to H. Hill and L. Hill) enclosing copies of all draft REA reports for Bluewater and requesting Six Nations Confederacy Council's response concerning any potential impacts to Aboriginal rights or the environment and any proposed mitigations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance. 	No reply.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2012-03-23	B. Greenhouse	Chief McNaughton	Letter advising that the Summerhaven project had received REA Approval and providing the EBR number of its posting. The letter stressed NextEra's commitment to continue the current dialogue.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Communication Regulatory compliance 	Haudenosaunee Development Institute appealed the Summerhaven MOE approval to the Environmental review Tribunal then later withdrew it.
2012-03-26	R. Waldon	Hazel Hill	E-mail enclosing Archaeological Communique describing work plans and status for all projects .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Communication 	No reply.
2012-04-11	T. Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter to follow-up 2012-03-15 enclosing updated NHA, Archaeology reports, Shadow Flicker, Turbine Visualization reports, MTCS and MNTR sign-off letters and Notice of Public Meeting for Bluewater	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Compliance 	No reply
2012-04-17	Jeff Muir	Wayne Hill, Two Row Archaeology	E-mail advising Stage 4 work will begin for Adelaide project next week.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information sharing 	Wayne Hill, HDI monitor attended on site.
2012-04-23	T. Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing a Notice of Public Meeting for the Goshen transmission line and a copy of the updated Goshen PDR.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No response was received.
2012-04-18	Hazel Hill	Ben Greenhouse	Call to arrange a meeting next week.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Negotiation 	Meetings are taking place on a confidential basis. HDI has withdrawn its ERT appeals.
2012-05-04	T. Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing Adelaide draft REA Table 1 reports, Turbine Visualization, MTCS and MNR sign-off letters and Notice of Public Meeting and requesting comments as per O/Reg 359/09, s. 17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Compliance 	No reply
2012-05-07	T. Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing Bornish draft REA Table 1 reports, Turbine Visualization, MTCS and MNR sign-off letters and Notice of Public Meeting and requesting comments as per O/Reg 359/09, s. 17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Compliance 	No reply
2012-05-22	T. Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing updated (venue change) Notice of July 11-12 Public Meeting for Adelaide .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and results
2012-07-06	T. Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing notice of second change of venue for Adelaide final public meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory Compliance 	No reply was received.
2012-07-24	T. Bird	Chief McNaughton	Letter enclosing notice of third change of venue for Adelaide final public meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory Compliance 	No reply was received as of 2012-08-20.
2012-08-13	T. Bird	Chief Mcnaughton	Letter re. Notice of site plan and copy of site plan for East Durham .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received as of 2012-08-20.



H.4 Kettle and Stony Point First Nation

Appendixes

Contact Record and Issues List

Projects: **Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho Wind Energy Centres**

Community: **Chippewas of Kettle and Stoney Point** Main Contact: Chief Tom Bressette
Chief Liz Cloud (>2008)
Sue Bressette (>2011)

NextEra Energy Canada ULC (“NEEC”) proposes to develop, construct and operate a number of wind energy projects in Ontario. This report details all communications with the Aboriginal community identified above, in support of the Ontario Regulation 359/09 Renewable Energy Approval requirement for consulting with Aboriginal peoples. Information is current to the date shown at the bottom of this report. Please contact NEEC for clarification or to receive any available updates.

The projects for which this Aboriginal community has expressed interest, or that have been confirmed by the Director of Renewable Energy Approvals “list of aboriginal communities” are identified above. Please refer to the Project Description Reports and supporting reports as set out in Table 1 of Ontario Regulation 359/09 for information about these projects, potential impacts and proposed mitigation. All available reports may be found at:

<http://www.NextEraEnergyCanada.com>

- **Adelaide** Wind Energy Centre
- **Bluewater** Wind Energy Centre
- **Bornish** Wind Energy Centre
- **Goshen** Wind Energy Centre
- **Jericho** Wind Energy Centre

*Please note that this report summarizes all communications related to this Aboriginal Community, which may include more than one NEEC project. Such an overview is important because information-sharing and knowledge-building about wind energy, as well as relationship building with communities takes place across all projects. This report is therefore an overall summary of contacts with this Aboriginal community, their purpose and content. **Issues that are specific to a single project, however, are identified, discussed and reported in the individual project Aboriginal Consultation report, which forms part of NEEC’s Renewable Energy Application under Ontario Regulation 359/09.***

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2007-__-__ (Mailing to all communities anticipated to have interest.)	NOT KNOWN	NOT KNOWN	Initial contact to introduce NEEC (then FPLE) and its projects in Lambton, Middlesex and Huron Counties. This would have included Bornish and the then current Canadian Green Power Projects .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	Meeting planned for January 24, 2008 at the Four Winds Centre, Kettle and Stoney Point First Nation.
2008-01-29	M. DeBock, Project Director, NEEC (then FPLE Canadian Wind LP)	Chief Bressette	Letter sent to Chief Bressette: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> enclosing copy of presentation intended to be given at the January 24th meeting; offering to continue dialogue; Enclosing public notices and inviting attendance at Public Information Centres of February 19 and 20, 2008 and offering to meet on those dates, or at another time. Advising that a second public information centre will be held after completion of the environmental studies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	An introductory meeting was scheduled for January 24, 2008 at the Four Winds Centre, Kettle Point First Nation. The invited First Nations representatives were not able to attend. Jason Henry (Genivar) to follow-up to schedule another meeting.
2008-03-02	TCi Renewables	General	Adelaide Notice of Commencement of ESR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	
2008-05-26	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Bressette	Letter enclosing materials from the Public Information Centres held on February 19 and 20, 2008.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	
2008-09-20	Nancy Cowan Ben Greenhouse, Nextra	Chief Cloud, Coun. Monague Coun. Cloud	Presentation about the Bornish , Strathroy A & B and future project concepts. Discussed the possibility of Brandy George reviewing archeology reports.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	NEEC to provide: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Copy of ecological field report; Copy of archaeological field report. COMPLETED Note: Project delayed as a result of unsuccessful RFP process.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2008-09-25	Mark Gallagher, TCi	Chief Bressette	Letter enclosing a project brief and map for Adelaide and requesting the community's participation in the environmental screening process.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information sharing 	
2008-09-29	Ben Greenhouse, NEEC	Chief Cloud	Letter thanking Chief and Councillors for attending September 20th presentation and offering to arrange a community event (suggesting November 20) as well as a presentation to Council on October 6th. NEEC expressed desire to work with the community to gather information concerning potential impacts and sharing environmental data.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Communication 	NEEC to provide: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Copy of vegetation study Copy of archaeological report to Councillors Monague and Cloud COMPLETED
2008-10-06	Keith Waters, Canadian Green Power; Ben Greenhouse	Chief Cloud, and full Council	Presentation about the Bornish , Strathroy A & B and future project concepts. NEEC presented information on its Strathroy and Bornish projects. Copies of the two studies requested on September 20 were left with Council.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	NEEC offered to hold a community meeting on November 20, 2008. There was no reply to the invitation.
2009-02-23	Brett O'Connor, TCi	Chief Cloud	Letter advising Adelaide was not successful in RES-III but that the ESR will be prepared. Comments on project requested and a meeting to discuss the project was offered.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2009-04-28	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Cloud	E-mail to request a meeting to provide project update.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Referred Ben to Patricia Shawnoo, Community Liaison and cultural programming. Work with Patricia Shawnoo once Green Energy Act and REA are proclaimed.
2009-06-08	TCi Renewables	General	Notice of Completion for Adelaide ESR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	
2009-06-10	Ben Greenhouse, NEEC	Chief Cloud	Letter sent advising about the NEEC name change and status/plans for archaeological studies for Bornish . Updated project information was included about the number and area ("footprint") of turbines, access roads and crane paths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Possibility of a community member Ms. George reviewing the archaeological reports as an independent archaeologist. Ms. George is now employed by Ministry of Culture and NEEC expressed their hope she would be involved in the Ministry's review of the reports.
2009-09-17	Mark Gallagher, TCi	Chief Cloud	Telephone call to discuss the possibility of a meeting about Adelaide .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	
2009-10-26	Ben Greenhouse, NEEC	Chief Cloud	Letter enclosing draft project description report for Bornish and executive summary as per s. 17 REA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information sharing 	
2009-10-27	David Miller, MWA	Anna Batten, Lands, and Councillor Pete Cloud Sr.	Delivery of Table 1 package and letter for Bornish . Confirmed project is in the Traditional Territory. There is a lot of other renewable development activity in the area. Community is interested in having a third party review.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Confirm terms of reference, costs and schedule for a third party review.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2009-11-23	David Miller, MWA	Patricia Shawnoo, Community Liaison and Cultural Programming	Initially, Patricia was identified to Ben Greenhouse as contact person, and Patricia confirmed she is the key contact but has not seen the REA documents, will obtain from Anna Batten	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	
2009-11-25	David Miller, MWA	Patricia Shawnoo, Community Liaison and Cultural Programming	Called to discuss the ongoing stage 2 archaeological work for Bornish and Adelaide . Concerns expressed about lack of First Nations participation in the archaeological work. Also discussed the Duty to Consult, and consultation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Set up a meeting with ASI so Patricia Shawnoo and Brandy George could discuss the work that was ongoing.
2010-01-07	David Miller, MWA	Patricia Shawnoo, Community Liaison and Cultural Programming	Called to discuss the Bornish REA review. Patricia still has not had chance to obtain REA documents from Anna Batten.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Provided electronic links to the Adelaide project information.
2009-11-20	Tom Bird, NEEC	Chief Bressette [Note: letter was misaddressed. S/b Chief Cloud]	Letter mailed enclosing notice of application for Bornish Renewable Energy Approval and December 7 Public Information Centre.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	Due to ongoing communications with Patricia Shawnoo, the letter was not re-issued. A follow-up letter, correctly addressed, was sent to Chief Cloud on 2010-01-25.
2010-01-14	Mark Gallagher, TCI	Chief Cloud	E-mail explaining about the transition to the REA process and notifying of the upcoming Public Information Centre for Adelaide	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply on record.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-01-25	David Miller, MWA	Patricia Shawnoo, Community Liaison and Cultural Programming	E-mail requesting suggestions for an archaeological protocol system in the short and longer term.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Information-sharing 	Develop an archeological protocol that complies with MoC (now MTC) requirements and addresses Community concerns.
2010-01-25	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Cloud	Letter requesting response as per s. 17 of REA for Bornish	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	Letter delivered electronically 2010-02-01
2010-02-10	Ben Greenhouse David Miller Bob Waldon	Patricia Shawnoo, Community Liaison and Cultural Programming	Meeting scheduled to discuss the Bornish project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	Meeting cancelled by Patricia Shawnoo due to unavailability of key personnel. Reschedule meeting for early March 2010.
2010-02-12	David Miller, MWA	Patricia Shawnoo, Community Liaison and Cultural Programming	Follow-up call to reschedule 2010-02-10 meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	Left a message.
2010-02-24	David Miller, MWA	Patricia Shawnoo, Community Liaison and Cultural Programming	E-Mail to confirm meeting on March 22 and to advise that the REA application will be filed soon. Comments requested.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication Regulatory compliance 	Meeting scheduled for March 22, 2010. Meeting cancelled by Patricia Shawnoo due to an unexpected conflicting commitment.
2010-03-22	Mark Gallagher, TCi	Chief Cloud,	Joint TCi-NEEC letter advising that the Adelaide REA will be filed in the next few weeks and requesting any feedback to be included in finalizing the REA documentation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply on record.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-03-25	David Miller, MWA	Patricia Shawnoo, Community Liaison and Cultural Programming	E-mail inviting participation in a meeting to discuss archaeological work (to date and upcoming field work) and also requesting dates in April to reschedule the March 22 meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	
2010-03-31	David Miller, MWA	Patricia Shawnoo	Phone call and follow-up e-mail advising the archaeological field work schedule has been moved up to April 5 due to the unusually warm spring weather.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	
2010-04-01	David Miller, MWA	Patricia Shawnoo	E-Mail providing contact information for archeology field manager and Walpole Island observer.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Brandy George retained by Oneida Council of Chiefs is a member of Kettle and Stoney Point and a registered archaeologist. She will also provide observer service for Kettle and Stoney Point.
2010-04-16	Brandy George Archaeologist	Bob Waldon	Brandy George called and confirmed she will be able to provide monitoring on behalf of Kettle and Stoney Point as well as Oneida Council of Chiefs. She mentioned having spoken to Chief Cloud and that there was an issues list outstanding from past meetings. She also asked about archaeological monitoring during ground disturbance (construction)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Question about archeological monitoring referred to Tom Bird and GENIVAR to address. What archaeological monitoring is done during ground disturbance activities on site? Contact Chief Cloud to determine content of issues list.
2010-04-16 to 2010-04-21	Brandy George, Bob Waldon	Chief Cloud and Councillor Cloud	Brandy George referred the issues list question to Chief Cloud, which was followed up by Bob Waldon. Chief Cloud referred the matter to Councillor Peter Cloud Sr. and Bob Waldon followed up to request a clarification from him.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	See 2010-06-07

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-05-31 to 2010-06-02	Councillor Cloud	Bob Waldon	E-mails were exchanged to set up a meeting to discuss the issues list, but also to review all NEEC projects in southern Ontario.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	Meeting scheduled for June 7, 2010.
Dated as of 2010-06-04	Nicole Geneau	Chief Cloud	Community Reference Binder including draft project description reports for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information sharing 	Delivered at meeting of June 7, 2010.
2010-06-07	Bob Waldon David Miller	Chief Cloud, Coun. Henry, Coun. Cloud	<p>A meeting was held to determine the nature of the issues list identified by Brandy George and to review all NEEC projects in southern Ontario. Key issues were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Will wind farms affect hunting, especially deer? Will turbines affect raptors? Do vibrations from turbines affect terrestrial wildlife? Are there human health concerns from transformers? Issues encountered with SkyGen project would also be questions for NEEC, namely: radio and television interference, questions about impact to golf course business, shadow flicker in nearby homes, bird and bat mortality higher than forecast. Will construction and commissioning affect hydro service to community? Arrangements for an archeological observer during construction. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Arrange for a presentation to Council after the June 11, 2010 election, most likely followed by a community presentation.
2010-06-22	R. Waldon	Mark French, Band Manager	Telephone call to request clarification of Stoney and Kettle Point traditional territory. Does it include Conestogo and/or Summerhaven ?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Mark French to check available mapping and advise.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-08-12	R. Waldon	Mark French, Band Manager	Follow-up e-mail to phone call asking if the Conestogo and/or Summerhaven projects within territory or treaty areas of interest to Stoney and Kettle Point First Nation?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply received.
2010-09-29	R. Waldon	Mark French, Band Manager	Follow-up e-mail to phone call asking if the Conestogo and/or Summerhaven projects within territory or treaty areas of interest to Stoney and Kettle Point First Nation?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply received.
2010-10-26	R. Waldon	Chief Cloud Councillor Cloud Mark French	E-mail to advise of upcoming archaeological field work for Bluewater , Goshen and Jericho projects. Would Stoney and Kettle Point be interested in a field orientation meeting and agree to have an observer who reports to all interested communities?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply was received.
2010-11-04	R. Waldon	Mark French, Band Manager	Phone call to request clarification of traditional territory and follow-up on archaeology for Bluewater , Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	Mr. French not available and had to leave a voicemail.
2010-11-10	Ben Greenhouse	Chief Cloud	Letter enclosing Adelaide draft Addendum report and EIA as per s. 17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2010-11-30	Suzanne Bressette, Communications Relations Officer	R. Waldon	Letter advising that Suzanne Bressette had been hired as the new Communications Relations Officer and to direct all inquiries regarding the Adelaide, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho projects to her with copies to the Band manager and Chief. She further explained Chippewas of Kettle and Stoney Point continue to assert Aboriginal Rights and title to all part of their reserve and traditional territory as set out in Treaty 29 signed on July 10, 1827.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication • Information-sharing • Regulatory Compliance 	See 2010-12-08
2010-12-08	R. Waldon	S. Bressette	R. Waldon e-mailed in reply enclosing a map showing all current NEEC projects in southwestern Ontario and seeking clarification about Bornish, Conestogo and East Durham .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	No reply was received.
2011-01-12	R. Waldon	S. Bressette	Follow-up to 2010-12-08 e-mail and inquiring about meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	See 2011-07-19
2011-01-14	S. Bressette	R. Waldon	Acknowledging e-mails and explained that she would follow-up to arrange a meeting about all projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Communication 	See 2011-07-19
2011-02-03	S. Bressette	R. Waldon	E-mail offering to meet on February 16 or 18 and that councillors may attend but will require an honourarium.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	R. Waldon replied 2011-02-04 offering to meet February 16 and asking about agenda items to arrange other attendees, if required and also what honourarium costs will be.
2011-02-07	S. Bressette	R. Waldon	E-mail suggesting alternate dates of February 28, March 1 or 3 and that she will confirm with the Councillors and advise.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Administrative 	See 2011-07-19

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-02-16	R. Waldon	S. Bressette	E-mail following up to 2011-02-07 e-mail asking if the meeting date has been confirmed?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	S. Bressette replied 2011-02-22 that she would check on councillors' availability and confirm tomorrow.
2011-03-14	R. Waldon	S. Bressette	E-mail and enclosures to describe the Spring 2011 archaeology programs for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho and offering field meeting, plus confirmation of Brandy George Archaeological Services as independent monitor. Requested confirmation of Stoney and Kettle Point interest in Conestogo and East Durham .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply was received.
2011-03-17	R. Waldon	Chief Cloud Chief Day	E-mail to confirm arrangements for Brandy George Archaeological Services monitoring on Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	N/A
2011-03-26	Chief Day	R. Waldon	E-mail confirming permission to share Brandy George monitoring report for Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process and protocol 	N/A
2011-05-24	R. Waldon	Sue Bressette	E-Mail advising that the turbine layout for Adelaide and Bornish has had to be changed and that REA field studies will be re-done, including archaeology. A meeting or phone call was requested to discuss coordination of third party review or relevant NEEC projects with Aamjiwnaang	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Process 	S. Bressette replied that she was tied up in meetings but would try to reply by Friday (May 27).

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-06-09	R. Waldon	Sue Bressette	E-mail confirming that Brandy George had met with Sue Bressette to describe the proposed monitoring arrangements for Adelaide and Bornish and that Sue was generally satisfied. I also requested clarification of which projects Sue would like to set a meeting for with Chief and Council.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process and protocol 	On 2011-06-10 Sue confirmed she had met with Brandy and that she is satisfied with the arrangements until a full time monitor from Kettle and Stoney Point is retained by Golder Associates. She also stated she would confirm which projects Chief and Council wished to meet about and will confirm a date and time.
2011-06-14	R. Waldon	Sue Bressette	Exchange of e-mails to confirm a meeting date and agenda for NEEC projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	Due to scheduling commitments on both sides the meeting have to be scheduled in July.
2011-06-21	R. Waldon	Sue Bressette	E-mail requesting meeting date with Chief and Council	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	2011-07-11 Sue confirmed July 19th meeting with Environmental Committee
2011-07-12 and 13	R. Waldon	Sue Bressette	E-mail forwarding Brandy George independent archaeological monitoring reports for Adelaide and Bornish projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-07-19	B. Greenhouse N. Geneau L. Cantave T. Bird R. Waldon	Kettle and Stoney Point Environment Committee	The meeting covered project descriptions and status for Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho . It was confirmed that Conestogo and East Durham were NOT in Kettle and Stoney Point's Traditional Territory. The Committee had many questions which NEEC responded to. Please see meeting notes.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> NEEC to look into navigation lights on towers vs. Buoy #4 K&SP to advise if they will collaborate with other communities on 3rd party reviews K&SP to advise if any band members refused hunting access due to turbines NEEC to consider a hunter notification protocol for construction NEEC to consider training community members for EEM monitors S&KP to provide a copy of their new Consultation Protocol
2011-08-09	R. Waldon	Sue Bressette	E-mail enclosing a summary of Fall 2011 archaeological work plans for all current Ontario projects and offering a field meeting with community representatives.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply received.
2011-10-13	R. Waldon	Sue Bressette	E-mail requesting and update meeting about all NEEC projects .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	
2011-10-16	R. Waldon	Sue Bressette	E-mail enclosing a copy of the Jericho-Adelaide-Bornish November 10th Open House notice.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-11-02	Tom Bird	Chief Cloud	Letter enclosing notices for the November 10 Open House (same as 2011-10-16), the two Open Houses for Bluewater and Goshen projects, December 7 and 8, and also enclosing draft PDR's for Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance Information-sharing 	See 2011-11-10
2011-11-10	R. Waldon	Sue Bressette	<p>Meeting to discuss upcoming process leading to filing of REA applications for Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho and how NEEC and K&SP may work together to review the various studies. Key points discussed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process to review REA reports Possible meeting with Environment Committee Kettle and Stoney Point consultation and accommodation protocols forthcoming Possibility of working with Aamjiwnaang and Walpole Current schedule for projects <p>Delivered Community Reference Materials binder updates.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing Process 	N/A
2011-11-11	R. Waldon	Sue Bressette	E-mail of meeting notes from 2011-11-10 and follow-up items.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	N/A
2011-11-29	T. Bird	Chief Cloud	Letter to advise that Adelaide draft site plan was being released and copy of site plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	N/A

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2011-12-19	T. Bird	Chief Cloud	Letter to advise that Bluewater draft site plan was being released and copy of site plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	N/A
2011-12-21	T. Bird	Chief Cloud	Letter to advise that Bornish draft site plan was being released and copy of site plan.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	N/A
2011-12-23	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Wilson Plain Jr., Jared Macbeth, Suzanne Bressette	E-Mail to staff at Aamjiwnaang, Walpole and Kettle & Stoney Point, asking about the possibility of a meeting to discuss a potential collaborative approach for review of the five projects they have in common, namely, Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater and Goshen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.
2012-01-12	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette and Phyllis George	Meeting with staff from Aamjiwnaang, Walpole and Kettle & Stoney Point to discuss a potential collaborative approach for review of the five projects they have in common, namely, Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater and Goshen . See meeting notes on file.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Information-sharing 	The current timing of each project was discussed and the reports that will be produced to support their REA applications. Further discussion is required among the communities and additional information from NEEC to advance this initiative.
2012-02-08	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	Phone call to discuss new NextEra First Nation and Métis Relationship policy and progress towards coordinating third party reviews of Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater and Goshen .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Information-sharing 	Draft meeting notes and follow-up information sent to the attendees 2012-01-18. I was only able to reach Jared Macbeth for a discussion and had to leave voicemails for Sharilyn Johnston and Suzanne Bressette. See 2012-02-09.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-02-09	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-mail enclosing new NextEra First Nation and Métis Relationship policy and requesting opportunity to discuss it as well as plans for third party reviews of Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater and Goshen .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Information-sharing 	See 2012-02-12
2012-02-08	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	Follow-up e-mail about coordinating third party reviews of Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater and Goshen including a list of key dates for producing reports and filing the REA's, as well as a list of all reports.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Information-sharing 	See 2012-02-28
2012-02-28	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-mail enclosing a draft capacity funding agreement to support the third party reviews for Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater and Goshen and requesting a meeting to make arrangements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Information-sharing 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.
2012-03-15	Tom Bird	Chief Cloud	Letter enclosing copies of all REA reports required to be delivered under Ontario regulation 359/09 for Bluewater .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.
2012-03-19	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-mail to follow-up 2012-0315 letter for Bluewater and proposed coordinated third party review arrangements for Adelaide, Bornish, Jericho, Bluewater and Goshen	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.
2012-03-22	Ben Porchuk, Consultant	R. Waldon	E-mail advising that Walpole, Aamjiwnaang and Kettle & Stoney Point have agreed to work together and have Ben Porchuk review the REA reports for Adelaide, Bornish and Bluewater projects.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	2012-03-22 replied by e-mail asking that the letter-agreement to document scope and terms be completed prior to work beginning.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-03-23	Jared Macbeth	R. Waldon	Jared Macbeth sent a copy of the Ben Porchuk proposal to R. Waldon on behalf of the three communities, now working together as the "Joint Assessment Committee" ("JAC"). The proposal was much broader than a third party review of the REA reports for Adelaide, Bornish and Bluewater .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	2012-03-23 R. Waldon replied to the JAC representatives asking for clarification of the proposal and offering to have the third party reviews begin upon signing the capacity funding letter-agreement.
2012-03-24	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-mail asking for suggestions about a possible "opportunities outreach" initiative for all NextEra projects .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	No reply received.
2012-03-26	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston Wilson Plain Jr.	E-mail enclosing NextEra's Spring 2012 Archaeology Communique with information about field work for all NextEra projects and offering to old a filed orientation meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Information-sharing 	No reply received.
2012-03-28	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-Mail to follow-up for letter-agreement to fund capacity for third party reviews of Adelaide, Bornish and Bluewater .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.
2012-04-11	T. Bird	Chief Cloud	Letter to follow-up 2012-03-15 enclosing updated NHA, Archaeology reports, Shadow Flicker, Turbine Visualization reports, MTCs and MNTR sign-off letters and Notice of Public Meeting for Bluewater	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Compliance 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-04-12	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-mail requesting a meeting to discuss: the Ben Porchuk proposal; consultation steps and supporting budgets; and, "Principle 5" of the NextEra First Nations and Métis Relationship Policy, exploring broader relationships for Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	2012-03-12 telephone call with Jared Macbeth, Sharilyn Johnston and Wilson Plain Jr. to discuss the Porchuk proposal and possible approaches to it. Recommendations were sent to NextEra 2012-04-17 for discussion of a possible response.
2012-04-23	T. Bird	Chief Cloud	Letter enclosing a Notice of Public Meeting for the Goshen transmission line and a copy of the updated Goshen PDR.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No response was received.
2012-05-02	R. Waldon	Sharilyn Johnston, Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette	E-mail to provide update on response to Porchuk proposal or Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho and request meeting to finalize the scope and funding arrangements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process 	See meeting 2012-05-08
2012-05-04	T. Bird	Chief Cloud	Letter enclosing draft Adelaide Project REA Table 1 Reports, Turbine Visualizations, sign-off letters from MTCS and MNR and Notices of Adelaide Final Open Houses and requesting information as set out in s. 17 of Ontario Regulation 359/09.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	The Adelaide draft REA Table 1 Reports are being reviewed by the Joint Assessment Committee of Aamjwnaang, Kettle & Stony Point and Waipole.
2012-05-07	T. Bird	Chief Cloud	Letter enclosing Bornish draft REA Table 1 reports, Turbine Visualization, MTCS and MNR sign-off letters and Notice of Public Meeting and requesting comments as per O/Reg 359/09, s. 17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Compliance 	No reply, however, see below for third party review arrangements.

Date	Contact By	Contact With	Description	Purpose	Follow-up and Results
2012-05-08	R. Waldon Adam Camp, NextEra	Sharilyn Johnston, Wilson Plain Jr., Jared Macbeth, Sue Bressette, Ben Porchuk	Meeting with JAC and consultant Ben Porchuk to discuss and confirm the scope and costs for his proposal. The result was agreement on a third party review of REA reports for Adelaide, Bornish and Bluewater and development of an "Issues List report" to address Ontario Regulation 359/09 s. 17(1)4 for Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Process Regulatory compliance 	Two draft letter-agreements setting out the two terms of reference and costs were prepared and sent to Walpole Island First Nations, as administrator on behalf of the JAC on 2012-05-14.
2012-05-15	R. Waldon	Jared Macbeth	E-mail enclosing signed copies of the two letter-agreements or Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho for final review and signature on behalf of Walpole Island First Nation as administrator for the JAC.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	Porchuk reviews and reports pending receipt of signed letter-agreements.
2012-05-22	R. Waldon	Dean Jacobs	E-mail offering to assist with processing the two letter-agreements or Adelaide, Bornish, Bluewater, Goshen and Jericho during Jared Macbeth's absence this week.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administrative 	
2012-05-22	T. Bird	Chief Cloud	Letter enclosing updated (venue change) Notice of July 11-12 Public Meeting for Adelaide .	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory compliance 	No reply was received.
2012-05-30	R. Waldon	Sue Bressette	E-mail enclosing draft of Bluewater Aboriginal consultation report section for Kettle & Stony Point, for review and comment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Communication 	No reply as of the date of this report.
2012-07-06	T. Bird	Chief Bressette	Letter enclosing notice of second change of venue for Adelaide final public meeting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Regulatory Compliance 	No reply was received.